## U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

+ + + + +

#### NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION

## MARINE FISHERIES ADVISORY COMMITTEE

+ + + + +

## PUBLIC MEETING

+ + + + +

WEDNESDAY
NOVEMBER 29, 2017

+ + + + +

The Advisory Committee met in the Sheraton Silver Spring Hotel, Magnolia Room, 8777 Georgia Avenue, Silver Spring, Maryland, at 8:30 a.m., Terri Lei Beideman, Chair, presiding.

## MEMBERS PRESENT

- TERRI LEI BEIDEMAN, Chair; CEO, Vast Array Corporation
- ERIKA FELLER, MAFAC Vice Chair; Director, Marine and Coastal Conservation, National Fish and Wildlife Foundation
- BOB BEAL, Executive Director, Atlantic States Fisheries Commission (ex officio)
- SEBASTIAN BELLE, Executive Director, Maine Aquaculture Association
- ROGER BERKOWITZ, President and CEO, Legal Sea Foods, LLC
- JULIE BONNEY, Executive Director, Alaska Groundfish Data Bank, Inc.
- RICHEN (DICK) M. BRAME, Atlantic States
  Fisheries Director, Coastal Conservation

Association

- COLUMBUS HALL BROWN, SR., U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (ret.)
- DAVID DONALDSON, Executive Director, Gulf States Fisheries Commission (ex officio)
- RAIMUNDO ESPINOZA, Environmental Consultant
- RANDY FISHER, Executive Director, Pacific States Fisheries Commission (ex officio)
- ROBERT GILL, Co-owner, Shrimp Landing
- ELIZABETH (LIZ) HAMILTON, Executive Director,
  Northwest Sportfishing Industry
  Association
- PETER MOORE, Fisheries and Community Development Consultant
- MIKE OKONIEWSKI, Pacific Seafood Group
- HARLON PEARCE, Owner/Operator, Harlon's LA Fish LLC
- ROBERT RHEAULT, Executive Director, East Coast Shellfish Growers Association
- PAMELA YOCHEM, Senior Research Scientist and Executive Vice President, Hubbs Sea World Research Institute

## NOAA STAFF PRESENT

- JENNIFER LUKENS, Designated Federal Official; Director, Office of Policy
- CHRIS OLIVER, Assistant Administrator for Fisheries
- LAUREL BRYANT, Chief of External Affairs
  KATHERINE CHENEY, Public Affairs Specialist,
  West Coast Region
- PAUL DOREMUS, Deputy Assistant Administrator for Operations
- HEIDI LOVETT, Assistant Designated Federal
  Official; Policy Analyst, Office of Policy
- MICHAEL RUBINO, PhD, Director, Office of Aquaculture
- FRANCISCO (CISCO) WERNER, PhD, Director,
  Scientific Programs and Chief Scientific
  Advisor

ALSO PRESENT

DAVID BARD, Office of Science and Technology JOSHUA BLOCKSTEIN

RICH CODY, ECS Federal LLC; MRIP Program

Management Team Member, Office of Science

and Technology

RUSS DUNN, Senior Advisor on Recreational
Fisheries

ROGER GRIFFIS, Climate Coordinator

TOPHER HOLMES, Office of Legislative Affairs

STEPHANIE HUNT, Office of Sustainable Fisheries

LAURA KEELING

BECKY LIZAMA, Office of Legislative Affairs

JUSTIN LOCKE

JENNIE LYONS, Office of Public Affairs

STUART MERRILL, Acting Chief Financial Officer and Director of Fisheries' Office of Management and Budget

KATE NAUGHTEN, Director, Office of Public
Affairs

ALAN RISENHOOVER, Director, Office of
Sustainable Fisheries

TIM SARTWELL

# CONTENTS

Science Enterprise Update Francisco (Cisco) Werner 8
NOAA Fisheries Budget Outlook & Administrative Update Stuart Merrill
Legislative Update and Regulatory Streaming Alan Risenhoover
Reports from the State Directors Meeting and Fisheries Commissions
David Donaldson
Bob Beal
Randy Fisher
Climate Science Update
Roger Griffis
Subcommittee and Working Groups
Ecosystem Approaches Subcommittee (Magnolia)
Pam Yochem
Adjourn

#### P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

(8:37 a.m.)

CHAIR BEIDEMAN: I'm going to turn it over to Jennifer in a moment, but I wanted to bring up that the subcommittee is going to be working on the reports for resilience during lunch.

We thought we could take those 90 minutes instead of -- you know, if we need more time later, then we can still do that. But if people have an interest in things that belong in that Task 6 document or in the summary and you have an interest, we're going to start with Task 6 document and go through it, get it done.

But there's lunch places you can grab lunch, and we can come back here. Heidi's volunteered to sacrifice her lunchtime to help us with the editing and maybe can get some progress done so we won't keep having that dangle over our heads. So that's the plan for during our lunch break. And now I'll hand it over to Julie.

MS. LUKENS: Jennifer.

CHAIR BEIDEMAN: Jennifer.

MS. LUKENS: Good morning, everyone.

I just wanted to run through, we've had a little

-- the Beltway is our major transportation

thoroughfare around DC here, and one of the major

bridges was shut down this morning, and Brian

Pawlak is on the other side of that bridge, as is

Bob Beal.

So we have had to do a little rejiggering of our schedule so I'll just run through what we're going to do this morning just for you all for situational awareness and then I will introduce Cisco.

We'll be starting with Dr. Cisco
Werner, who will be giving our Science Enterprise
update from now until about 9:30. Then we will
be having our Fisheries budget outlook and
administrative update. That will not be Brian
Pawlak. It will be his normal deputy.

As you know, Brian is acting in Paul's position. And Brian's deputy, who is the acting CFO right now, Stu Merrill, will be arriving here

shortly to give his presentation for him.

Then we will have a break and then we will hear from Alan Risenhoover and then the state directors will be presenting and hopefully Bob will be here by that time. So that is the sequence of events for this morning before we break for lunch.

Does anyone have questions before we get into -- all right. Then we will jump right into -- we're happy to have Dr. Cisco Werner here this morning to give you an update on the Science Enterprise.

Many of you have met him before. He was the chief science advisor for fisheries. He came and spoke to MAFAC and was at our March meeting. And we're really pleased that he was able to come today and speak to you about several topics that I know members of MAFAC have expressed an interest in.

So with that, I will turn this over to Cisco. Cisco, are you -- we're going to mic you up so you're ready to go.

DR. WERNER: Okay, good morning.

Heidi, is this working right? Okay. All right.

Good morning, good to see everybody and to have a chance to meet new people as well.

As Jennifer said, I'm Cisco Werner.

I've been here now for almost a year, and it's a

pleasure to talk to you guys again. I think last

time was in Boston in March or so.

And what I'll do is I'll give you an update on some aspects of our Science Enterprise and in particular, we received a request, I guess, to talk about three things, and I'll focus on those, but then we can open up to talk more generally about other things.

And the presentation, I'll be giving it, but really I received a lot of help from Brett Alger, who is our ET FTE or electronic technology person in the Office of Science and Technology; Doug Lipton, who is our senior scientist for ecosystems -- I'm sorry, for economics; Jason Link, who is the senior scientist for ecosystems; and Mark Strom, who is

the deputy director at the Northwest Center.

So the three topics are there, and they each helped me on that. I'll talk a little bit about what the new FTE for Electronic Technologies and Monitoring is doing and just an update on where we are on that.

I'll talk a little bit about priorities on EBFM, so ecosystem-based fishery management and resilience, and I'll talk about sort of socioecological looks at that. And also an update on the Northwest buoy for monitoring harmful algal blooms.

But I'll talk a little bit more than just that, you know, in terms of where those technologies are and perhaps also a look into new promising approaches that involve genomic and molecular approaches that I think we can build on and we are building on, and we'll see how far we can go with that in the next five years or so.

So on the electronic technologies update, again, Brett provided this description and I hope I don't butcher it. Anyway, it's

starting from the Electronic Technology Policy Directive in 2013.

That policy provides guidance for fishery-dependent data collection, you know; that includes the VMS, the vessel monitoring systems; electronic monitoring; electronic reporting.

And the objectives are, of course you know, from the policy are to encourage the adoption of these electronic technologies, complement and coordinate among existing programs looking for cost-effective and sustainable ways forward, align across the various sectors and data needs funding, regulations, and of course, coordinate across agencies and industry costs.

And in 2014, Greg Lapointe was contracted, and since then George moved on. Now we have Brett Alger, and I'll talk a little bit more about him in a second.

So things that are involved in the electronic technologies coordination are matters associated with budget, so develop NMFS priorities for internal funding.

I think what Brett told me is it's roughly about a \$7 million budget and coordinating with NFWF it's a part of that.

Somewhere around, I think last year it was around \$3 million. I'm not sure what's it's going to be this year in terms of coordination with NFWF on external grants.

There's support for regional electronic technologies efforts. This is of course working with all the FINs and such in terms of developing implementation plans and promoting data integration across the regions, so the Fishery Independent Network, or the Fishery Information Network, sorry.

You know, the coordination also involves the Electronic Technologies working group where of course, you know, there's -- let me just make sure I get right what he wanted me to highlight. Just sent this to me last night, and I'm spacing out here.

So yes, it's taking the emerging technologies and working with the various groups,

such as councils and such, to understand how that coordination happens. And also, you know, as part of his job is to inform NMFS leadership on these ET programs.

We have monthly meetings where Brett provides us updates in terms of where the ET programs are. And then there is the external communication or the external engagement that involves speaking to councils, NGOs, fishing groups and so on, as well as develop, you know, broader meetings and workshops.

So far it's been a very active effort by Brett. I think he's really good. And just a little bit about him. You know, he was hired in July of 2017. He has his degrees from Central Michigan and Michigan State.

He worked prior to NOAA with U.S. Fish and Wildlife and also with the Michigan

Department of Natural Resources and then also with GARFO. And so his background really comes in, you know, in terms of understanding management issues and how to, you know, fold

those into the questions that we have in terms of the technologies and how to do that.

So he's got both working now with the Office of Science and Technology and also having worked with GARFO, he brings in a really nice bridge between the two.

In terms of the priorities that he has, of course you know, it's developing the monitoring policies, which you know, have to do with the industry and NMFS program cost allocations, the video review and storage, this is a big issue, and data confidentiality.

The video issue is big because, first, it's just the size and the magnitude of the data that's being collected, the cost it would take to analyze it all and how to be systematic about how one approaches this massive amount of information. As well as respecting the confidentiality of the data that's being collected.

And so this is something that he's spending a fair bit of time on to try to move

forward in this area of the video and the video storage and the confidentiality.

We're working on data modernization strategies. And of course, I think many of you are familiar with the Net Gains document that talks about, you know, how do we modernize the data collection, including coordinations with federal and state partners and working towards integration of data.

And again going back to my earlier point about how to deal with those massive amounts of data and how do you analyze it. It's looking at both hardware and software solutions and to keep with the latest technical standards.

He's looking at renewing the implementation plans for 2018, so we're updating from 2015. Again, there's a lot that's happened since then, including updates on program costs and budgets and also the idea of prioritizing the data integration efforts across regions.

And so it's a fair bit of work. This is just sort of a summary of where Brett is. As

you saw, he just joined last year, but he's hit 1 2 the ground running on a whole host of things. And I know he's getting around out in the field 3 4 and such. You might have had a chance to meet 5 him. Otherwise, he's probably going to be knocking on your door at some point. 6 7 Should I just go through the 8 presentation or should I take questions now 9 before I move to the next -- yes? 10 MEMBER BONNEY: No, I was just 11 wondering if you were going to switch topics. 12 DR. WERNER: I was so I'll stop here? 13 Okay. All right. 14 MEMBER BONNEY: If it's okay. I do have a question about your electronic monitoring 15 16 policies, and I know that there's been some 17 issues in the North Pacific. I was looking at 18 our agenda, and they talk about the draft EM 19 policy directive. Has that been completed, 20 because it says tentative on the group, or on our

I noticed your first block here, which

agenda?

21

is typically we do things on a regional level versus the top-down directive, and so I'm just wondering how, you know, every region is developing their own electronic monitoring for -- it is for us anyway, and it's somewhat unique.

And so how you balance each region's kind of set of priorities and goals versus an overarching policy from the top down? I didn't know if that has been completed, or if you're taking comments on those or what.

DR. WERNER: Okay. I'm looking to see if I see somebody who might be able to -- is Alan here? He ran out. He was. Jennifer, would you happen to know where the policy stands at this point?

MS. LUKENS: Well, I was just sitting here looking at our policy directive system trying to look up and see where it is, but I'm pretty confident that we do have a policy in place at the national level on this, but let me go ahead and look and see -- we do have one. Heidi's nodding her head. Yes.

DR. WERNER: So Alan, the question had to do with the electronic monitoring policy and national versus regional, you know, levels or states of the policies. And so there is a policy that has been reviewed or is being reviewed and I just don't know if you have an update on where that might be.

MR. RISENHOOVER: Yes, real quick.

The policy is still not finalized, but what we're trying to do is have a framework for national levels. So it should be the same in each region. So who pays for what part of what should be generally the same in each region. Do I need to

MS. LUKENS: It would be better if you come up to a mic.

MR. RISENHOOVER: So, yes, we have a national policy we're working on trying to have some similarities across all regions typically with things like who pays for what part of an electronic technology.

But then also, as Cisco has here, the

regional technology implementation plans have much more of a regional basis. So we're trying to do both, not mandate everything has to be the same from a national level but certain things hopefully, and then at the regional level allow for that variability like we do with a number of other things.

CHAIR BEIDEMAN: Yes.

MEMBER ESPINOZA: Thank you, Madam Chair. Thank you, Cisco. I have a question specifically for the U.S. Caribbean and how the prioritization of data integration efforts and how that affects each region.

Pretty much in the U.S. Caribbean besides the three or four Longliners that come down, it's all artisanal fishing. The size of the boats are pretty small. So we would really like to see how that would fit into the type of fishing that occurs in the U.S. Caribbean.

We're just getting started off with electronic logbooks. So electronic monitoring is something that hasn't even been on the radar for

us. And when it's ever been brought up, it's something that is so beyond what we think is possible.

We know it's possible because we've seen this done in other places with the same size boats elsewhere in Latin America, but we want to see how what you're saying that certain things are going to be at a national level and how that would impact the U.S. Caribbean given that the fishing that occurs there is very different than the majority of the industrialized fishing that occurs in the U.S.

MR. RISENHOOVER: Yes. And I think
Cisco on his slide here shows some of the
individual ways that it works in the Caribbean or
elsewhere is how it works.

But when it comes to, say, on video review and storage, we need to have a policy on who does that video review, whether it's the industry, whether it's the agency, who pays for it, how long do you store that video, in what format, and what uses can it have.

1 But, yes, we would really like the 2 technologies to develop to be applicable in the regions so they work. 3 4 DR. WERNER: Yes, please? MEMBER ESPINOZA: So I mean is that 5 something that for the U.S. Caribbean it is going 6 7 to be applied, something that video monitoring is 8 going to be required in the Caribbean? 9 So I mean, because that's my --10 MR. RISENHOOVER: Right. That would 11 be up to the Caribbean Council and the industry. 12 MEMBER ESPINOZA: Okay. 13 MR. RISENHOOVER: You know, the 14 stakeholders there. Is there an application that Is it cost-effective? 15 Is it something 16 the fishermen would use? And does it provide the 17 data we need? 18 But we're not going to mandate, okay, 19 we're going to put a video camera on every boat 20 across the country. 21 MEMBER BONNEY: And just to follow up 22 on this, I know that I've seen some bill language that was promoted at one of the Magnuson hearings, Congress dictating how long the video storage would be.

I think it was advanced out of the Alaska Longline for one and a half years. And I think the Pacific Council had some kind of language, it's three years' storage.

So it just seems that if you're going to set a national policy, you need to kind of think about what all the different regions are, because it may be too late.

Another issue from our perspective is, they're doing a sampling of the video. So they decide what trips are going to be reviewed before the fisherman goes.

And it's kind of trying to balance the human side versus the EM side so they're similar, because they don't want everybody to opt into humans. They want them to use both technologies.

So one of the proposed national level was that basically you would record all the trips and then you'd post a sample versus pre. So I

think from a regional level council-wise, there's going to be a lot of interest in whatever's going to come out of headquarters.

So I would hope that there's a lot of ability to have an interaction and try to take in each region's differences versus having something come in late and then having everybody having to adjust underneath whatever that policy is.

So I don't know when that's going to be available. I think Randy probably has some comments too.

DR. WERNER: Yes, I was going to have a comment, but Randy --

MEMBER FISHER: Yes, we were back about two weeks ago and met with Chris and Sam and everybody, because we've been doing this for four years now in terms of reviewing stuff.

And if you look at the cost of storage, it's a big deal. It's about \$370,000 a year for us if we were to store everything. So Brett was going to meet with the archive people to try and determine how long they wanted to keep

it.

According to Sam, it was three years. We haven't seen anything. The cops wanted it for five years. So there's not a real, I don't think there's been a final decision made until maybe Brett found out from the archive people.

The issue is who has the data.

According to Sam, if we get it and we are contracted by National Marine Fisheries Service, then it becomes a federal document, and you have to follow all the rules that the archive people and everybody else wants. So that's the issue.

So if there's a way around that, what we've been talking about is having a third party do the review or audit, that kind of thing.

The way the Canadians do it is -- the legal document, in terms of us, is the captain's logbook, and same in Canada. So what they do is they will randomly pick ten percent of the tows, compare it to the logbook.

If they're the same, then everything's fine. If there's any difference, then the

fisherman pays for a hundred percent review of everything, and then they give that to the enforcement people. So that's a fairly good model.

Each of the councils will have to decide what they want to do. We're reviewing a hundred percent of all the video currently, and the daily charge to the fisherman if we were to charge the fisherman, if it's like a whiting fisherman, it's about \$12 a day, which is nothing. If it's a troll boat, it's about \$150, because they have to separate everything, and we have to look in much more detail. So that's kind of the range that we're in right now.

So those things have still got to be decided. And the issue is who gets the data and if you guys touch it, then they have to follow a whole bunch of different regulations. So that's the box we're in.

MR. RISENHOOVER: Yes. Just to put a little bit more of a point on what Randy said there, a lot of is, you know, there's federal

requirements.

So if the industry collects the raw data, and the agency only requests from the industry summary-level data, then only that summary-level data would be subject to these various veins -- depending on the authority, it's three years, five years, or forever.

And we'd like to avoid that, because having video of water going by for forever just doesn't make sense.

DR. WERNER: And I think the difference in one, three, five might have to do whether it's for fishery purposes versus enforcement purposes and so on. And the ownership of that then opens up all these storage and requirement issues.

So it's something that we are working on and try to sort out so that there is a national-level statement recognizing, like Alan said, that it will vary by region and sector.

Yes, Erika and then Mike. Oh, sorry, I shouldn't be doing this. Apologies, yes, sorry.

VICE CHAIR FELLER: Thanks, Cisco.

First of all, I wanted to say that Brett's been a

pleasure to work with so I think he was a great

choice. He's doing a really good job.

Just a question, I think this might come up on one of these that you have up there, but one of the things that I've been seeing and I've been hearing a lot about is the choice between or the agency's preference for proprietary versus open-source.

The existing policy, I think,
expresses a preference for open-source software
for implementing electronic technology,
electronic reporting. But just from my
perspective, I understand the preference for
open-source, but I'm also seeing a lot of stuff
that we get in and also in the regions the choice
to use proprietary software systems, and I think
that there are really good reasons why people are
making those choices.

And I'm kind of curious like how -- are you guys going to be revisiting that part of

the existing policy? Because I think to actually 1 2 implement that is probably going to take a little bit more than just expressing a preference. 3 4 DR. WERNER: So you're talking about 5 the software, not the data itself? So you're talking about open-source software --6 7 VICE CHAIR FELLER: Right. For like 8 video review and for data management and all that kind of stuff. 9 I don't know if there's 10 DR. WERNER: 11 a preference yet on one versus the other. I 12 think you said you had a preference for the 13 proprietary one? 14 VICE CHAIR FELLER: No, I don't. Oh, you don't? Okay. 15 DR. WERNER: 16 VICE CHAIR FELLER: I mean, I have a 17 preference, but I don't think that's really 18 material. I think the existing NMFS policy, the 19 one that Jen referred to, prefers open-source, 20 and that has kind of been what the agency has 21 said is a preference for open-source.

But I'm sort of seeing in

implementation, it's kind of going down the middle, and people are making choices of open-source or proprietary software systems for really good reasons.

But I just kind of think that that's an aspect of the existing policy that's really important going forward, and it's probably not --

DR. WERNER: I'm going to guess and say that we haven't decided yet in terms of which way we're going. The good thing is that Brett sits in the Office of Science and Technology, which also hosts a lot of the data management efforts that are going on.

And so I think that that conversation is happening by virtue of them being sort of in the same room. But I think it's also part of what we're trying to do. And I'm not sure if, Jennifer, you have anything on the policy of open versus proprietary software?

MS. LUKENS: Yes, you're actually correct, Erika, that one of the -- in the objectives is asking, we encourage the use of

open-source code or standards that facilitate data integration and offer long-term cost savings rather than becoming dependent on proprietary software.

Now this policy was issued back in 2013 and renewed in August of 2014. So that's some time since that period. I know that we're working with the regional, looking at the regional plans.

We also are undergoing a process of reviewing all of our policies in the policy directive system. So that's one of the things that could be considered in this ongoing dialogue here.

All of our policies are up for reevaluation and looking at them as things change in dynamics. So it could be that was done for IT considerations or for fiscal considerations, I'm not the expert on that area, but certainly policies are up for being reevaluated with a need or a change.

DR. WERNER: Mike?

MEMBER OKONIEWSKI: I have attempted to stay as far away from EM as I can in our region without total success. But, I guess the one thing that's come up in several conversations not just from our regional folks but also from outside is the Freedom of Information Act and what exactly goes to the open domain as far as public display.

Because once you do get the stuff out there, it can be edited for certain effects and what-not. So it has been a concern. I haven't heard that much concern voiced about it, but I have heard some, and I'm just curious what protections, if any, we have.

If the stuff is stored, is it open for public review later at some point or how does that work?

MR. RISENHOOVER: Yes, I'll start and maybe Cisco can add some more. But, all the confidentiality protections of the Magnuson Act would apply. So, you know, you do a paper logbook, that's protected. It can only be

aggregated at certain levels or groups. So this same protection would be provided.

You've got the extra kind of

cybersecurity that we'd need to make sure that, you know, the servers and everything are secure, but generally I don't think it would be open to FOIA except at an aggregated level.

MEMBER BONNEY: Just to be clear then, so there's already a policy in place based on what Jennifer just read, and we've reviewed it.

But then you're basically suggesting that we're developing a new policy then or we're reviewing the old policy based on your first section on the slide?

So I'm just trying to figure out if we're doing something new or we're just revising what we already have.

MR. RISENHOOVER: Yes --

MS. LUKENS: Well, I -- go ahead.

MR. RISENHOOVER: I think it's expanding on what we already have, because over time these other issues have come up. The

question of who pays for review, who pays for the camera, who pays for storage, which early on as programs were just coming up in different places, those may have been handled slightly differently. We want to try and standardize some of those so it's fair and equitable around the country.

MEMBER BONNEY: So just to follow up on that. Process-wise, once the policy is revised, then it would go out for public comment and then different regions and CCC and everyone would be able to have input into the revisions?

DR. WERNER: Through the councils, yes, it would go out that way.

MR. RISENHOOVER: Yes, I'm not sure if it would be a formal commenting period like, you know, Federal Register, or more of just releasing it to groups to have a look at.

Because some of this is going to be legal-driven, and we may not have a choice, some will be policy-driven, and we may have some choices there.

DR. WERNER: If I may just add, so the

comment from Brett on this was that these new revisions or these new directives having to do with the cost allocations and such that Alan was referring to would be going through the councils for comment before they went out. So that aspect of it, the intention is that they go to the councils. Yes?

MEMBER FISHER: So we've been involved

-- the reason why I came back and met with Chris

and Sam was that it is an issue of who pays for

what, and that's what this thing is coming down

to.

On the West Coast, if we do camera review, we can review all of the data from the fleet that we have, it would cost about \$200,000 a year. That's what it would cost us.

So our argument has been, why don't you give us \$200,000 as part of PacFIN or whatever and we'll review this thing. The question then is when we go back to how long do you store it, who has to store it and all that kind of stuff, the issue is that if Sam wants the

fleet to pay for it, the review and the whole thing, the funny thing happens is if that happens then you've got to have a third party review the reviewers.

We're not going to review it because we don't want to go into competition with private industry in terms of reviews. So what they'll do is they'll contract that out to somebody else, Archipelago or somebody else.

So they review it. Then what would happen is NMFS would hire us to review the reviewer to make sure that the reviewer who was reviewing the fishermen wasn't doing something bad. So guess what, the total cost is going to go up.

So that's what we've been arguing.

And the argument we've had with Sam in the past has been, so you're paying people to review observer stuff, when they come back in off the boat.

What's the difference between us reviewing camera stuff versus somebody that's

talking to person that's an observer? So that's been going on.

So basically this thing hasn't really settled down that we know. In the case of Alaska, we will be contracted by National Marine Fisheries Service to do the review of the camera stuff in Alaska.

And the reason is because there is a pot of money that people that fish in Alaska put into a pot and then we can contract off of that out of the 1.5 percent that they're paying in landing fees. So that's how that's going to work and that's fine.

But on the West Coast, that hasn't been figured out yet. So kind of the point Alan has been making a little bit and Cisco is that this stuff has not been decided 100 percent yet. So that's kind of where we are.

MEMBER OKONIEWSKI: Randy, is that a cost-recovery coming out of that, those fees or different fees?

MEMBER FISHER: No. That's a good

point, Mike. We also said on the West Coast 1 2 you're paying 3 percent. That money has already been taken away to do other stuff. 3 4 So our argument also has been, well, 5 why don't you say that the camera review stuff is 6 part of the 3 percent that they're paying in 7 landing fees? And what we've been told is, well, 8 that money has already been used up by National Marine Fisheries Service. 9 It's all about the 10 MEMBER BONNEY: 11 money. 12 MR. RISENHOOVER: Well, right, and it 13 is, and I think Stu's going to talk about the 14 budget. You know, would it be great if the government paid for all these programs, you know. 15 16 It would be great. 17 But looking at the budget perspective 18 coming up, that's not going to happen. So how do 19 we do that fairly? 20 MEMBER OKONIEWSKI: Just to be clear, 21 the 3 percent does not cover all costs and is 22 probably underfunded by about a percent and a

half from what we can tell.

And we're not exactly sure how that money's being spent so that's a big bone of contention out on the West Coast also.

CHAIR BEIDEMAN: I'm just going to add a little bit here, because the way that it worked in the Atlantic Highly Migratory Species, every boat is required to have video monitoring.

And the government does not actually take possession of any part of it, like the hard drives get removed and shipped. It's reviewed by a contractor.

NMFS is allowed to come and view it.

They, of course, get reports, and they can watch
the whole thing in totality if they choose, but
they never actually have custody of it.

And it is for that purpose of being concerned about -- our guys have a lot of observer coverage, and they don't have a huge problem with exactly where they are fishing, but they have concerns about video potentially finding its way out of this collection and seeing

it on YouTube or what have you.

So that's one of the reasons I think that they went to the pretty broad extreme of trying not to make sure that it was in custody so it wasn't under a FOIA request, and it has nothing to do with where they're fishing or even what they're catching.

It's just some people don't like to see -- I personally don't want to see the chickens being slaughtered, but I do eat chicken, you know.

DR. WERNER: If I could follow up with Alan. Since you mentioned HMS, is that under ICCAT, is that under --

CHAIR BEIDEMAN: No, we abide by ICCAT recommendations for the species that we catch, but it's managed not under a council. It's managed under the division directly, a Highly Migratory Species division.

And not every boat in the Highly
Migratory Fishery has cameras, but one particular
sector, 100 percent has cameras and other

innovative management techniques.

But we had a little heartburn with data confidentiality. I don't think we looked at the storage, but of course, video is very large to store in the type of quality so that you can actually see what kind of tuna it might be. Tuna is trying to be distinguished one species from another, you need good resolution.

So anyway, I think that they came up with some innovative way to try to not allow that, because that was the big heartburn for the fishermen was not so much where we're fishing or even what we're catching but the optics of that having potential to -- some of these guys remember some of those experiences with other fisheries where that happened.

DR. WERNER: Thank you.

MALE PARTICIPANT: Go.

DR. WERNER: Go? Okay. All right.

So the second of three parts is on EBFM,

ecosystem-based fishery management and resilience

and again Doug Lipton and Jason Link helped me

with this.

And just as a warmup into this new topic, what do we mean, you know, you've all seen these little pictures of ecological resilience and the definitions of it.

So this is something that you've discussed in the past so this is sort of a refresher that, you know, resilience is the capacity of an ecosystem to tolerate disturbance without collapsing.

So you see these little stability wells and such, depicting, you know, how it is that different systems can be resilient to perturbations or not.

If you're in a stable state, then if you move something, it comes back. If you're in an unstable state, it might either go off or go into a different state altogether.

And so that's sort of the conceptual aspects of looking at resilience, as I said, resistance to perturbations. And we try to look at ecosystems, you know, withstanding shocks and

rebuilding when necessary. But we're also now going that next step beyond the ecosystem to including the social and socioeconomic aspects of the system.

And so we're looking at perhaps a little bit more complicated system where we're not just looking at how the ecosystem might be resilient to perturbations but also that balance with the social system. So it's a little bit more complicated as you try to put the three of them, or the two of them together. And so we're moving towards this idea of coupled socioecological systems.

And you've seen this before. It's part of the EBFM, the ecosystem-based fishery management road map and the priorities. And it's been presented as a pyramid where we start from understanding, you know, what are our objectives, starting at the ecosystem level, moving to defining priorities, advice.

But ultimately what we're working towards is maintaining the resilient ecosystems

and again now coupled with the human dimensions components.

And so Doug Lipton and Jason have been working on the integration of human dimension elements, so economics, socio-cultural considerations in the integrated ecosystem assessments, which we've talked about in the past and the EBFM science.

And there's this now what they're leading now or they're pushing forward now is the development and the integration of human dimension and resilience indicators.

And when you look at what we're talking about and what this human dimension working group workshop looked at was what aspects of this human dimension we're looking at.

It really is broad in terms of what's considered, whether it's recreational or commercial fishing or shipping or, you know, the health of a port or the tourist industry or, anything from, as I said, subsistence fisheries or processing.

And so this really begins to look at the human dimension in all of its components with regard to also looking at the ecosystem resilience and the ecosystem health.

And so there's a social indicators website that's been developed and the link is down there. And I believe there are, how many -- sorry, I want to make sure of the right number.

There are 13 indicators currently in use.

And it covers about 3,800 communities, 24 coastal states, and you can go on the website and you can click on things. It says over here, labor, housing, population composition, et cetera.

This one happened to be clicking on poverty levels and again it's the coastal states and that's why, you know, I wondered why is all this stuff inland but it's by state and it's by coastal state.

And so when you click on these things, you get a sense of whether in this case looking at vulnerability, high is in red, low is in

yellow. And when you look at that, you could begin to see what, in this case, the indicator of, in this case, the poverty element looked like.

And then you begin to look at, you know, how do you, you know, you look at other components in terms of vulnerability to what.

And so in this case, it might be looking at vulnerability to sea level rise.

And so you might have a community that is a strong fishing community, but it might be subject to, in this case, you're looking at sea level rise.

There's other things that you can look at. You know, you can look at social vulnerability, the labor force or, as I said, the gentrification pressure, you know, are we going towards, you know developments that might displace existing fishing efforts or communities and so on.

In this case, we picked up in this example over here is looking at sea level rise

vulnerability and again you get a map of in this case in the Northeast, where you have some areas that again are vulnerable to sea level rise and then yellow is the ones that are low vulnerability levels.

And then you put that together, you begin to look at those things and you look at linking in this case an example of catch diversity to species vulnerability.

And so again staying in the Northeast, you look at something that has low catch diversity in red and then you combine that with something that you look at the species vulnerability.

And in this case, vulnerability may be related to say shifts associated with warming or changes in environmental conditions that might make them more vulnerable to whatever external pressure you might be looking at.

And then when you combine the two, you look at what in a case where you have low diversity and high vulnerability, then you begin

to understand the exposure, I guess, or the risk of those communities in this context, in this socioecological context.

And so an example would be if you look at two communities, one in New Bedford,

Massachusetts, which we know has the strong scallop fishery, Point Judith, which is more groundfish.

But if you look at the different aspects of fishing dependence, social vulnerability, climate change vulnerability and what you find is that in the scale of low versus high vulnerability, New Bedford might actually be more vulnerable than Point Judith under certain conditions, in this case, under climate change variability.

And in this case, the example here is, this being scallops, if in fact the ocean is acidifying and so on and if in fact this does affect the calcification if you will then that makes them more vulnerable than say, for example, Point Judith that is not dependent on the scallop

fishery.

So this combination of factors both ecological and sociological are being brought together in terms of the next step of EBFM and resilience.

And so the idea is that when you look at vulnerability and resilience, you can perhaps look at time series in the sense that you can have an initial baseline of, you know, in this case vulnerability resilience characteristics, social vulnerability, economic vulnerability, fishing engagement and sea level risk for example.

And then you can look at, you know, events or disturbances, how you adapt to those responses. And then you look at what this looks like under your ability or not to adapt.

So you begin to have a way to perhaps conceptually and hopefully eventually quantitatively be able to look at how communities may respond to these various factors of risk, resilience, vulnerability and ultimately adapting

to them.

And I think that was it on this part over here. It's a quick update. There's been a lot that's happened on the EBFM. I'll just take a step back on that.

The ecosystem-based fishery management roadmap is something that was established, the policy I think was in 2015. In turn, that has generated what are called Regional Action Plans.

And the Regional Action Plans, as the word says, they're regional. So each region has developed how we're going to implement EBFM whether it be say on the West Coast or East Coast or in Alaska.

And these are efforts that are joint between science centers, regional office councils and other groups in terms of how we incorporate EBFM in the study of the ecosystem.

And this in turn then, as I said, is part of it, but it's really pushing forward the social element with it and includes the human dimensions in a quite explicit way. And so why

1 don't I stop here and just open it up for 2 questions if there's any. MEMBER YOCHEM: Pam Yochem. 3 Thank 4 you, Cisco. I noticed the Colburn et al. 5 publication, is that a report on the workshop? I don't think so. 6 DR. WERNER: Ι think that's a separate paper. It's publicly 7 8 available. We can make it available. It's 9 public information. 10 MEMBER YOCHEM: That was my next 11 question actually. On one of the slides that you showed, it looked like it might even be available 12 13 on the website or maybe you just put it at the 14 bottom. 15 DR. WERNER: It is. 16 MEMBER YOCHEM: That's really, yes, 17 that sort of thing is super helpful to have not 18 just the citation, but --19 If you just have Colburn DR. WERNER: 20 marine policy, it'll come up and it's an opensource, it's an open access publication. 21 22 MEMBER YOCHEM: Yes, great. Thank

you.

MEMBER RHEAULT: Thanks, Cisco. I just wanted to point out that so much of this is being driven by our assumptions on the response of the shellfish population to OA and frankly, the science there is kind of flimsy.

I've done a lot of deep diving and

I've made a lot of enemies in the scientific

community by pointing out that putting a larvae

in a beaker and bubbling CO2 in it is not a proxy

for the real world.

But it drives so much of the vulnerability analysis that it almost skews the whole paper. There's very little stuff that I can really hang my hat on and say that I believe this is a serious problem until we do some real, much better quality science to determine what the response of these species is going to be to that stressor.

DR. WERNER: I don't disagree with you at all. No, I agree and I hesitated putting this example up exactly for that reason. This is not

intended to be quantitative. It's intended to be were these things to happen, this is how they come together and this is how you could evaluate the relative vulnerability of one community versus another one that perhaps I should say depends more on the scallop fishery than on the groundfish fishery.

And if in fact the scallop fishery
were to be affected one way then that would make
it more vulnerable. But I'm totally in agreement
with you on the fact that there's error bars on
this, yes, an uncertainty.

MEMBER BELLE: Sebastian Belle.

Cisco, first of all, nice to meet you and thank
you for your presentation. I just want to point
out that this presentation actually for me really
emphasizes a point that Bob made earlier
yesterday about the internal culture at NOAA and
the kind of lack of focus on aquaculture.

If you look at what's happening in coastal communities in Maine, and you look at what's happening in those fishing communities,

aquaculture is a huge part of the resilience of those communities in respect to the dependence on the lobster fishery and what may or may not happen with that single species fishery.

So I would encourage the agency to begin to integrate aquaculture into the sociological analytics because I think you're missing a big thing that's happening.

And it's not just in Maine, it's happening all around the country, and I just really want to encourage that and hope that you will in the future begin to include aquaculture as part of that analysis.

DR. WERNER: So two quick comments on that. One, there is a draft document and maybe at our next meeting it'll be draft enough or better, robust enough to discuss where we actually have ecosystem considerations of aquaculture.

And aquaculture, you know, I think it's a natural part of any discussion on ecosystems and such. So I think that your point

about the inclusion of aquaculture and these considerations more explicitly, we're working towards that, and as I said, there is a document that we're working on.

and you may have been on some of the external reviews. I know, Bob, you were on some of the external reviews last year on the science centers and the scientific efforts in aquaculture.

And there is a document now that summarized all of those findings. But the point is that we're now taking the next step and looking at what science needs to be done as a next step in aquaculture in the United States.

So you're saying that, you know, that we haven't paid enough attention to it. Maybe that's true, but we are fully engaged in terms of how we move forward.

And what we're going to work on again over the next year is what are the next steps in aquaculture science that we as an agency need to take on. And that includes both the social

science and the natural science aspect of it.

So the socioeconomics as well as how do we actually do it in the field. So point well taken on that. And I do hope to have the chance to comment on that.

CHAIR BEIDEMAN: So I'm going to go to Bob and then I'm going to let Cisco go back to his presentation.

MEMBER GILL: Thank you, Madam Chair, Bob Gill. Cisco, first let me say that I'm delighted to see the incorporation of the human dimension with the science, long overdue in my opinion.

Having said that, EBFM is a very dataintensive requirement and adding the human
dimension just ups that significantly. And doing
it regionally, regionally you'll find, as you
know, data capabilities are way far apart.

So some regions are going to be having dramatically different EBFM plans than others to the point where the regions that are really datadeficient are going to have a much different EBFM

plan than those that have a substantial database in place.

How do you plan to address that? And given the budget projections, the likelihood of raising up the bottom section, the data-deficient folks, not likely to happen to any significant extent. Would you comment on that disparity and how you see that going forward?

DR. WERNER: Yeah. So first a comment on your first one about finally putting in the human dimensions into the conversation. And, you know, it used to be that the natural sciences happened, happened, happened and then all of a sudden we would just say something about human dimensions and it was almost like an afterthought.

And I would say that the card has completely flipped now, and the conversation doesn't happen without everybody being in the room at the beginning.

And so I think that we've been able to find a common currency to talk now and understand

each other, but that's on the positive side of things.

On the subject of the difference of the data intensity of this, yes, this is one of the issues and how do we get better data and more data is one of them. And the difference between, the regional differences is in part why, you know, even though there's a national EBFM policy, we broke it into Regional Action Plans, RAPs.

And so therefore each region is playing to its strength or weakness in the sense that if a region is lacking the data then that's probably the first step that they would need to do is whatever effort they can make to build the databases up to go into to be able to more fully include ecosystem considerations.

You know, in terms of how do we collect the data and all that, that's part of sort of the advanced technologies and new methods and such that we're trying to do.

And I'll touch upon it a little bit here in terms of how can we collect more data

more efficiently, which means hopefully would not require too many more resources.

But the answer to the question is there are regional differences and that's why we broke it up into Regional Action Plans so that not everybody's expected to be at the same point in the conversation.

You want me to go on? Okay. Last one is on the -- no, going the wrong direction, yes -- the Environmental Sample Processor. Mark Strom didn't put that on there. I put it on there. It just reminded me of Terminator.

Anyway -- and that's not to scale.

Actually, this thing is about four feet tall.

Actually, that is about four feet right there.

Anyway, it's a pretty big gadget. And John Stein reported on this, and so the request here was to see if we could provide an update on it.

So the Environmental Sample Processor is an automated quantitative in situ sensing system that you'd, like it says in situ, so you put it out in the water. It's high frequency,

and it looks at near-real time data delivery in the early warning of harmful algae and their toxins.

And so there it is being deployed up there in the top right, and after it's deployed here's the surface of the water, and this is about 80 meters in this case, so it's about, whatever, 240 feet or so.

And it's like a mooring, and it sits here, and it actually can send data back in near-real time to land, and it actually tells you what's out there. And I borrowed some of the images from John Stein's presentation last time.

You know, and the importance of this particular, you know, instrumentation and warning system. You know, the harmful algal blooms are occurring perhaps more frequently than before.

Two years ago, there was a record harmful algal bloom outbreak along the West Coast that really, you know, affected a lot of the fisheries, the Dungeness crab fishery and others.

And it really extended all the way

from California to Alaska sometimes, not necessarily all at once, but all parts of the U.S. West Coast all the way to Alaska were affected somehow at some point in time.

And in this case, this gadget sits out here, and it transmits data, you know, somewhere, to Seattle. And what it does is that it's got these little chips out there or something, and you look at --- you take the water sample, and it can detect the presence of the harmful algal bloom.

And it can look at whether it's this kind of an organism or that kind of an organism or that kind of organism and does it by identifying which of the, it does it by DNA-to-DNA comparison.

So you can look at what is in the water versus what you are looking for and if there's a match then it lights up so to speak, and it says, okay, we have this kind of an alga out there. And then it also does a detection of the toxin, because not all algae are producing

toxins when they are there.

So it does two things, it says, is there an alga and secondly, is the toxin there.

And so then that is sent back onto land and then a warning can take place.

And so the progress, and this is something that the Northwest Center has been working on and here's the size of it in comparison to a person.

You know, it started in 2011 when they first took delivery of it. You know, it went out, it was deployed in about 2015 and then it started providing data and between 2016 and '17, it actually provided an early warning of a domoic acid event that actually caused the shift in the razor clam season to take place earlier and to close earlier.

So had that not occurred, had they not detected it, they would not have had a razor clam season, which is important not just for, you know, the recreational folks, but the tribal communities and such depend on this harvest.

And so just a little bit of, again

I've already said this, but it's sitting out

here. It does the analysis. It sends it, you

know, in near-real time and then it comes up on a

screen and then you can see the occurrence of it

and when it exceeds a certain threshold then that

caused the closure of the razor clam harvest, I

guess, in this case.

So that's just an update of where it is. I do want to say that we all know that, and I want to touch upon this because it's sort of in the --- it's sort of with Terminator in a science fiction thing, and this kind of looks science fictiony, but it's not science fiction. I think we're actually making progress towards this.

So in this case, you know, the instrument I was talking about is just one point here and the question is, well, is that enough to be able to characterize something that might happen, you know, West Coast-wide.

Like I said, you know, two years ago, there were occurrences of blooms that occurred as

I said from Southern California all the way into Alaska. Is one place enough, you know, to look at this? And the answer is, of course, no.

So we're looking at these instruments, these Saildrones. And so these are stiff-winged or stiff-sailed drones, and they're pretty fast, and they can actually have on them these detectors, whether they're acoustic, whether they're genomic, whether, you know, they're pretty, you know, optical instruments, et cetera.

And because they're so fast, they can cover a lot of area. In this case, this is an example of a Saildrone in the Gulf of Alaska, and this is through the trajectory it did.

In this case, it's an example of being able to detect acoustically the presence of fish. And so here's sort of a cartoon of the Saildrone and the fish underneath and here's the acoustic signal. And you can see then, you know, the spots of where, in this case, the school of pollock was.

If you go a little bit further into

this cartoon here, the schematic, what I'm looking at here is that some of these, as fish and other organisms swim, they exude stuff and that has a DNA signal to it, and you can capture that.

And if you can capture that, then you can tell what's actually in the water. And so we were having a conversation earlier that if you're doing an acoustic signal, at this point, you can say that something is there, but it's not easy to see, is it a sardine or is it an anchovy or how do you distinguish between what's there.

Well, it's possible that if you actually have a water sample, you can actually take it and actually then do the analysis and see what was it that caused that acoustic response.

And so the idea is to combine acoustics and genomics and optical things getting back to getting more data in ways that are efficient and perhaps don't rely on ships as much as we do or we can use ships in other ways.

We always need ships, but we can use

them in other ways. And there's an example of this, where again in the Northwest, and this is basically a water bottle and you're collecting the water sample.

Then you take the water sample back into the lab and hopefully in a couple of years, you can actually do it while you're at sea, and you can look at what's called an environmental, eDNA, environmental DNA or eDNA.

You can take that scoop of water and combining with the acoustic signal, you can say not just how much was there but what was there.

And so it's a way of perhaps moving forward in terms of quantifying, as well as identifying what the biomass and abundance and distributions and things might be.

So we're just quite engaged in this right now in the development of what are called - omics. So -omics is a term that refers to genomics, transcriptomics, proteomics, metabolomics, et cetera, so that whole -omics thing.

And each one tells you something else about what you're looking at. So we're just engaged in this field of -omics to really push forward the next steps in what we do.

And so that's just a little bit of an add-on to the instrument on the harmful algal bloom, because that one, you know, is an instrument like you saw. It's about four feet tall. The next step is to miniaturize it and perhaps then put it on some of these gliders so you can do this -- so you don't require that it be moored, but you can actually launch it, you know, onto gliders and other things like that.

And so with that, I'll stop. I probably went too long, but I'll stop there, if there's any questions on this last piece.

CHAIR BEIDEMAN: Okay. I have Harlon queued up, and I want to try to, sorry, and Roger, some other folks. I'll write them all down, but I want to try to get back on schedule a little bit, so if we can make it a little peppy, that'll be great.

MEMBER PEARCE: Real quick. Thank you for your presentation. I'm really interested in the technology you're utilizing. This group is very interested in that right now, and we're in discussions about how we can do a better job with a councilmatic system.

I want to go back to your slide that showed us the early warning of the acid and where you had razor clam opening and closing differently than it would have been. And I assume that's a state fishery and not a federal fishery.

DR. WERNER: Correct.

MEMBER PEARCE: What we need to do is how do we transition that to a federal fishery to be able to do the same thing? And that's a tough challenge, a heavy lift.

But I think that through the technology developing, I think we have to figure out how to consider that and how do we do things just like the state did with our federal fisheries. And we're grappling with that

question right now.

But I really enjoyed the presentation, and I love the ability to do what you did, and we need to be able to do that through all of our fisheries.

DR. WERNER: Yeah, if we could compress the time to be quantitative at the time of council meetings or other things to say for certain that we can do something then I would hope that we can do what you're saying and be more nimble in the advice that we can provide.

Yes?

MEMBER BERKOWITZ: Cisco, thank you for the presentation. Just out of curiosity, how often should surveys be done, stock assessments, for accuracy purposes?

DR. WERNER: Do I have all day? The answer, of course, it depends. Right?

MEMBER BERKOWITZ: Yeah, yeah.

DR. WERNER: It depends on the organism, you know, on the species you're looking at. Some species are long-lived so you might not

need to measure them as often. 1 Some are 2 fluctuating rapidly and you're trying to see the 3 recovery. 4 I mean we try for most species, at 5 least in fish, to I would say that probably at least every other year is when we try to do a 6 7 survey and address a particular fishery. 8 marine mammals, you could probably go longer, but 9 for fish probably every other year, if not every 10 year. 11 MEMBER BERKOWITZ: Okay. Thank you. 12 CHAIR BEIDEMAN: Peter? 13 MEMBER MOORE: Yeah, great 14 presentation, thank you. The Saildrone? 15 DR. WERNER: Yes. 16 MEMBER MOORE: Are you doing that with industry or is that solo NOAA? 17 18 DR. WERNER: No, that's industry. And 19 it's a very interesting approach. They're taking 20 off in the sense that first of all, the 21 technology seems to be working and secondly, you 22 know, they're getting a lot of clients, including

Navy, et cetera.

And their model, their business model is that they prefer not to sell you the Saildrone, they will run it for you. And you work with them, and they have a cadre of people. And you tell them, I want to do this and they'll program it and do it, and they'll interact with you.

And they say, well, you know, I saw something here. I want to spend a little bit more time here. So you work with them, but the idea is that they will do it for you, but they sort of run the Saildrone for you. It's not a bad idea actually. I think --

MEMBER MOORE: So the question is,

from a NOAA perspective, if you wanted to

integrate that information into a stock

assessment, how could you do that? How would you

do that in terms of the, you know, the standard

that you'd need for data?

DR. WERNER: You know, in terms of assessing the quality of the data and all that,

so that's the conversation we're having right now. So the first thing that we're going to have to do is the calibration. Right?

So ideally, and I cut it out because there's actually a ship here, and the idea is that first we'd have to do a side-by-side and make sure that we understand that this information is the same that the ship is getting.

If it's not, then is it better and why, is it worse and why? So the idea is that we're going to have to do sort of a parallel effort for a while to assess the quality or the comparison of one data set and the calibration of one data set to the other one and then move on.

But this is something that we're looking at very closely. It's extremely exciting to have this because, you know, many times ships spend a lot of time where there's no fish and yet, I mean, you have to sample where there's no fish.

But you could direct ships to do something that you need ships there for, and this

can do sort of the mowing the lawn that has to 1 2 happen as well, but you can basically rely on the robot to do that for you. 3 4 MEMBER MOORE: So the reason I ask is 5 that in the Northeast Fisheries Science Center, 6 I've talked with Jon Hare, John Manderson and 7 that crowd about a mid-shelf winter small pelagic 8 survey, menhaden, herring, mackerel if there are 9 any, and the hang-up is always the cost of the survey even with a commercial vessel. 10 11 DR. WERNER: Right. 12 MEMBER MOORE: And is this Liquid Robotics or is this a different Saildrone 13 14 company, do you know? 15 DR. WERNER: Yes, that's them here. 16 MEMBER MOORE: Okay. 17 DR. WERNER: Yes. 18 MEMBER MOORE: So that really opens up 19 a lot of possibilities. I think the pairing of 20 the federal ship with the private piece to ground 21 truth is the question.

Yes.

DR. WERNER:

22

I agree and --

MEMBER MOORE: And the budget.

DR. WERNER: It's something we want to do. I personally would like to do very closely.

And then analyze what the difference is between Liquid Robotics and that.

This one doesn't, each one has pros and cons. This one works -- you mentioned in the wintertime. This one works pretty well in rough waters. It'll tumble and then come back up again, and it seems to survive, you know, being shaken up quite well so far, but we've got to do a little bit more, yes.

## CHAIR BEIDEMAN: Mike?

MEMBER OKONIEWSKI: Thank you, Cisco. Several years ago, you promised me there would be a lot of things coming down the pipeline, and you weren't kidding.

DR. WERNER: No.

MEMBER OKONIEWSKI: I guess I'll start out with the first one. I've got actually two.

And one is that having been around domoic acid outbreaks and PSP before, the first concern, of

course, is food safety and so there is an overlap of state and federal agencies already involved in the Dungeness crab fishery, for example, just to protect the consumer ultimately.

In some cases, it's a PR issue as well, but there was really full court press put on by industry, Department of Fish and Wildlife in Oregon and Oregon tri-state crab commissions and FDA, to a lesser degree FDA, but they were doing inspections and ODA, Oregon Department of Agriculture just to make sure that people were aware of what was going on.

And the Northwest Fisheries Science
Center also got involved as far as what they
could do to kind of give us information in
general on this.

So I think in any case, a federal fishery or a state fishery managed, the word is still going to get out if there's any kind of concern about food safety. The disruptions in business are very extensive, especially on the marketing end of things. It really put a spike

in our heart for our Christmas season for crab.
That's one.

The other one is on the stock
assessments, which are near and dear to my heart
as you well know, Cisco. What is the projected
timeline when we might be able to utilize some of
this new technology and would we be able to -- I
would assume this would be at a lesser cost then
running the ships around -- would we be able to
augment or increase the frequency of stock
assessments in some cases by utilization of some
of this new technology or has it been thought out
that much yet?

DR. WERNER: So there are aspects that we're almost beginning to use operationally now in terms of identification of species and such that might make some of the analyses quicker.

So there's aspects of these new -omics and things like that that are trickling into the laboratory that I think will help us indirectly in the assessments.

Actually going in the field and

realizing this because of the question that was brought up in terms of calibration and understanding how we make the transition from one to the other and just wanting to make sure that we get it right, I'm looking at something like this, I would like to say five years, but it's probably more five plus.

But it's something that we're working on internally with, you know, other agencies,
National Science Foundation, BOEM, et cetera,
because there's interest in this environmental
DNA and -omics in a large way.

But we're also working with Norwegian colleagues and Japanese colleagues, who are also trying to do this. And Japan actually has made some steps in actually using some of these genetic approaches or -omics approaches to assessments of, I think they did it for Japanese mackerel.

So in some cases, it might work better than in others or more quickly than others, but I'm looking at the five to ten year range,

conservatively, I hope.

CHAIR BEIDEMAN: So I have one more and then we're 30 minutes behind almost, so I'm going to -- you have the last word.

MEMBER HAMILTON: Good morning. It's really exciting. I actually can't wait five years from now to see the application of all this and how it benefits fishery management, so thank you.

And this is for later. For now, I just want to make a comment that on the economic pieces, you know, talk about how often they should be done. These dollars here are from 2005.

And on the West Coast anyway and the Pacific Northwest, when I think about the effects of these closures for crabbing and, you know, the shellfish gathering on our side, that \$4 million could happen to the Long Beach Peninsula in one weekend practically. So really want to see those updated.

And the other thing about the

economics from the first presentation, the effects of these things go way into freshwater for the recreational industry.

The effects of multi-year on salmon species, steelhead species from the blob for instance. So NOAA frequently just looks at freshwater impacts of these things, but when you're looking at ecosystem-based fishery management and the economics, have your staff look at the freshwater effects as well.

When you're measuring the economic effects, you know, bad fish runs from bad oceans go all the way into Idaho.

DR. WERNER: Right.

MEMBER HAMILTON: And that's not usually how you look at it. So I think there's a huge undervaluing on the recreational side on the economics and the impacts.

DR. WERNER: And unfortunately, these last two or three years are good years to look at those impacts.

MEMBER HAMILTON: They are, yes,

1 unfortunately you're right. So thank you, 2 though. This is really exciting. Thank you. 3 DR. WERNER: 4 CHAIR BEIDEMAN: So thank you, Cisco 5 and if you're around, I'm sure folks will be --DR. WERNER: I will. 6 7 CHAIR BEIDEMAN: -- chatting with you 8 in the margins. So thank you for hanging in an 9 extra half-hour. So the next presentation is the budget outlook and administrative update, and we 10 11 have Stu Merrill here to present that. 12 MR. MERRILL: Sounds good. Good 13 morning, everybody. Can you hear me okay? All 14 Thank you, Kate. right. MEMBER BONNEY: 15 Can you put that in 16 your pocket if you want the self-changer or we can change it for you. 17 18 MR. MERRILL: Super, we'll go that 19 All right. Well, I apologize that Brian way. 20 Pawlak isn't able to be here with you today. Ιt 21 speaks to our D.C., Maryland and Virginia highway

opportunities with the American Legion Bridge

shutdown. Luckily, I get past that venue a bit earlier in the morning and so wasn't an issue for me this morning.

I serve permanently as the deputy chief financial officer for NOAA Fisheries and since April, Paul Doremus has been in an acting political position, and Brian has been acting as the deputy assistant administrator for operations, and I've been acting as the acting CFO, and I'd like to go through a little bit of budget information for you all.

And here we go. So just like any given year, any given time, there are three budget years in play with overlapping and intersecting issues with them.

So we've just closed out the fiscal year '17 budget at the end of September. And so we have that in the books, and it gives us good, clear historical data to be able to look at and analyze.

We are in the beginning of fiscal year '18, and I'll talk a little bit about that in a

minute, and then the Administration is working on the development of fiscal year '19 budget right now.

So for fiscal year '18, we're currently under a continuing resolution, which goes until not this Friday but next Friday,

December 8th. I was providing a presentation similar to this to a group across town yesterday.

And I believe it was at about the exact minute that I was speaking to some current events that Speaker Ryan was describing that he anticipated a short-term CR in order to get everything in order in order to do a full-year appropriation.

It was about the same moment that the president was tweeting, don't expect another CR. So your guess is as good as ours. Seriously, we do watch the popular press to have an understanding of where the emphasis is.

As we know, Congress is dealing and the Administration is dealing with some very challenging budgetary and tax issues, and the

funding of NOAA Fisheries gets caught in the midst of that. So we've got funding through next Friday and then we'll see whether another CR, a full-year appropriation or government shutdown procedures.

Unfortunately, with the way in which the federal government funding has gone over the past number of years, we're very adept at doing the government shutdown planning protocols.

So under the CR, there are no new starts that are allowed to be commenced and sometimes that can be confused. We operate under the same policy guidance as we did in fiscal year '17.

The simple analogy I'll use is if we have a janitorial contract for one of our facilities that is going to be expiring next week, we absolutely and are supposed to let a contract in order to have those janitorial services.

We were talking about with Cisco the surveys. If there was a survey and it's a

periodic cycle for that survey, we can let the contract to have that survey under a CR.

It's just we can't go into new exploratory activities, which we would need congressional guidance in order to undertake. We can't do that under a CR. And our grants can be awarded, our contract activity goes on, and we maintain operations and services.

Under the fiscal year '18 President's budget that's currently under deliberation, it was delivered to Congress back in February. And in that, from a macro scale, the Administration was making some very difficult decisions in that the Administration was looking to advance defense by \$54 billion and needing to offset that from the rest of non-defense by a reduction of \$54 billion.

Those are some difficult decisions and difficult impacts that cascade down through the ranks, specifically with a \$54 billion reduction.

For the Department of Commerce, our parent

Cabinet-level agency, the President's budget

showed a reduction of \$1.5 billion.

And as you get down to NOAA, NOAA is resolute at continuing with our core functional capabilities across the board. So whether it's observing systems or recapitalization of our fleet were described as you guys were talking about earlier, the critical nature of that and also ways to be able to augment that, our legal obligations under our guiding mandates, and fostering safe and efficient oceans for coastal navigation, so across NOAA's issues.

So here we go one step deeper and give you a little bit of visual presentation on the way in which the current budget activity looks for NOAA Fisheries.

So on the left-hand column is the FY
'17 budget as it was enacted, and the divisions
here are by our major enterprises. The second
column over is the presentation of the FY '18
President's budget.

And then both chambers of Congress, of course, the Senate and the House have marked up

that. They haven't come to conference yet. But as you can see, both the Senate mark and the House mark visually are very, very similar to what FY '17 enacted was.

As a matter of fact, as a matter of public record, those marks reverse all of the President's budget reductions for NOAA Fisheries with one or two very small exceptions.

So I'll give you a little bit of specifics in the guidance. These days, we get a lot of wonderful attention by members of Congress and their staff. With the 18 marks, we have just south of 70 specific elements of guidance coming from the appropriators.

And it helps to guide our attention, and it shows some very clear need for the world of work that NOAA Fisheries does. I'll share a few of those with you.

It shows strong support for the regional councils and commissions, funding them just about at the FY '17 levels. The House is just \$200,000 below the '17 level, and the Senate

mark is \$1.6 million above the '17 level. Strong support in salmon management, as well as, specific salmon with funding levels just above the FY '17 level.

Aquaculture had a strong bump-up in the '17 budget and so the House moderates that slightly in that they are above what our historical investment has been for aquaculture, but just a little bit below the '17 level, and the Senate is another \$5.7 million above that FY '17 level. So showing a clear -- I heard the discussion as I came in the room, the emphasis on aquaculture, and this is clear resource support behind that.

There's been attention by both chambers on Gulf of Mexico reef fish needs and that continues with the FY '18 marks and, as well as, we talked about burgeoning technologies in Cisco's presentation. And so with EM/ER, the house doesn't have any specific provisions, but the Senate directs \$3.5 million for collaboration in that regard.

We have some critical facility issues, none more critical than the safety and security of the Mukilteo Research Facility over on the west coast. And we've received initial funding for planning preparation for the reconstruction of that facility in the '17 budget.

The President's budget request does not ask for any funds for that in FY '18, and the House remains silent on that, but the Senate calls for \$10.5 million in construction funds for that facility.

There are some areas where both the House and Senate speak in unison on some issues, and the Cooperative Research to be funded at the same level as the '17 request without a reduction, as the President's budget asks for.

And the Pacific Coastal Salmon

Recovery Fund at the FY '17 level of \$65 million

not the same as the President's budget request

that would call for the elimination of funding

for PCSRF in fiscal year '18.

And then the Saltonstall-Kennedy Grant

funds based on the current estimates

approximately \$10.2 million available. And that

depends on the amount of funds that come

available to us through import tariffs. The

President's budget called for no funds for S-K in

the FY '18 President's budget.

So again, those are some details that's in the presentation that you have as we summarize those. So fiscal challenges.

Obviously across the federal government, we see flat federal budgets and mission support requirements are increasing, the cost to repair and maintain facilities, the cost to repair and operate major government vessels, the cost of federal labor and contract labor.

All of those inch up as time goes on, and so it really gets to some pretty simple algebra that if the overall budget is remaining flat and critical mission support needs are increasing, that puts downward pressure on operational dollar use.

Again, I said I was across town

yesterday. Our budget professionals from all around NOAA Fisheries are in town this week also, and I can tell you it's a very warm discussion as we talk about these challenging issues. They care dearly about the mission accomplishment for the organization.

So focusing on our strategic goals,
Fisheries does not waver. Sustainable fisheries,
protected resources and operational excellence
are at the core of what we do.

In the new administration, you see a slightly stronger emphasis on the analytical component, on the return on investment, on GDP emphasis on that. And NOAA Fisheries is very well-versed in being able to communicate our value-add to the nation in terms of the economic impact as you all know.

In improving the organizational excellence, I'd also say that the added component that we see with clarity under the new administration is in regulatory reform, and we are also well-versed in that and understand the

need to be as efficient and effective with the regulatory activity that we need to perform.

So the outlook. So this was maybe printed up last week, so another CR is likely. I don't know after the tweet yesterday whether I'd be able to say that. But, in all seriousness, with the discussions that are going on with tax reform right now, it's an awful lot of work for Congress and the Administration to do in some very short order.

So folks really are predicting that some type of furthering of a continuing resolution into the fiscal year will be upcoming. So we manage uncertainty by targeting our scarce resources on our most critical priorities.

And we are doing a number of different internal activities within the organization to make sure that we're dedicating our scarce resource dollars in the very most effective and efficient ways.

We're working hard to execute against our strategic goals and collaborating with our

partners, both state, federal and independent.

Okay, so that's a quick summary on the budget. Hopefully that's helping a little bit timing-wise and be glad to take the questions that folks would have.

CHAIR BEIDEMAN: Sebastian?

MEMBER BELLE: Sebastian Belle. I should know this number and I don't, but what is the total amount of revenue which is collected as a result of the import tariffs and what portion does your agency get of that?

MR. MERRILL: So we get 30 percent of the tariffs and so that transfer is going to depend year to year, but that is about \$150 million. And what Congress has done for many, many years is they've used a significant portion of that, somewhere between \$135 million and \$145 million as an offset to fund our base of operations.

So they get very prescriptive of those transfers. It comes into the P&D fund, the Promote and Develop fund so that approximately

\$150 million comes in. They direct somewhere between 135 and 145 to fund our base needs, and then they direct the remainder of that to be competed for the S-K grant activity.

MEMBER RHEAULT: Well, what's coming in is closer to 320 isn't it? On the tariff side?

MR. MERRILL: Let me check my numbers, Bob, and I'll get back to you.

MEMBER OKONIEWSKI: You know, on the collaboration side, do you have a rough breakout as to, I mean, I assume the coastal states or maybe not, but just kind of explain how that works or methodology involved in who gets what, how that's determined. Is it a political thing or is it --

MR. MERRILL: Mike, that goes through many, many different mechanisms, through many different grant mechanisms and many different outreach efforts. And so I couldn't collectively say specifically how much is going to which state because of all the different ways in which

1 they've done it. We've got --2 MS. LUKENS: I was just going to add that tomorrow we have Dan coming in to talk about 3 all of the different complexities of the 4 5 Fisheries' grant-making processes. 6 MR. MERRILL: Okay, good. 7 MS. LUKENS: That there's more 8 specificity for an entire hour to answer all 9 those types of questions, the nuances that Stu's 10 talking about. So you might get more down in the 11 weeds with Dan tomorrow morning. 12 MEMBER GILL: Thank you, Madam Chair. 13 Could you back, I think it's Slide 6 where you 14 showed the breakout on the budget for '18? 15 MR. MERRILL: Sure. 16 MEMBER GILL: So on the "Other 17 Accounts" section, it looks like a \$40 million 18 reduction no matter what happens in all 19 likelihood. Did you cover those when you had the 20 highlights? 21 MR. MERRILL: I didn't, because those 22 are mechanical in nature, those are not

1 That's not part of an appropriated dollars. 2 incremental request that the Administration would be making. And it --3 4 MEMBER GILL: So I guess my question 5 comes to be, are there things in that "Other Accounts" of \$40 million reduction that would be 6 7 something in which we're interested? 8 MR. MERRILL: No. 9 MEMBER GILL: All right, thank you. The \$20 million for 10 MEMBER BONNEY: disaster mitigation funds? 11 12 MR. MERRILL: Yes. MEMBER BONNEY: Which disaster does 13 14 that fund? 15 MR. MERRILL: That's a great question. 16 And so the concept of a disaster mitigation fund 17 is an advanced opportunity to hold those funds in 18 advance of that. 19 There are challenges with Congress' desire to individually evaluate each disaster on 20 21 So it kind of speaks to the way you 22 were asking your question.

There are many challenges with going 1 2 forward with a concept like that. So what we're seeing with the hurricanes this year, we're 3 4 seeing three different supplemental opportunities 5 where Congress is specifically looking at impacts 6 and making judgment on how much and where they want to direct the funds. 7 8 And so I would say that we believe that that 9 may well be the way in which they continue to move forward for that. 10 11 It's a miracle. CHAIR BEIDEMAN: 12 We're back on track. 13 MR. MERRILL: Glad to help. 14 CHAIR BEIDEMAN: Thank you. I was 15 just going to thank you for that and thank all 16 the members for your succinct questions. And we 17 are actually at a break until 10:30. So if you 18 could please come back at 10:30, we'll have 19 another update. 20 (Whereupon, the above-entitled matter

went off the record at 10:18 a.m. and resumed at

10:33 a.m.)

21

CHAIR BEIDEMAN: So, while he gets his audio working, we have a legislative update and regulatory streamlining presented by Alan Risenhoover who is the Director of the Office of Sustainable Fisheries. Thanks, Alan.

MR. RISENHOOVER: Thanks, Terri, and thanks, everybody. I'm going to run through two presentations really quick and just try and split the time between a quick update on legislation -- in particular, Magnuson Act -- and then also talk a little bit about our regulatory reform, how do I say it, efforts under the new administration.

So, we've got about 45 minutes. I'm going to try to split that, leave some time for questions in between. But we've got some folks here to help. So I'm going to start with Magnuson, run through it real quickly.

We may not have time to answer all the questions, but I have Stephanie Hunt here from my office, and Becky Lizama from the Legislative Affairs Office. If you have specific questions, catch one of us at a break or in between, and

we'll try and get those answered. How do I make the slides move?

Okay. Yes, all right. And I am going to spend a little bit of time just reading from my notes because there's a lot of details that I just can't keep up with. Again, I'm going to talk primarily, well I'm going to talk about the Magnuson-Stevens Act, legislative actions.

There are also a number related to the Endangered Species Act and the Marine Mammal Protection Act. We've included in the briefing materials a short summary of the primary bills. So take a look at that. There's links in that that will take you to the congressional site so you can see those.

But I'm not going to try and cover all of those because while we've got, you know, a dozen or so Magnuson bills in there, there's probably a dozen or so ESA bills, half a dozen that affect the Marine Mammal Protection Act, NEPA, on and on.

So I'm not going to try and cover all

of those today. So, I am going to talk about six acts, going to do a slide on each of them. Those six pieces of Magnuson legislation probably will form the basis for any final action. I don't think that will occur this calendar year, but who knows.

As Stu mentioned, they seem to have few things they need to take care of in the coming weeks, so Magnuson may not fit in with that.

So just a quick highlight on each of them. And as we go through these, I think you're going to notice a theme, and I don't think we need to test that at the end, but I think you're going to see a theme of RED SNAPPER and recreational fishing as we go through most of these.

I am not going to offer any position from the administration on these bills, we don't have one. So if you think I'm saying we favor one bill over the other, I'm not. It's my mistake.

The Council Coordinating Committee of the Councils has put together a rather detailed document on their position on a number of, not bills specifically but on a number of issues.

And we'll make sure Jennifer has that and can post that on the MAFAC site for you to take a look at.

They have a great summary that goes from issue to issue and issue. Where they have consensus, they've included that, and they've just recently transferred that document to us. So we can talk a little bit about that.

Magnuson Act, it has been a pretty busy year already. There have been seven hearings on the bill, and related legislation. There's been hearings on science. I think there's a hearing on NEPA, in fact today. So lots of activity.

Earl Comstock, who many of you know who is the current Director of Policy for the Department of Commerce, had testified at a RED SNAPPER hearing earlier, and Chris Oliver was

onboard for a month or so before he got his turn in the seat to talk about Magnuson as well.

Again, we haven't proffered any specific views on legislation, but we have said yes, we think the Act's working relatively well, in many cases very well.

It's rebuilt fisheries. But there's some fine-tuning to do, and we need to look at where we can be more flexible, still meet our statutory standards for ending overfishing, rebuilding stocks. But where we can be flexible, let's look at that.

So, the first bill is the likely contender for the one that will form the basis of any legislation that passes. And that's H.R. 200 introduced by Representative Young of Alaska, a familiar name when it comes to Magnuson Act.

It's the most comprehensive proposal.

It focuses on a wide range of reauthorization issues, but does have a little Gulf of Mexico RED SNAPPER in there as one of the driving parts of it.

ACLs in some cases for such things as transboundary stocks, allowing councils to use alternative management measures for recreational fisheries, modifying rebuilding plans and timelines -- much as we did in our National Standard 1 revisions -- establishing additional partnerships with states to develop best practices for state recreational registry programs, changing how the MSA interacts with some other statutes -- in particular NEPA and the Endangered Species Act.

It replaces the term "overfished" with "depleted", but still contains the current requirements for rebuilding those depleted species as if they were overfished.

And it also includes some catch share referendum requirements for the Gulf of Mexico, South Atlantic, New England, and Mid Atlantic Councils. So a lot in that that, as I said, the broadest piece of legislation coming out of the National Resources Committee.

The ranking minority member,

Representative Huffman from California, has

introduced, not introduced but forwarded a draft

of a bill that has not been introduced yet,

fairly comprehensive.

A number of places it overlaps with Representative Young's bill. The one place it seems to have some big exceptions with that bill is on the relationship between the Magnuson-Stevens Act and those other acts, in particular, NEPA.

But it includes some alternative fishery management measures, again looking at recreational fishing, some modifications to ACLs, rebuilding fish stocks.

There's some cooperative fisheries research required for RED SNAPPER management in the Gulf of Mexico, talks about fisheries information and the use of that in stock assessments, includes some overfishing versus depleted terms, and asks us to speed up our processing of disasters. So a rather broad bill

as well, but has not been introduced.

And then more on the theme of recreational fisheries in RED SNAPPER.

Representative Graves in the House, Senator Wicker have introduced the Modernizing Recreational Fisheries Management Act. It's focused primarily on ACL flexibility.

They don't contain RED SNAPPER specific provisions. They just in general look at flexibility for all recreational fisheries, specifically through ACL exemptions and other modifications to the ACL requirement.

It also includes language to stimulate partnerships with states to develop best practices for recreational fishing registries, requires additional studies on the MRIP Program -- the Marine Recreational Information Program -- and requires a study and periodic review of South Atlantic and Gulf of Mexico mixed fisheries, looking at the allocations.

There are several differences between the House and the Senate bill, and again, I think

we've highlighted those in some of the supplemental material we've posted on you all's site.

The Florida Fisheries Improvement Act, introduced by Senator Rubio, provides additional focus on again RED SNAPPER. It talks about modifying rebuilding timelines, looks at ACL exemption for transboundary stocks again, probably Florida spiny lobster, adjusts timelines for disaster requests to make our response quicker, and requires a report facilitating greater incorporation of information from nonfederal government sources into fisheries management decisions.

It also has some South Atlantic, Gulf of Mexico provisions for us to study and periodically review fishing allocations in those two council jurisdictions.

The RED SNAPPER Act, as you might guess, is focused on red snapper. That's an acronym. I would encourage you to see what that really means, they came up with quite a long

title to get the RED SNAPPER Act as the acronym.

So as you can imagine, it focuses primarily on red snapper recreational fishing in the Gulf, in addition to creating a nine nautical mile seaward boundary. It includes separate catch limits for private recreational, charter, and commercial sectors.

The bill allows the states to determine their respective fishing seasons for private recreational anglers within an extended area beyond the nine miles out to approximately 25 nautical miles.

However, the bills don't change the Magnuson-Steven Act requirement for annual catch limits and accountability measures. So it's unclear how the state seasons versus any federal season would be coordinated.

It also creates a requirement for the Secretary to certify state recreational fishing surveys, again to replace the MRIP program. But very red snapper focused.

Another great acronym, the Give Our

Fishermen Immediate Snapper Help bill was introduced by Representative Weber of Texas.

Again, focused on red snapper fishing primarily, well not primarily, only for the 2017-2018 season by setting a 62 day recreational season starting July 1st.

Magnuson Act reauthorization from Representative
Young to something that's fairly focused here.
So I'm going to stop on Magnuson and see if there
are any quick questions, and then I'm going to
plow ahead with regulatory reform. And I should
have said any quick questions I can answer.

CHAIR BEIDEMAN: Bob?

MEMBER GILL: You can answer them all,
Alan. Thank you, Madam Chair. Thank you for the
presentation. Recognizing your crystal ball is
cloudy and badly cracked, would you proffer an
estimate of whether or not we'll see a
reauthorization of Magnuson at the end of the
session?

MR. RISENHOOVER: By the end of the

session, you mean the end of next year. I think it's possible. Early on this year the committee folks were talking about taking the House bill to the Floor this fall. Obviously that hasn't happened yet. The Senate hasn't introduced any separate comprehensive bill.

Senator Sullivan from Alaska has held several regional-based hearings around the country. Kodiak, I think it was maybe Kodiak -- another place in Alaska. So I think that the Senate folks are starting to think about that.

said, by the end of the calendar year. But I think, you know, next year there is kind of a drive for some of these recreational and red snapper issues to be addressed, as well as some other things on rebuilding, and ACLs more broadly. So that was my cracked and foggy answer.

MEMBER HAMILTON: Liz?

MEMBER HAMILTON: So I think you said this, but I wanted to check in. I mean, there's

a real theme going on with the red snapper stuff.

And is it your sense that if a Magnuson

reauthorization goes through, there will be a,

and I don't know the meaning of what a fix looks

like, I'll leave that up to the rest of you.

But that we not continue to see red snapper bills coming through. I mean, is there an opportunity to fix whatever the problem is from all these various bills in Magnuson?

MR. RISENHOOVER: All right. So I think there's several opportunities. One is at the Gulf Council level. Can they come up with a regional jurisdiction, regional allocation for red snapper that everybody agrees with in the Gulf?

Or are we going to see a specific fix, a bill, you know, the RED SNAPPER Act for example, that fixes it legislatively. Or are we going to see something broader that may affect all recreational fisheries? Because while red snapper may be the focus right now, other fisheries maybe have other recreational

fisheries, may have similar characteristics that 1 2 Congress wants to address. MEMBER HAMILTON: Or other 3 4 characteristics. But to make Magnuson a better 5 tool on the sport side, and as encompassing and working as it is on the commercial side. 6 MR. RISENHOOVER: Right. And there's 7 8 been a lot of talk about we need to manage recreational fisheries different. We don't want 9 them to be over-fished; we don't want them to be 10 11 subject to over-fishing. But perhaps our goals 12 of how we manage those fisheries should be a little different than efficient extraction of 13 14 poundage that the recreational side is interested 15 in. 16 All right. And I'll ask Heidi to tee 17 up the reg reform thing. And we can come back to

Magnuson again. I've got a couple experts in here that's memories are still working.

So let's talk about something really exciting, regulatory reform. And thank you, Heidi. Again, I'm going to have to prop myself

18

19

20

21

up with some notes on this.

So, I'm going to walk through this real quick, just to give you an overview. It's an ongoing effort; it's something that's going to be going on into the future. So we'll have more time to talk about it in the future.

But, I wanted to start out with the MAFAC transition document that you all sent us in December of 2016. And as I discussed with a couple of you last night, MAFAC was very prophetic in what it recommended there. And Bob, I think I got that word right, right? Prophetic.

So, in the first 100 days, you suggested that the Assistant Administrator for Fisheries conduct a regional stakeholder-driven process to look and see if we had outdated, ineffective, or unnecessarily restrictive regulations with regard to fisheries.

So, obviously, the new administration saw the MAFAC recommendation and thought: these guys are on to something. How do we do that across the government, not just with fisheries?

So what you have seen, and I think you've probably seen most of these, are a series of executive orders, a number of executive orders that address the regulatory reform agenda this administration wants to push.

So it follows up directly on what

MAFAC said. So I'm going to talk primarily about

two of those executive orders today, the

Executive Order 13771, reducing regulation and

controlling regulatory costs, and Executive Order

13777 which forms a task force in each department

to look at those regulatory reform agendas.

I'm going to talk about what we're doing and next steps that we'll be taking within the Agency, and in particular in concert with the councils to address those.

So I can read my notes. So again, the goal of this is: how do we get at those regulatory burdens and relieve those from the public? So, a comprehensive review of regulations under the 13771, and I'll give you some more details, and then the task force.

But as I mentioned, there's a number of others that talk about regulations, in particular in speeding or improving our environmental compliance processes in terms of infrastructure projects, industry projects, and other things.

So I'll talk a little bit about this, but really these other EOs, I think we've got a link on your website to them if you want to go look at them. But I'm really going to concentrate on 13771.

So again, the goal is to eliminate -under this executive order -- two regulatory
actions for each new significant regulatory
action to provide an opportunity for us to
identify and repeal outdated, ineffective, and
unnecessary regulatory actions. Again, they're
parroting the MAFAC language there.

So, a couple things to understand on this. Some regulations need to be offset, some don't. So the regulations that need to be offset on the two-for-one category -- so you have to

repeal or replace, or repeal two regulations to issue a new one -- the ones we have to do that for are significant ones.

And significant refers back to another executive order that's been around for a long time, 12866, that talks about what a significant regulation is, and I can get into that in a minute.

So this executive order sets up these new regulations and then regulatory actions, deregulatory actions. And deregulatory actions can be any regulation. They don't have to be these significant regulations per that old executive order.

So what we are doing internally is we're, in effect, kind of creating a ledger or a bank account that for every significant reg we put forward, we have to repeal two. We also then have to look at the cost of that significant regulation and offset the cost of that with our deregulatory actions.

So again, the cost cap is trying to

get at that: how do we reduce the cost of regulations on the public? So when we're doing costs right now, you know, any reg we have going through we have a regulatory impact analysis that has an economic assessment with it.

We do that under this OMB Circular A-4 which if you're not sleeping well at night is your tool. And so I won't go into that, but we're hoping the current economic analysis that we're doing now will suffice for these executive orders.

economic analysis process to address that. So
we're using that as our ability to look at costs.
And I think, as you know, particularly in
fisheries regulations, there's some things that
require additional burdens on the industry -- you
know, you've got to use the specific year and a
specific area during a specific time of the year
-- but it also may relieve some things in the
same reg.

So we're going to make this area

smaller but we're going to establish this area.

You got to use a different mesh size, you got to
use circle hooks here, you got to use J hooks
there. So on these bundle rules, we can look at
those and say by and large, this is a
deregulatory action, counts for that two-for-one,
and it offsets some costs.

And sometimes we'll be able to monetize those costs, sometimes we may not be able to monetize those costs. So we would leave it as a deregulatory action.

The cost offset is an agency-wide requirement. So it's just not fisheries regulations in one council, you know, being offset; it's at the department level. So this ledger and this bank account I'm talking about is being done at the agency level.

The geographic sector similarity provision is that you should try to take, where you can, costs and benefits, costs or cost reductions in the same sector to offset those.

So OMB has issued a series of guidance

that we've been working through to try and implement these to try to figure out how do these executive orders relate to our business, the fisheries management one.

And it talks about there's some expressly exempt actions under these executive orders, and those are things like military, national security, foreign affairs -- what you would expect -- emergency actions where they're critical for health, safety, financial, other things.

So if we do have, you know, an algal bloom, harmful algal bloom, we don't have to offset the cost of that. That's for public safety, so it doesn't apply.

And it doesn't prevent us from issuing significant rules. Again, it just allows us, if we are going to issue those, that we do need to offset them.

So in the case of Magnuson Act, the guidance talks about routine fisheries actions.

Initially, we looked at this and thought, okay,

when we have a quota go up, can we bank the costs, or I mean, the benefits associated with that increased quota.

So if the quota goes up and fishermen are able to harvest more fish and it's worth a million, do we get to count that? The answer is no, and that makes sense because if the quota goes down, you know.

So these routine actions we're trying to define as ones that only affect when the quota switches. So the goal here is, you know, the resource may go up and down, that's fine. We can issue those rules.

What we're trying to get at is: how do we drive the innovation to reduce regulations on the industry to increase the benefits? So for example, maybe you can open new areas that were closed because you have a technology that captures whatever the information was you wanted in that area.

So some of the video recording, if you don't have observers, maybe you have a video

camera. That allows you to go into a higher bycatch area. That area may be closer to shore, more productive. Can we open that up and offset that as some costs?

So we're still working with the Office of Management and Budget on some of these definitions on what regs fit into what categories. And hopefully we can move forward with some regulations as we have in the past.

So the other executive order, the 13777, creates this task force at the department level that I mentioned. And those tasks force at each department are responsible for ensuring that the reg reform agenda is moving forward, and that your cost savings are higher than your costs with the regulations going forward, and you're meeting that two-for-one requirement.

So, for the Department of Commerce, that's constituted at the department level. It's chaired by one of the General Counsel at the Department, right now, NOAA's acting General Counsel is our representative to that task force.

All right, so when you think of the goals of these EOs which is to look at your regulations, get rid of ones that aren't necessary or ones that are burdensome or inefficient, you know, that's something we really do through the council process.

We don't issue a reg and walk away from it for ten years. The Council probably looks at that fishery every year, will issue new specs on a one to two to three year timeframe.

Also, the industry is always working to: how do we better use that resource?

So we have, we think, already met a lot of the requirements of this EO for public review of our regulations through the council process. Now, we also need to think about it in terms of the Endangered Species Act, the Marine Mammal Protection Act, and perhaps other regulations we issue.

But with the council process, I think we've got a really good, built-in regulatory review. What we need to do is just stimulate

those councils to be thinking along the lines of:
where can we lift regulations that may be
burdensome to the industry?

Also, the Magnuson Act and some other statutes we operate under already have review processes. So when OMB comes and says well, you know, you need to have public hearings on all your regulations, well under the Magnuson Act we have a number of public hearings at the council level, let alone when it gets here.

So we're already doing a lot of what the administration wants on this through public review. We also look back through the Regulatory Flexibility Act. You've probably heard of our 610 reviews.

We look at any reg that's seven years or older and do a public review. I think that's going to be coming out fairly soon for this year. So we do that.

The lapse in catch share requirement in the Magnuson Act requires that you've looked at your catch share program, you know, every five

to seven years depending on when that started.

Listed species under the Endangered Species Act,
we have a five year status review.

We may not make all of those on a five year basis, but we have some of those in place already. And so then some other policies we've issued recently, such as the allocation policy, includes some additional requirements for review of allocations and triggers that would determine whether you need to look at your allocation.

So a little bit on what we've done so far. And I think most of you saw the Federal Register notice we put out in I believe it was August requesting comments across all these executive orders.

Again, the executive orders looked at regulations in general, they looked at regulations associated with infrastructure, regulations associated with environmental review and other things.

What we tried to do is combine those with the National Ocean Service into a single

request saying, okay, for all of these executive orders, look across all our regulations and tell us what you think.

We got about 160 comments. A lot of them were supportive of what we had done. There were some that weren't, as you would imagine, and some were council-specific, some were ESA-specific, some were MMPA-specific, there were comments on aquaculture, how can we streamline and improve that process.

So based on that, we're moving on with how do we manage these comments as well as an overall regulatory review. We've put together a headquarters working group that's being led out of Jennifer's Office of Policy and Heather Sagar in the back is leading that effort to look across the Agency on: where do we need to review our regulations, and then what are the potential outcomes of doing that?

So we've created and implemented a cost worksheet, you know, kind of where are we with our significant rules, generating costs, and

where are we with our de-regulatory rules, generating cost savings and starting to track those, working with OMB to make sure our definitions are good to start meshing those together.

And if you remember yesterday, the

Admiral put some of those results up where he had

that one slide where he talked about \$100 million

in savings and listed some specific actions.

So that's what we're trying to do. We don't have a public interface for looking at this yet. The Department's Regulatory Reform Task

Force will at some point report to the President on how we're doing. But \$100 million is roughly what we're looking at.

One of the regulations we had up there we've been working with OMB on, and we don't think we're going to get to count as a cost savings. But anyway, we're trying to make sure that we have that either you want to think of it as a positive balance of negative costs, right? If you're an economist, that's how they think.

so, we're looking at all our regulations from a headquarters perspective.

Anything that we do as an agency, and I think we have some national regulations on exempted fishing permits. So we're going to be looking at those.

Protected resources, habitat

conservation, and our International Affairs

Office are looking at their regulations to see

well, which ones can we look at that maybe need

updated, are they still necessary, or are they

ineffective.

And then finally, we're starting a review with the councils where we have sent them the comments we received on our wider request so that they can start looking at: do they believe those need to be addressed based on stakeholder comments?

And I think as you see the councils going forward, you're going to start seeing agenda items related to this Executive Order 13777. In fact, I think the South Atlantic

Council is having one next week where they're going to set aside an hour and invite public comments specifically to meet the demands of this executive order, in addition to their normal processes.

So I think that's going well because we really, with the councils, have a built-in system. So a couple of examples of what we're looking at as counting toward cost savings.

In the Atlantic Highly Migratory

Species Fishery Management Plan, we had the
ability for people to have a single permit that
would allow them to commercially fish and
recreationally fish.

Based on some Coast Guard regulations, they're required to get safety gear, and all those boats in that category would have had to have that safety gear. So simply what we're trying to do is split those out. People that want a commercial permit can have that commercial permit, and they have to meet those safety requirements.

If they don't, they can fish recreationally; they don't have to meet those requirements. So we've alleviated that requirement, kind of a Coast Guard requirement, form a large number of those recreational boats, and we're using that as an example of how we're saving costs or making sure that, you know, this as an ineffective reg, how do we make it more effective?

Some other things you may hear around the country of, you know, some of the habitat amendments, some of the spatial management requirements we have, do we need those to effectively manage the species as the stock rebuilds, or what. Do we have gear technologies that we can reach the same conservation goal without as much regulation.

One additional thing I'll mention,
this one Executive Order, 13783 on promoting
energy independence, the Department recently
released its report on that. And there's a
number of NOAA fisheries related items in there,

not so much Magnuson.

It talks about EFH, Essential Fish
Habitat consultations, and how do we do those
more effectively and quicker? There are some
results in that outlining how we want to improve
our Marine Mammal Protection Act issuance of
letters of authorization and incidental
harassment authorizations.

And our goal is trying to reduce those by 25 percent so that it's quicker, more responsive to the industry.

Similarly, under the Endangered

Species Act, how do we do our Section 7

consultations and biological opinions more

effectively and more quickly so that the industry

that's affected -- either the fishing industry or

an infrastructure project -- has more certainty

that we will get those done on a timeline that

then doesn't hold up that project? So that's one

deviation from the other EOs.

So, I'm going to end now with, you know, what are we doing next? And I think most

of you will be participating in this at some level from the Council or as a member of the public, is our headquarters office is trying to do an initial review by this December on what regulations may need to be changed.

regulations that we see could be changed. We're going to try and look at other regulations and get those reviewed by July. We're working with the Councils to identify what they think need to be done with their regulations, also by July of next year.

in place that may not have a review associated with them. So the councils are going to continue their work on their fishery management plans and their regulatory amendments and their annual specifications as part of this ongoing process I was talking about that I think -- where we really meet the intent of these executive orders just because the process we have.

What we need to do is lean into: how

do we make sure that if you have, you know, three layers of regulation to meet the same goal, you get rid of two layers of that and still meet the same goal to help the industry.

And then also, you know, Endangered Species Act, Marine Mammal Protection Act, what do we do with aquaculture, how do we improve that process with other agencies to streamline those permits as well?

And with that, I will stop again and see what questions may be out there.

CHAIR BEIDEMAN: Bob?

MEMBER RHEAULT: Bob Rheault. So,
quite often, regulatory burdens don't come in the
form of a regulation but what I'm finding more
often come in the form of a consultation.
Essential fish habitat or protected resources
will come out with an interpretation that
threatens to eliminate half of New York's
aquaculture output.

How are we going to address those sorts of regulatory burdens?

MR. RISENHOOVER: So, those burdens are: is that necessary? You know, is it necessary to eliminate half of New York's EEZ or whatever you mentioned. Or can we finer scale that, or can we have pilot projects. So part of it is timing of the consultation needs to improve as well as the effectiveness of that.

So I think I really focused more on timing on a lot of this. But if you go back to those executive orders, it talks about ineffective, inefficient. And so I think that gets at what you're talking about on: how do we not only shorten the time of our consultation, but have the information to make it a better consultation as well?

And one idea on that that's come up is some more programmatic sort of things. So it's not a consultation on, you know, ten different projects throughout New York; it's a consultation on that issue of New York, so that maybe you don't have to have a full consultation on everything.

So there's a lot to, a lot of work to do on this, just on the reg side as well as on, as you're talking, how do you make them more effective or less burdensome?

MEMBER RHEAULT: Or sometimes it's a management issue of reining in some well-meaning individual with an anti-aquiculture agenda who thinks that he has a better understanding of the intent of the regulation. Just throwing that out there as a potential possibility.

MR. RISENHOOVER: Well, and again, part of this is that stakeholder-driven process -- we're hearing from the stakeholders whatever issue may exist.

MEMBER OKONIEWSKI: Yes, I wonder if there's going to be a look at the costs involved in the time it takes to actually put a regulation into effect. I mean, in some cases on the West Coast it takes, you know, a decade.

So I mean, and they're going over and processing a lot of the same items over and over again. So it requires staff dedication, and in

some cases staff we don't have, which just drags the whole process out.

But as much as I like the direction this is going, in many respects, I also think that you have to look -- Bob brought up an excellent point. There's manifestations of a regulatory process that aren't directly the regulation themselves that kind of take on aspects of cost, time, and delay in many cases.

And I think you have to look at the whole package, I guess. Implementation itself is, if it takes a long time to get through a process, it adds a huge cost burden, and the economic effects impact on the community, or fishing community, are sometimes pretty enormous.

MR. RISENHOOVER: And so I think part of that is -- you know, and I know there's been some issues on the West Coast -- is staffing, right? And so are all of those regulations that the council recommends necessary? Is there a priority to those that we can use?

And then internally, you know, it's

kind of a systems analysis of how many levels of review do we need for each item, or can we reduce that. And we've looked, you know, over time.

The regulatory streamlining initiative of ten years ago in SF was well, do we need everything reviewed by my office at headquarters.

And the answer to that was no. So we're trying to make some of those process improvements as well.

MEMBER OKONIEWSKI: In some cases,
many cases, in fact, on the west coast it's
actually the industry that's pushing for the
regulation because we are burdened by regulations
from the past that never got removed, et cetera,
et cetera.

But I would question whether it's -we can always point to the staffing. But I think
in some cases, it's management of the staffing as
well, and the tasking that comes out from the
upper echelons, I guess.

MR. RISENHOOVER: Other thoughts? And again, I'll be around a while. If you have a

specific question, again, we hopefully are giving 1 2 you some links to all of these that you can --CHAIR BEIDEMAN: Julie? 3 MR. RISENHOOVER: And as we get 4 reports, we'll get those out. 5 So, one other thing that 6 MS. BONNEY: I'm finding is I keep getting queried from the 7 8 Alaska Region about Paper Reduction Act. 9 they're asking about, you know, like, information that the industry needs to provide and whether 10 11 it's still pertinent. And I didn't really see 12 that, and so that seemed like another EO. 13 don't know if that's part of the same package. 14 MR. RISENHOOVER: I don't think that's this -- reporting requirements aren't a specific 15 16 part of the EO that I can think of. They may be. 17 But I think that's, you know, if we're collecting 18 information, part of the normal Paperwork 19 Reduction Act process is those come up for review 20 over some period of time. So you may just have 21 some five year PRA things expiring. 22 But again, that's something -- you

know, if we're not using the information, is it because it's not necessary anymore, or are we just collecting it because the industry thinks it's important, or it's how it's used for management. Or you know, we've had some efforts to reduce sending paper. Can we send that electronically now? In some cases, yes; some cases, no.

All right, I'm going to say I hit my 45-minute mark and sit down.

CHAIR BEIDEMAN: Excellent. Well, thank you very much for that. And you said you would be hanging around a little while. So, and you have some staff here to help. Any more questions? I'm dying to know what that acronym stands for, so I'm resisting. The red fish one? PARTICIPANT: RED SNAPPER.

CHAIR BEIDEMAN: The RED SNAPPER one.

But that's okay. So, next, we're going to the

State Directors. And you can decide amongst

yourselves, your little cabal over there, who

goes first.

MEMBER DONALDSON: Thank you, Madam
Chair. I've got a couple of issues in the Gulf.
First is budget. We're working with, under the
new paradigm that less is more. Our data
programs have been level funded for, as well as
Bob and Randy's, for a number of years. And it's
getting more and more difficult to accomplish the
critical work that we're doing.

We have been working with Paul and Brian to help reduce or eliminate the administrative fees. And that would alleviate some of these issues, not all of it. But it would -- and I do appreciate the efforts of trying to find some funding in these difficult times.

And then we also are continuing our efforts of working and going up and talking with Congressional Staffers and emphasizing the importance of the data collection activities and the need for funding to continue so we can continue to do effective management and stock assessments and whatnot.

Another issue that we've been working on is aquaculture. Both Paul and Mike mentioned it yesterday. We're in our second year of oyster aquaculture activities. We sent out an RFP earlier in the year, received about 20 proposals and are in the process of reviewing and making decision on which of those will be funded.

We're hoping to get money out in early 2018 to continue work on that. The first year projects, most of them are either completed, or close to be completed. And we're putting together a general session in our March 2018

Commission Meeting, where they will be presenting their findings form those projects, and looking forward to that.

Also, as Paul mentioned, we're working on the regional pilot projects with the other two commissions, \$500k to each commission. The RFP is going out Friday. And hopefully, we'll begin work in early 2018 on that, and looking forward to, at least in the Gulf, looking forward to seeing if we can't get some clarity on the whole

permitting process in the Gulf of Mexico and how that's going to work.

And then, of course, I've got to mention RED SNAPPER. I think I would get fired if I didn't. Most -- in kind of the recent activities, NOAA asked each of the states if they were interested in submitting an EFP, an Exempting Fishing Permit, for red snapper data collection.

Most of the states have submitted something. But in order for those EFPs to work and be effective, there needs to be some decision on allocation amongst the states. That has not happened in the past. There seems to be some interest in reexamining that.

Later in mid-December, I'm meeting with our state directors to talk about that. And I'm hopeful that we can come to some agreement and move forward with this issue and see if we can't come to some agreed upon solutions for RED SNAPPER so we're not talking about RED SNAPPER every time we get together.

But those are the main areas that we're focusing on, and will be focusing on in 2018. And I would be glad to answer any questions.

MEMBER BEAL: Microphone's on. Maybe

I'll just keep going. Thank you, Madam Chair.

I'll hit seven highlight areas from the Atlantic

Coast fairly quickly. And again, you know, happy

to answer any questions afterwards.

There's some common themes, obviously, with what Dave just talked about, the budget and surveys and some other things. But along the East Coast, this year, coming up in 2018, Forage, Fish Management is going to be an important issue.

That's, you know, sea herring, mackerel, Atlantic herring, I mean, menhaden.

Menhaden is managed by ASMFC, and that's a big ticket item right now for us. You know, there's one large harvesting facility in Virginia, and it's a very economically important facility.

But there's ecological services

provided by menhaden, and striking the right balance between the industry and the ecological services is a tough thing for the states to achieve.

And that we're moving toward what we call ecological reference points which are going to take into account the biology of menhaden as well as all the predatory demands and ecological services that menhaden provide.

And we hope to have those reference points completed by 2018, and then we can recalibrate the fishery at that time. But it's going to be a lot of lead-up work this year to meet that 2019 timeline.

Allocation is always a big deal along the East Coast. We have a number of fishery management plans at ASMFC that allocate state-by-state quotas on the commercial side. And there's some actually on the recreational side, too, which is interesting.

But for example, Atlantic striped bass uses data, commercial data from 1970 through 1979

to allocate the commercial quotas. And that's getting, you know, 40 plus years behind us now.

And is that the right thing, is that appropriate?

You know, how do we modify those quotas.

Anytime you modify allocation, you create winners and losers -- another thing that's not easy to do. But the states are going to have to work through that, come up with some creative approaches to allocating the species up and down the East Coast.

And we manage a number of those species in combination with the Mid-Atlantic and New England Council, and it's a pretty cumbersome process when all the, you know, states have to agree and we have to get together with the councils and come up with one coherent plan between state level and federal level management.

So allocation is going to take a fair amount of bandwidth over, you know, 2018 and beyond.

Funding, I think I'll just echo what

Dave said earlier. You know, we're level funded.

And that, you know, NOAA Fisheries has been very generous, and I sincerely mean that, with funding for a number of the near shore and interstate surveys from NEAMAP and CEAMAP and horseshoe crab and a number of other things we manage.

So, we've been able to piece those surveys together. But as everyone here knows all too well, you know, the cost of doing that at-sea work is going up very quickly. And keeping, you know, even with the generosity of NOAA Fisheries, there's, it's not a bottomless pit of money.

So we, you know, it's a struggle to figure out how to keep those surveys going and make sure we are spending the, you know, limited dollars on the priority work that needs to be done. And that's a struggle.

No one wants to see any time series of data, you know, halted. But, you know, those are the tough decisions that may face some of the states, and the East Coast in the near future.

You know, one of the examples there, while I'm speaking, is in the northern shrimp

stock which is a species that's in the Gulf of
Maine, you know, New Hampshire, Massachusetts and
Maine harvest those animals.

Due to the warming temperature of the water in the Gulf of Maine, that fishery's been closed for the last five years. And you know, should we continue to survey a stock that's, it's \$120,000 a year to run the survey.

Does it make sense to keep running that survey when there's really, unfortunately, no signs of that stock rebounding right now? And those are the tough decisions that I think the east coast states are going to have to face sooner rather than later.

The next few items all deal with data. The east coast states recently took over the site intercept portion of the MRIP program. So the states all conduct the interviews at the docks, and on the beach, and boat ramps with the fishermen as they're coming back from their trips and ask, you know, what did you catch, can I measure your fish and those sorts of things.

So states just completed their, or are about to complete their second year on that along the east coast. It's a big shift from how things were being conducted. It's going, I think it's going very well.

We've been able to collect more
samples at the same price that a contractor was,
you know, achieving in the past. So that's good
news. I think there's growing confidence I guess
is the best way to put it, in that data in that,
you know, the fishermen seem to be more
comfortable seeing states with a North Caroline
Department of Natural Resources or Division of
Marine Fisheries logo on their shirt versus an
RTI Contracting. Who knows what RTI is.

But they know, you know, their local state fish management agency. So, you know, that's going pretty well. Along the East Coast, we still have a bit of a disconnect between what the MRIP program collects and the way the managers want to use that data at times.

The managers want to mine very deeply

into that data at a resolution it really wasn't designed for, and that creates some problems.

And, you know, the hard part is how do you fix that.

Do you adjust the recreational data collection program to the resolution that you want the data, or do you adjust your management program to, you know, to coincide better with the data that you have on hand? And that's a, again, a difficult thing the states are going to have to work through.

We're moving forward with a number of electronic reporting activities along the East Coast, working with the councils, through the Atlantic Coastal Cooperative Statistics Program, ACCSP which is essentially the FIN Program on the East Coast.

The Mid-Atlantic is switching all the for-hire vessels over to an electronic VTR mid-March. Then New England Council may follow suit soon thereafter. South Atlantic as well.

So, we're slowly moving toward

mandatory electronic reporting for some of the fisheries. Seems to be fishermen for the most part are embracing that. It's a change for doing business for them, and it takes a little while.

But if we can, you know, the transition is tough sometimes where there's a requirement for an electronic VTR in one fishery but you still have to do your paper VTR in another fishery, and the work is a bit redundant sometimes.

So, going from, you know, completing that transition is tough, but I think we're heading in the right direction and a number of fishermen are helping us out with that transition, and it should be good.

The other activity, the last activity on data is FDDV which is Fishery Dependent Data Visioning, which is something that the ASMFC and the Northeast Region, GARFO, are working together on looking at: overall, how are we going to collect fishery-dependent data in the future. How are we going to warehouse that in one central

location through ACCSP and what should that program look like?

You know, overall we've kind of been doing it piecemeal so far. And the idea is, how can we pull all of that together and really make ACCSP the one-stop shop for data along the east coast? So that's an ambitious effort, but it seems to be going pretty well.

The final thing I'll talk about is compliance. ASMFC is different from the other two commissions in that, you know, the states get together on the east coast and develop fishery management plans that the states are obligated to implement.

And earlier this year, for the first time since 1993, the Secretary of Commerce didn't agree with the ASMFC on one of those findings.

And the Secretary did not find the state out of compliance. It was a summer flounder recreational fishing issue in New Jersey.

And, you know, that sort of sent shockwaves through the ASMFC system with concern

about what does that mean for the future. Has the underpinnings of the Atlantic Coastal Act and ASMFC really been impacted by this, and is this a trend or is it a one-off situation?

And we've had a number of meetings, the ASMFC leadership, and the Secretary of Commerce's office. And they're good meetings. And the Secretary has ensured the states that, you know, this is not a trend, it's not -- the underpinnings of ASMFC have not been shaken.

You know, what happened in New Jersey was a very unique set of circumstances that led to that decision. Each of the future non-compliance decisions, if and when they come up, will be handled on a, you know, one-by-one basis and analyzed on their own merit.

So, I think that was the answer that we wanted to hear. It still is -- you know, the worry of a precedent is still out there. And, you know, the Secretary clearly said he's not going to automatically just side with the states and the states don't have to comply with ASMFC

plans.

So I think that was a good message to hear from where I sit, obviously. But I think securing that relationship between the Secretary of Commerce and the east coast states is something we're going to have to work on quite a bit over the next year or two.

You know, seems to be we're heading in the right direction, we just have to continue that conversation. So those are the highlights from the east coast, and happy to answer any questions.

CHAIR BEIDEMAN: I have a question.

I'm in New Jersey, so, believe me, I've heard

plenty from everybody's opinion on that summer

flounder. But, as for New Jersey, a couple of

species are managed by the legislature, and not

specifically by the Atlantic States like other

fisheries are.

So, how does that interplay? I mean, striped bass and lobster, I believe, are the two that are specific to the legislature there, which

is sometimes functional and sometimes not.

MEMBER BEAL: As legislatures are, right? Well, the state of New Jersey is ultimately required to comply with striped bass and lobster plans that are developed through ASMFC.

If the legislature is not functioning as efficiently as possible, which happens in a lot of states, their commission, you know, often gives them more time to work through the legislative process, and sort of understands that that takes some time.

And should the Commission get to a non-compliance finding, the Secretary has a six-month discretionary window where the Secretary could say, well, technically New Jersey or another state is out of compliance, but they're working their way to get back in compliance. And the Secretary can use that six-month window to, you know, decide if and when a moratorium should be implemented.

So there is some flexibility in the

system, but ultimately the states still have to, you know, comply regardless of how they regulate those animals. And, you know, Virginia, the menhaden fishery's managed through their legislature down there, which is interesting.

It's the only fishery in Virginia, which is interesting.

But, South Carolina, most of the fishery management is handled through their general assembly, and a number of other states have those same situations. But they all, you know, given the Commission's flexibility, we could work with them if they're -- you know, the intent is to move toward implementation.

MEMBER FISHER: Morning. I don't know about you folks, but I sure wish I would have had another glass of wine last night.

so, there's a few kind of interesting issues. On the west coast, the most lucrative fishery is the Dungeness crab fishery. It's worth about \$180 million a year. Last year we had 78 whale entanglements that we know of. And

about two months ago, we found a baby whale, humpback, in the mouth of the Columbia with four Dungeness crab pots on it. So it's not a good thing. I've seen it on national television about three times.

We had a meeting with a number of the crab fishermen in trying to figure out what we're going to do about this. But it's a real issue, and it's not going to go away.

The State of California -- which the states manage the cod fishery, it's not a federal fishery -- the State of California was sued by a number of environmental groups about a month ago.

So, we're moving through this trying to figure out how to solve the problem. Most of it's happening off of California, but they are moving up the coast. They come through in early April. One of the options is to shut the fishery off before that, or I don't know what else to do. I mean, the good news is there's more whales, the bad news is there are more whales. So that's kind of the issue.

Another issue that's kind of interesting is, off of the San Juan Islands, there is a pod of orcas that are not doing well. Scientists, both Canadians and Americans, have been working on this. This is part of U.S./Canada treaty negotiation discussions.

The issue really is that these particular orcas are targeting large chinook salmon, most of those over 30 pounds. So, the options are not obvious. Or the options are going to be real obvious, because we're either going to have to shut down the fishery at certain times, or the State of Washington wants to ramp up on hatchery production. So it'll be interesting to see how we move forward in this issue.

Yesterday, it was mentioned about winter steelhead and sea lions. It's a real issue in the Upper Willamette Valley because winter steelhead are on the list of threatened or endangered. The probability of them going extinct has went from six percent to well over 95

percent.

The issue really is this: when the Marine Mammal Act was passed, there was about 10,006 California sea lions. We're now up to over 300,000. Oregon State University just did a study which is quite interesting. In 1975, they estimated that pinnipeds and killer whales ate five million chinook salmon a year. That estimate now is up to about 31.5 million that they're eating.

Compared with commercial and recreational harvest over the same period of time, commercial and recreational harvest was about 3.6 million salmon a year. We're now down to about 2.1 million. So we need to do something about the issue of California sea lions.

We're also involved with a dam removal issue on the west coast, with the Klamath. If you look at the harvest on the west coast of chinook, where salmon basically is controlled by what happens in the Klamath. There were four dams that were owned by Warren Buffett. Those

dams have been -- ownership has been transferred.

The states have been in agreement they will remove the four dams. It's supposed to start in 2020. With the current administration, I'm not sure what we're going to do now. So, it's an issue that is a big deal on the west coast in terms of how to handle these dam removals.

We've been involved in the camera review process for about four years. We have four people in my office that are reviewing cameras on a daily basis. We have 32 boats in Alaska with cameras on them currently. That number will increase in the future.

On the west coast, we have about 56 boats with cameras on them. Long story short, it works. And it can definitely replace observers onboard, and hopefully we can get through some of these issues of retention of film and all those sorts of things so we get some stability in terms of so the fishermen know what they can actually

do.

Another interesting issue is we were just given \$4 million by the Corps of Engineers to set up stations on the west, in the states, for reviewing boats that are recreational boats that come in with quagga and zebra mussels on them.

Those of you on the east coast know a lot about this because removal of zebra mussels and quagga mussels is extraordinarily expensive.

They can block water pipelines, everything. So the long story short is they're moving west.

They have been.

And so we are in a process now of actually setting up stations where the people that come through in recreational boats, if they find quagga and zebra mussels, then we can either arrest them or make them remove the zebra mussels. So that's kind of an interesting thing.

With that, I have the same issues on budget that everybody else does. So, that's all I have. I'm glad to answer any questions if you

have them.

MEMBER OKONIEWSKI: Thank you, Madam Chair. Randy, I had heard somewhere, and I can't recall where, that there was some thought that perhaps sea lions were interfering with the orca's ability to find those chinook salmon. Is that something that's --

MEMBER FISHER: Yes, there was a -the studies that are coming out, they are trying
to tie that relationship so that obviously if
they're eating 31 and a half million salmon, most
of that is not by the orcas. Most of that is by
the sea lions, there's no question about that.

So a lot of people, including Liz and all, we have weekly calls now. And there's a lot of work trying to put together legislation to amend the Marine Mammal Act. So, that's what's going on.

CHAIR BEIDEMAN: Dave?

MEMBER DONALDSON: Thank you, Madam

Chair. I don't have a question. I just need -
I wanted to add one more thing about RED SNAPPER.

The RED SNAPPER Act is Regionally Empowered 1 2 Decision-making for Snapper, Noting the Angling Public and the Preservation of an Exceptional 3 4 Resource Act. So, I figured that would be a good 5 thing to end the morning on and go to lunch. 6 7 CHAIR BEIDEMAN: Thank you for that information. 8 9 MEMBER DONALDSON: Our tax dollars at 10 work. 11 CHAIR BEIDEMAN: Peter? 12 MEMBER MOORE: Yeah, thank you, all. One of the overriding issues for fisheries is the 13 Marine Mammal Protection Act. And I don't think 14 it -- I'm not familiar with the Gulf Coast as 15 16 much as Alaska, West Coast, and East Coast. 17 you know, I mean, I had no idea, that 31 million 18 salmon, that's a lot of fish, and that's probably 19 obviously an estimate. 20 But I'm just curious where, you know, 21 where in this whole process does an honest look

at a predator base like that come into the whole

management of a resource, food resource. that this country depends on?

And I'm not anti-marine mammal by any means. But I am interested in sort of how that predator base takes a pass on everything. And I don't know, does it get discussed at the ASMFC level? Or does it get discussed at the MAFAC level? Or does it get discussed -- where does it get discussed and where do decisions get to be made about it?

MEMBER HAMILTON: I can probably give a more political answer than Randy might. Yes, it's the HSUS scorecard. You know, it's going to require an act of Congress. And, you know, there's a general acknowledgment that the Act has been a supreme success. And no one's suggesting we reverse the health of the marine mammal population, at least on the west coast.

But if this comes on a scorecard, it really hampers a senator, or more a House member's ability to amend the Act. And the current proposed amendment, the bill is very,

very surgical. But if you look, there's just as big a problem in Puget Sound, maybe bigger, that affects the orcas with predation by pinnipeds.

But the more we expand the bill, the less chance we have of passing any amendments.

So, the 120 amendments that were put in after Ballard Locks -- which most of you are probably familiar with, we lost a whole race of steelhead there before we could take any actions -- are now proving to be inadequate in other locations where you have natural dams and waterfalls as pinch points.

So, it's a political problem. And they're going to say no to anything. They're going to sue, you know, even if we're successful in getting an approach that will save the steelhead at Willamette Falls, they'll still sue over it. They'll still end up in court.

CHAIR BEIDEMAN: Bob?

MEMBER BEAL: Yeah, I completely agree, it's a political problem. It comes up from time to time at ASMFC. But the states kind

of throw their hands up and say I don't think there's anything we can do about it at the state level.

And, you know, we want to refer back to Congress and ask them to do something, but it seems like the states, in particular on the east coast, just don't know where to start, to be kind of blunt.

And, you know, the seal populations up in New England are really skyrocketing. And the predatory demand of those animals, and that's why you have a lot of great whites swimming off of Cape Cod in the summer. They're, you know, chowing down on seals, which creates another set of problems with tourism and swimmers and stuff.

You know, I didn't mention whales, as Randy did, but I think there were 15 right whales killed this summer by gear entanglement. And well, no, the ship strikes and gear. Mostly were killed by ship strikes, but all the ones that were killed had some gear marks on them of some sort.

And the right whale population is only about 420 animals. So, you know, 15 animals out of that population is a big deal. And, you know, all the fisheries with vertical lines -- lobster, which is a pretty big fishery, and a number of crab fisheries and some other things -- you know, all those have a very real chance of being impacted by the events from this summer.

Most of the mortality happened in

Canadian waters, but it's still the same

population that's swimming through U.S. waters.

And, you know, there was some mortality here, so

I think we're all collectively, U.S. and Canada,

are going to have to wrestle with the right whale

issue.

But, back to your question, you know, the Commission, ASMFC anyway, feels like their hands are kind of tied as far as dealing with the seal populations and, you know, what to do about it.

MEMBER MOORE: So, has there ever been, that anybody's aware of, sort of like an

economic analysis of, you know, what the consumption, if you translated the consumption -- let's say grey seals or your sea lions out west or whatever -- you know, what that number is, what that sort of quote-unquote cost is, either regionally or on a national basis.

I'm not talking about right whales,
but I'm talking about some of these other
skyrocketing populations or problem populations
that are, you know, causing sort of follow-on or
knock-on effects, like on the steelhead for
instance. Everybody has to kind of do something
to preserve the steelhead, but the one thing that
they can't do is clearly the issue.

So what's the cost of that inaction?

I guess that's what I'm curious about. Has that

ever been done that anybody knows about?

MEMBER FISHER: I think it's been partially done. I don't think it's been done nationally. I mean, one of the issues that we've been working with for a while is National Marine Fisheries Services has to determine whether or

not some of these critters are at carrying capacity where they are.

So, I think that's a question to Cisco probably more than it is to us. But we know, in the case of California sea lions, that they're definitely there. So, what are we going to do about it?

I mean, my guess is we'll get
legislation out of the House, that's my guess.
Out of the Senate, since the Senate is so close,
that's going to be the issue. That's going to be
where the problem happens right there.

CHAIR BEIDEMAN: Another miracle.

We're early. So I'm going to give it to Jennifer because she wanted to go over how we were going to do things this afternoon. I think she wanted to ask something of Julie.

MS. LUKENS: You ready, Julie? Later this afternoon, starting at 2:45, we have subcommittee and working groups discussion that we're going to do as an entire forum, because a lot of the topics there's overlap with the

groups.

We're getting a climate science update where Roger is going to be talking about that climate science assessment. Later, we're going to have the ecosystem approaches subcommittee discussion about those comments that the group has pulled together.

And then quite a bit of time dedicated to recreational fishery subcommittee, and Russ Dunn is here to give presentations. So those are the issues that we have to cover this afternoon.

I know at lunch, the resilience group is meeting at lunch to go through the data and the executive summary wrap-up there, work that needs to be done in advance of tomorrow.

I did want to ask about the aquaculture letter. I know Bob sent out a draft. And, Julie, I want to point to you. Do we need to reserve a small amount of time or do you feel that you can work that out before tomorrow and get a draft out to everyone, if we need to manage for that?

1 MS. BONNEY: It's pretty 2 straightforward, don't you think?) So then maybe what we 3 MS. LUKENS: 4 might want to do is, for the folks who are 5 interested in that letter, is that taking a look at that, if we need to go through another 6 7 iteration overnight before we meet tomorrow, 8 because that's something that I think, timing-9 wise, MAFAC needs to make a decision on, or recommendation on tomorrow before we adjourn. 10 So, I'm just offering that out there, 11 12 is we need to come up with a plan if you want to 13 get to that by tomorrow. 14 MS. BONNEY: So I guess my question is then do you want us to do that, think about the 15 16 letter during the afternoon session as a Commerce 17 Committee, or do you want just Bob and I to work 18 together and then we can circulate a draft? 19 MS. LUKENS: I would defer to you as, 20 you know, the head of the subcommittee. 21 can think about that. We have time before this 22 afternoon. I just wanted to raise that issue so

members were aware how to address this before tomorrow.

MS. BONNEY: I guess if Committee members have an opinion, maybe they can let me know over lunch.

MS. LUKENS: Sounds like a plan.

Thank you for your time. I just wanted to get

that ironed out before tomorrow so we're not in a

pickle tomorrow. So, anyway, with that, I'll

turn it over to Terri.

early lunch. And those who are going to be interested in input on the documents of Task 6 and the resilience executive summary, if you have things you would like to discuss or insert into the summary, or into the document, we're going to go through it, hopefully get through it.

I thought we could just, you know, either go across the street, grab a lunch, and come back, or downstairs and take something and bring it up. But the plan, I thought, was to work in here. And Heidi is sacrificing her

1	lunchtime to be our transcript.
2	So, that's all I have. And other than
3	that, you need to be back here, please, at
4	quarter of 2 so we can have public comment.
5	(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter
6	went off the record at 11:49 a.m. and resumed at
7	2:01 p.m.)
8	
9	

1	A-F-T-E-R-N-O-O-N S-E-S-S-I-O-N
2	(2:01 p.m.)
3	CHAIR BEIDEMAN: So, we're back in
4	session. And I would like to ask if there is any
5	public comment, any members of the public here
6	that would like to make comment on the record.
7	We'll make space for you right up here. And you
8	can speak into the mic.
9	Or if there's anyone in the public who
LO	happens to be on the phone. No? Okay, scratch
L1	that.
L2	All right, seeing none, we'll proceed.
L3	And I would like to introduce Roger Griffis,
L <b>4</b>	Climate Coordinator, to give us a climate science
L5	update. Thank you.
L6	MR. GRIFFIS: Great. Thank you very
L7	much. We'll test the mic. Can you all hear me?
L8	Sounds good? Great, thank you. It's a pleasure
L9	to see you again. Many of you I've seen many
20	times before. Thank you for the opportunity to
01	he here

My job today is to give you an update

on two things. One is an update on year one implementation of our Climate Science Strategy Regional Action Plans. So that will be the first set of slides.

Then we'll transition, and I've also been asked to give you a brief, a little bit of information about the draft U.S. National Climate Assessment, which has just been released for public comment, and is now open for public comment through the end of January.

I understand that you all will be considering whether, if, and how, you all want to provide some comments. Hopefully, both of these are useful. Happy to take questions.

So, to proceed, then: good news. We have actually proceeded with year one implementation of our Climate Science Strategy Regional Action Plans.

As you'll recall, in 2015, the
National Marine Fisheries Service released its
first ever climate science strategy, which
outlined both the challenges, the issues facing

us, and may of the science information needs in order to fulfill our mission with changing oceans and changing climate.

The goal of this strategy was to increase the production, delivery, and use of climate-related information to fulfill the NOAA fishery mandates.

And just again, as a reminder, the strategy outlined seven core objectives in order to empower and enable the Fisheries Service to do our job in a changing climate, changing oceans.

Those seven objectives are outlined here in this pyramid. The whole pyramid, like any pyramid, grows from the base. The base, number seven, of a solid science infrastructure to deliver the actionable information. And then the actual science is above that.

And it begins, as you might expect, with number six, being able to track the changes that are taking place and provide early warnings, essentially being able to answer the question that many of the managers and others have: what

is changing? How do we know?

The second level goes to understanding why is it changing, what are the mechanisms of that change? And third level, or the fourth objective there, is answering the question we all want to know, peering into the crystal ball: how will it change?

And then, lastly, using that information to provide fishery managers and protected species decisionmakers with information about what's the best response, how best to respond to these changing conditions. What should we prepare for through robust management strategies, climate ready reference points, et cetera?

So that was 2005. In 2006, we set out to then customize that strategy for each region so that each region would identify their priority science needs based on their issues, where they were in their science capability. We developed those as regional action plans, and you can see them. We have six of them at the moment, and two

in development.

And the goal was, of course, by developing those, those become the real work plans and implementation plans that would enable us to increase the science capability in each region, enable climate-ready management of the trust resources for which we are responsible, and ultimately advance the resilience and adaptation both of the resources, but also of the people and communities that depend on them.

So, very pleased to give you a progress report. A quick reminder: there were some priority actions in all of these regional action plans, and I'll touch briefly on some of these. These were things that you may have heard about before, remember other discussions.

These were things like complete climate vulnerability assessments for fish stocks, marine mammals, other kinds of things, to help us understand what species might be most atrisk. If we only have \$5 to do some science on it, what species maybe should we focus on?

Second would be improving our ability to track and report change. How do we better do this? As you know, the Agency has been developing ecosystem status reports to track change in each ecosystem now for over a decade. How do we improve those tracking mechanisms to provide better early warnings to decisionmakers?

And then targeted research to understand the mechanisms, improving forecasts. And really importantly, how do we help the managers understand the benefits or the costs of alternative management strategies. So, those were the core elements that each of the RAPs are trying to address.

Good news, RAPs have been implemented now after a first year in five of the regions.

RAPs are still being developed in three of those regions. We've made good progress in '17, and I'll give you some examples.

The teams expect continued progress in '18, contingent on funding, primarily, in the budget in '18. And there are a number of lessons

and challenges and opportunities, as you might imagine, after our first year.

So what I would like to do is just give you a few examples from some of the real life science and real regions. I'm going to highlight the Northeast, a couple highlights from the Northeast Region, and then I'll go through a couple other highlights.

In the Northeast, as in most regions, there were a whole variety of projects implemented to address the growing needs for climate-related information. In the Northeast, particularly, they've made advances in tracking, but also projecting change. And I'll give you an example.

And one of the powerful lessons, of course, from the Northeast, but other regions as well, is of course we depend on science partnerships, both for collaboration and getting the science done, but also additional funding mechanisms to support that work.

A number of key accomplishments. In

the Northeast, in particular, they've made significant progress in improving their ecosystem status report products and delivery.

This is a key mechanism by which the Council now, increasingly, and others, are using to track how the system is changing, from the physical changes like temperature and oxygen levels and acidification to primary productivity and the distribution of fish stocks.

They've also, and I'll highlight this one, really interesting advances in our ability to project future changes. Some interesting work trying to figure out what's going to happen to estuary conditions, and a lot of work, particularly, on laboratory-based process studies to understand, as temperature increases, what does that do to basic rates and functions for individual species? Those are some of the kinds of work that's going on.

So, I put this slide up here just to flag really some -- it's an amazing time in this field of science because things are changing very

rapidly. We're making very significant advances in our ability to begin more robustly projecting how ocean conditions may change. And also, then, what does that mean perhaps for the species living in those waters?

So, these four quadrants basically tell a story over time, and the improvements in the model and climate, global climate models for the Northeast. You can see the Northeast coast there. And it basically goes from up in here, this is now my simple marine ecologist talking about climate models, okay?

It goes from up in this corner here, from the more simple, low resolution models and what they were projecting for increasing temperatures along the Northeast. I think this is over about an 80-year period, the CM2.1.

There was a bit of an advancement over CM2.5, still pretty low resolution. Some higher resolution at the atmospheric level. These are combined atmosphere driving ocean, driving atmosphere type models.

It then went to about a mid-resolution level. But the breakthrough is back down in here. And this is probably the current, or there's probably a next generation model projection now.

And the interesting thing is, look at the difference. This is the analogy that the principal investigator, Vince Saba, who is Fisheries Service scientist at our Global Fluid Dynamics Lab, is working this.

His analogy is, this was like looking at an old tube TV set. Okay? You can see the pixels are big. In fact, the scale of this is 100 kilometers. Those pixels are, the model, the smallest resolution is 100 kilometers. Okay? And this, the current one, is like looking at one of our flat screen TVs now. The pixels are now down to ten kilometers, and they're soon going to be even finer.

So we're quickly advancing on our ability to use global climate-driven models down to a resolution that begins to resolve,

importantly, the coastal features, which is what we're really interested in.

So the main difference, if you look at these graphics, of course, is big pixels, finer pixels. And what happens is that the finer level models begin to enable us to resolve some of the coastal circulation features.

In this case, these models are telling us potentially very different projections of a future ocean along the Northeast, particularly in the Gulf of Maine. This one is saying there's not much difference going to happen in increases of one to two degrees Celsius.

This one basically saying that, no, no, no, the warming is going to be almost twice as much. And in fact, this one says it's going to happen much faster. And the power here is the resolution of both the atmospheric and ocean connection.

And the scale, what this is showing, is a lot of complex drawing in of the gulf stream waters and enabling that finer resolution in the

projections.

Again, I wanted to highlight, this is probably one of the fastest growing fields.

It'll have big impacts on our ability to then take this information and say, what do we think might happen to productivity of the system, the distribution of fish stocks, and the implications for fisheries and fishing communities?

CHAIR BEIDEMAN: Roger, did you want to take questions during or -- we have someone who has a question. Liz?

MEMBER HAMILTON: Did you say that was 80-year projections? I missed the timeframe.

MR. GRIFFIS: I believe it is. Yeah,
I believe it's about an 80-year. Yeah. And I
can provide the paper, if you all would like, or
a summary of it. There's a really nice one-page
summary of this, in terms I can understand, on
the Northeast Fisheries Science website.

I'm happy to provide that link. It's really fascinating because, as I said, there are really two major implications. One, the warming

is twice or more as much as what the early 1 2 projections showed. And there's a time dimension It also projects it happening on a faster 3 here. 4 scale than we expected. Yes, thank you. 5 So, on the high MEMBER BERKOWITZ: resolution models, the four to six degree 6 warming, when are you predicting that that may 7 take place? 8 9 MR. GRIFFIS: You know, I would have 10 to look at the paper to see when they hit those The end point here he's showing is the 11 levels. 12 80-year endpoint. But I think it gets up at 13 those levels even before that. 14 MEMBER BERKOWITZ: Okay. 15 MR. GRIFFIS: Can I get you the paper? 16 MEMBER BERKOWITZ: Please. Thank you. 17 MR. GRIFFIS: Yes, thank you very 18 much. Thank you. So, as I said, the interesting 19 thing is advancing this level of the story 20 enables us to begin doing some very interesting 21 things about asking questions about, well, with

these kinds of changes in temperature of the east

coast, and if fish follow their preferred temperatures, where might the fish go over the next 80 years?

And so that's what this graphic shows is some really interesting work by Kleisner,

Vince Saba, and others to begin taking that next step and saying, well, where might they be?. And how, on what timeframe, sir, as you were suggesting, what timeframe might these changes be taking place?

And so this is a very interesting paper. It came out just the end of last year, which, I don't know if I have the ability to do this, but they actually show the projections of - I don't know how to do this. This is a video that runs. And you can choose, you can look at now -- so this is actually -- here it goes.

So the colors here, you need to think about not as hot and cold. The red and the warm colors are good habitat, good thermal habitat for cod. Okay? The lighter colors are the ones that are not so good habitat, not preferred habitat

for cod.

So, again, this is simply taking those previous models that I showed you in the previous slide, carving out the space, the thermal space that cod like, and saying where will that thermal water temperature space go?

And, obviously, this is not a good sign for cod, at least for cod fishermen in the U.S. and the Gulf of Maine. Again, this is an 80-year projection. There isn't a whole lot of habitat, thermal habitat left for cod. Okay?

So this just simply sets up a number of interesting additional questions, right? But it's beginning to give us some more to look at into the crystal ball. Does that make sense? If you could take it back about midway through, there's a slider on it. If you take it down to the middle, you know, go out 25 years or something like that.

MEMBER BERKOWITZ: So, kind of coincidentally as these waters are warming up in the Northeast, it might be just coincidentally,

but Iceland is experiencing, you know, sort of record catches right now, particularly with cod and haddock.

So, I'm a non-scientist. Would it make sense that these fish are migrating into those colder waters?

MR. GRIFFIS: You know, it's a very interesting point. I doubt it's coincidence. As you probably know, the warming of those waters is changing the productivity. There are lots of studies showing that an abundance of the zooplankton, phytoplankton, the whole system is changing from the food web up.

So I think cod are going to -- at least cod up north are going to love the future, perhaps. I haven't seen anything that talks about migration, at least on that kind of geographic scale. Most of it talks about changes, up in that area, rapid changes in productivity, recruitment.

Okay. All right. So, a few lessons and challenges. And this is what we were hoping

for. We've just implemented the first year of priority science projects to advance our ability to track and understand climate-driven changes.

And we wanted to learn from this.

And some of these lessons are what you might expect. And there are some real limitations to what we can do at the moment.

Largely, all of the work I'm talking to you about, and all of the progress that we made over the past year to implement these regional action plans and our overall climate science strategy, is based on the existing staff we had the beginning of the year. And to most extents, very little new funding. Basically, existing budgets either at the science center level or at the headquarters level.

So, this is all happening based on existing workforce, existing resources, being as efficient and effective, and in some cases changing some priorities about what money is spent where.

So, funding and staff limitations

continue to impede expansion into all seven of those objectives and the level of work we can produce. Challenges still remain regarding the inclusion of environmentally consistent variables into stock assessments and management decisions.

And this is an important point. I'm sure it's not news to you that many resource managers -- not just fishery managers or protected species, not just NMFS -- are really wrestling with how do I use information about changing environmental conditions in my decisionmaking process?

And I think in fishery management we are particularly challenged, given the complexity of the situation, the framework we have. This is a challenge, but it's something that where there's a lot of great work going on, and some great examples of progress to use this information.

In the Northeast, anyway, most of the research -- but I think this is probably true in other regions, too -- we're really focused almost

exclusively on changing temperature. It's the major driver of change. It's something we have well documentation for.

The other variables, of course, that we need to expand out into and began thinking about multiple interactions between these changes. There are things like changing salinity, ocean acidification, and then all of the other ramifications. But at the moment, we're focusing primarily on temperature.

And we need more process-based

laboratory studies, at least this was the

recommendation from the Northeast team, to better

understand the mechanisms and what should we

expect? With increasing temperatures or changing

salinity, should we expect species to continue to

move? Will it mean more babies or less babies?

Julie, talking about Alaska, you know, how much temperature change can be tolerated before we lose the year one recruitment of the pollock in the Bering Sea, for example? So, understanding the mechanisms and process.

I'll give you a flavor of what's happening. Switch coasts. Out on the west coast, 2017 was a dramatic year for environmental change along the west coast. Ocean-wise, they were in, what, their third or fourth year of a hot water event, the hot water blob.

And that really was a major focus of much of their science, trying to understand the impacts of that blob and its relation to the El Nino cycle event. Some really tremendous examples taking place, though. The science teams out there completed their vulnerability assessment, climate vulnerability assessments for over 80 species.

These were the primarily marine major species that we manage. They also completed climate vulnerability assessments for all of the ESA-listed salmonids. And this was a huge effort, again, to better understand what species do we think are going to be at most risk, and also some of the questions on why, and where might we focus our limited research dollars.

A significant effort on the west coast focused on trying to understand ocean acidification. It's really interesting work thinking about dynamic ocean management for improving catch, reducing bycatch, as ocean condition changes.

The Australians have really led the way on this, being able to use almost weatherlike forecast to say "fish here, but not here" to avoid bycatch and that kind of thing.

And then in the Bering Sea, pushing north, really tremendous work. I think the Bering Sea and the Alaska folks are really the Cadillac version for us of the premier science teams tracking climate and ocean changes and understanding how that might impact our trust resources.

They have the longest running ecosystem status report of any of our regions.

It is the model by which all our other regions are comparing. And each year, they actively identify ways to improve that. Just for example,

in this latest round they had 37 of their indices updated, and they added 11 new indicators.

Again, imagine a report card on your ecosystem that this team delivers to the Fishery Management Council and the SSC every year before the consideration of the stock assessments and before the rest of the process. Really state of the art.

Tracking changes in distribution of many, many species, they too are completing a climate vulnerability assessment for fish stocks. A lot of work going into trying to understand the impact of changing ocean conditions on recruitment, something they're really struggling with and seeing evidence of right now.

And then also understanding the effects of OA. And then this project I want to highlight for you, the ACLIM project to try and play out what are the best fishery management strategies under potential future ocean conditions. And that's what this slide represents.

It's a little bit complicated, but basically flows from the top. It's asking what are the best management strategies for fishery management in the Bering Sea? And this is really designed to be a test drive of whether or not we -- how well can we do this given the state of the science now?

So it begins with being able to use different climate scenarios to then play out different ocean scenarios for the Bering Sea, have those then drive different ecosystem scenarios, or at least use different ecosystem models as a way of comparing different techniques. And ultimately then saying, evaluating, well, if we played out fishery management strategy A, B, or C, how do each of those perform under these various expected conditions of the Bering Sea over the next ten to 20 years?

Our whole goal here is to be able to hand back to the managers and say, Here's how these different strategies performed. Strategy A

didn't work out the way we all thought, and here's why. And let's work together to figure out if we like the results or if they're robust enough.

But that's the goal, to be able to evaluate and ultimately help decisionmakers play out various scenarios under changing conditions.

So, in summary, then, I'm pleased to report we've gone from no strategy pre-2015, 2015 having a thoughtful strategy about where our science needs were to be a climate ready organization. We've now developed regional action strategies and we've implemented the first year of those in five regions. The others we hope will come on in this coming year.

We've had good progress, and have our fingers crossed for continued good progress, contingent on budget and other things in '18.

We've had a number of key lessons. We need to strengthen these RAP teams across both our science centers, our regional offices, and our key partners. We can't do this alone without

other partners.

And we need to continue this crossNMFS coordination, continue to chase the dollars
and support, and encourage the partners to stay
with us. We need to loop back with the clients,
and particularly fishery management councils, to
make sure that they're getting the products and
ultimately deliver the products and services, and
that the science is responding to their needs.

I think that's my update on the Regional Action Plans. I could pause there before going to the next topic, if you'd like.

CHAIR BEIDEMAN: Do we have any questions for Roger?

MR. GRIFFIS: Okay, great. All right,
I'll jump into my next one.

Oh, I forgot the good news. I always hate to be bringing the bad, I feel like I'm the bearer of bad news.

So, remember that projection of cod, thermal habitat, preferred habitat, right? So that was one of maybe 20 species that showed that

same pattern. Of course, those are all the northern, cold water-loving species, right? of course, the east coast has a whole lot of southern, warm water species.

Those maps are the exact opposite. So, Atlantic croaker, black sea bass, I mean, there are another 20 species that, if you look at the projection for them, boy, they're going to love the future. They're going to love the Gulf of Maine. They've got their bags packed and tickets and hotels and everything.

And so there's a very interesting other side of this story. And my colleagues always say, Roger, you've got to tell the other So, I'm telling the other side. could be a good news story, although I'm not sure I want to call it that. It certainly is a resource -- it's a challenging resource management story, part of that broader challenge that we're facing.

> CHAIR BEIDEMAN: We do have Harlon. She's trying to beat MEMBER PEARCE:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

me to the button because we're about to say the same things. All this wonderful information you've got, and, you know, you're doing a great job pulling all this together. How the heck are you going to implement it quick enough? Because, I mean, the way you're talking here, things are changing very quickly. And the councilmatic system does not change very quickly. And we're involved at this group right now in trying to figure out ways to move the councils much quicker.

So you need to have some sort of a game plan to utilize this in a much different way than they're utilizing data now. How are you going to do it?

MR. GRIFFIS: I was hoping you all would help us figure that one out.

(Laughter.)

MR. GRIFFIS: No, I think you've hit it right on the head. I mean, it is that combination of the right information at the right time, but also having a system that can use it

effectively and respond quickly. I think that's a major challenge.

There are a number of efforts underway, as you probably know, wrestling with this. There's a group thinking about how to implement the NS1 requirements.

I think a key piece of this is, if we had these ecosystem report cards at the level of sophistication and delivery that I think we should be at, we'd be more like -- you know, we'd be more like the Weather Service in providing that kind of information to the councils almost on real time. Some real time, but also on a seasonal forecast, because it seems to me the kind of timeframe that they're working on, right, is a more seasonal. They need to know, like a farmer, is next year going to be dry or wet? Right? Is it going to be a great year for pollock recruitment, or is it going to be another year where we don't have no recruits?

I think that part of the answer on the science side is getting to that seasonal

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

forecast, getting them information on a much 1 2 faster, almost real time basis. But I think your point about the system itself, can it react 3 4 quickly enough, I think there's some challenges, 5 yes. Yes, quite a few, I'm 6 MEMBER PEARCE: afraid. 7 8 CHAIR BEIDEMAN: Julie? 9 So, I guess I'm going to MS. BONNEY: 10 the, what, community planning or the, you know, 11 there was some pretty good work done probably two 12 years ago. And I know that there was a couple of 13 grant opportunities in Alaska that they hope to 14 have got funded, and they did not. So I'm wondering if the funding for 15 16 adaption planning at the community level is 17 drying up, or are you making good progress on 18 that set of issues, too? 19 MR. GRIFFIS: Great question. Ι 20 haven't been specifically tracking the funding

organization with NOAA where that funding is,

But if we just look within our

levels for that.

21

it's not primarily through the fisheries service, it's through organizations like Sea Grant, through Coastal Zone Program, maybe a little bit through Saltonstall-Kennedy.

You know as well as I do the status of the funding for those. So I think it's a critical need. Certainly, the kind of investment that's needed is not being made. If you look at other sectors, coastal communities and flooding for example, there are certainly a lot more funding being pumped into that, rightfully so. I think this is a neglected area.

MEMBER HAMILTON: Thank you. You sort of jumped on the same thought I had. And in terms of getting councils to react more quickly, I hang in the halls. I'm the habitat committee, so I'm not with the main folks in the council.

But even at Randy's meetings and at council meetings, I hear a lot of climate denial in the halls, I really do, grumbling, yadda, climate change.

And so to the extent that not only can

1 they see these models that you're showing us 2 which I think are really compelling, but show where the fish, where you project them moving to, 3 I don't think we really had that illustrated as 4 5 well. And then as best you can, as 6 7 scientists, in real time, show movement so that 8 it's not just okay, here's what's going to happen 9 in 20 years, but here's what's happening right now. It will motivate people to be more active 10 11 and help find the solutions for management. 12 You know, you get it, you want it. Ι 13 get it, but I don't know about other councils. 14 There's just still a lot of people who aren't facing reality. 15 16 MR. GRIFFIS: Yes, thank you. 17 MEMBER HAMILTON: And I'm grateful 18 that climate change is on your website. 19 you for that. 20 MR. GRIFFIS: Thank you. Shall I 21 continue? Go forward. 22 CHAIR BEIDEMAN:

(Off microphone comments.)

MR. GRIFFIS: Great. Okay. Thank you very much. Okay, let's switch gears then. What I want to do is just give you a quick update, informational briefing on where on the National Climate Assessment and the opportunity for input at this time.

This is a joint presentation with

Andrew Pershing, Dr. Andrew Pershing at the Gulf
of Maine Research Institute. He is the lead
author for the chapter on oceans and marine
resources. He gave a briefing, I think it was to
the climate task force and some of these.

So I'm going to just do a quick recap of that. Apologies if for those of you that already heard it.

So, as you may or may not know, the national climate assessment is actually called for in the Global Change Research Act of 1990.

It says that there should be an assessment done every four years, delivered to the President,

Congress, and public which integrates, evaluates,

and interprets the current findings and scientific uncertainties, and it goes on to talk about of a changing climate and changing planet.

Analyzes those effects on various sectors, the national environment, agriculture, energy, and it goes on. And analyzes current and future trends in global change for the next 25 to 100 years.

This was designed to begin giving the America, our nation, a state of the science on the changing climate and the impacts for the US.

The IPCC has impacts worldwide. This is specifically one for the US. Okay?

There have been assessments, so this was supposed to happen every four years. Hasn't quite happened that way. There have been three assessments to date in 2000, 2009, 2014 was the last one.

And this one is actually on track to be the first one actually on the four year interval. It is projected to be delivered and completed in next year, in 2018. It's got two

volumes. It's broken into two pieces. And both of these came out a couple, three weeks ago.

The first volume, climate science special report, is the current state of the physical environment, the physical changes in atmosphere and oceans. And it provides the foundation on which volume two talks about the impacts, risks, and potential adaptation strategies within the US.

So volume one is what do we know about changing temperature, precipitation, ocean temperature, salinity, acidification, that kind of thing. And then volume two.

So, volume one was in the works for over two plus years, probably 100 distinguished scientists from all different fields. And that one came out a couple weeks ago, as I said, as a final version. And it's available on your, I think on the links.

The second volume is out for public comment. The comments are due by the end of January, and that's the one that I'm hoping you

might take interest in and perhaps provide some comments on. And I'm going to focus my discussion I think on that.

No, I'm actually going to give you just a quick highlight on what the volume one says. I didn't have this slide before, and I said wait a minute, I forgot to say what volume one says.

So, quick snapshot, what does volume one say about oceans? Of course, volume one talks about all the different aspects of changing climate. But it has one chapter specifically on ocean and ocean acidification. And here are some of the key findings.

Probably not surprising to you, but that they found two that oceans are warming. And you know this is partly because, mainly because the oceans, thank God for oceans, right, oceans absorb a lot of heat, water absorbs heat. In fact, they've been absorbing over 93 percent of all the extra heat that we generated trapped after we started emitting CO2 into the atmosphere

over the past 100 years.

So the oceans, like a kettle on the stove, have been taking up all that extra heat. The implication is, they also, signal is very clear now that they're warming. The heat content is increased at all depths now that we're since 1960.

And again, globally it's a degree of

1.3 degrees Fahrenheit, but the projection then

of nearly five degrees Fahrenheit by 2100. You

might think well that's not too much, big deal.

That's a lot of heat. If your kettle is as big

as the global ocean, it takes a lot of heat to do

that.

And the important thing for the US is what does it mean for our oceans, right? And I'll give you a hint. Regionally, it makes a big difference. The story in each region is different. The Gulf of Maine, our northeast ocean, part of the Atlantic is warming faster than almost any other part of the ocean. Okay? So we've got particular hot burners under our, in

our place.

Ocean acidification, also increasing.

Again, thank God for oceans, I guess, they absorb
a lot of CO2, changes the chemistry.

Unparalleled acidification rate currently and in
the projections as well. Rising seas they talk
about as well.

And this one really highlights one of the new aspects is the growing concern and evidence about declining oxygen levels. Tied to the heating and other aspects, but really I think, I don't want to make this sound like it's just a repeat of all the advanced, the previous science.

It is intended to build on the existing. So it recaps what we knew and then highlights the advances. And there are some very significant advances in all of these areas.

So this is the context in which then volume two, which I'm hoping you will be looking at, begins to talk about well what are the impacts, risks, and possible adaptation in the

US. You know?

And it's organized by regions, it's got ten regional chapters. So one of my messages is if you care about any particular region, I'm hoping you will go and look at that region and see what they say.

We worked hard with each of the regional teams to encourage them to have something on oceans for each region, something perhaps on marine resources. Many of them did.

The previous, 2014, the last National Climate Assessment was the first time there was a chapter dedicated to oceans and marine resources, okay, in the National Climate Assessment. So this will be the second time.

But in the 2014 one, there were regional chapters, but there were only one region that talked about oceans and fisheries. I think it was the Pacific Islands one. So many more of the regional chapters now include a discussion of changing oceans and fisheries, which is a great thing.

And again, so ten regional chapters.

Then they have these national chapters
highlighting some of the key new findings, or
issues of concern, in each of these sectors. And
I've highlighted the ones in red, is that in red?

In red, I highlighted the ones that may be of interest to you all through a NMFS lands, an ocean coastal lands. Regions, of course, water, kind of important. Ecosystems talks about changing ecosystems, and some on oceans.

Obviously the coast and ocean one, the coastal one is focused almost primarily on changing sea levels and changing flooding issues around the nation. I'm going to talk about the key points in this one.

Human health also talks about ocean issues, ocean spreading, ocean related diseases, Vibrio and other things. So there's an ocean connection there, and ocean connections in both of theses. So just trying to point you to some of those.

1	MS. LUKENS: Roger?
2	MR. GRIFFIS: Yes, ma'am?
3	MS. LUKENS: Roger, I was just going
4	to ask what are the green dots on that
5	MR. GRIFFIS: Oh, yes. Good question.
6	MS. LUKENS: on that graphic?
7	Thank you.
8	MR. GRIFFIS: I think we took that
9	from, this graphic originally talked about the
10	scoping and input process in developing of the
11	chapters. Thank you, I was supposed to talk
12	about that.
12 13	about that.  So these chapters were developed
13	So these chapters were developed
13 14	So these chapters were developed beginning with a pretty massive public input
13 14 15	So these chapters were developed beginning with a pretty massive public input phase seeking information on key changes since
13 14 15 16	So these chapters were developed beginning with a pretty massive public input phase seeking information on key changes since 2014. And these dots I think are places where
13 14 15 16 17	So these chapters were developed beginning with a pretty massive public input phase seeking information on key changes since 2014. And these dots I think are places where there were primarily the formal consultation
13 14 15 16 17 18	So these chapters were developed beginning with a pretty massive public input phase seeking information on key changes since 2014. And these dots I think are places where there were primarily the formal consultation public process fora that were held to get input
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	So these chapters were developed beginning with a pretty massive public input phase seeking information on key changes since 2014. And these dots I think are places where there were primarily the formal consultation public process fora that were held to get input from public experts, that kind of thing around

is the draft oceans and marine resources chapter talk about? Again, our goal was to summarize how changing oceans may impact the nation. Impacts risks and adaptation, really focusing on the key issues or advances since 2014.

Here's the team. Libby Jewett and I,
Libby Jewett is the head of our ocean
acidification program. She and I were something
called a CLA, a Coordinating Lead Author. Our
job was to help empower and support the actual
expert team, and to make sure that we were
connecting with other chapters.

The actual writing team, although we participated, was led by as I said Andrew

Pershing at GMRI. And then we had two, four, six experts from John Bruno at UNC, a coral reef tropical ocean expert.

Shallin Busch, NOAA, ocean acidification and others. Alan Haynie, one of our star social scientists and economists, fisheries economists. Samantha Siedlecki, ocean oxygen and other things. And Desiree Tommasi,

ecosystem changes particularly related to fisheries.

And we looked primarily at the implications of the climate related drivers of change, ocean rising temperatures, acidification, deoxygenation. As I said, the coastal chapter deals primarily with this sea level rise thing.

So, each of the national chapters had six pages within which to do, to summarize the key findings. So this was quite a challenge, but it was, was it Mark Twain that said something about wanted to write you a short note but that was too hard, so I wrote you a long letter or something like that?

So, we had to write a six page summary of all the major advances in our knowledge of impacts and risks on the US ocean related industries and other things. So we came up with four key, three key messages.

The first message was on ecosystem disruption. This message talks about that the valuable, the nation's valuable ecosystems are

being disrupted, that is at times torn apart, transformed by these increasing changes in global temperatures through the loss of iconic and highly valued habitats, and changes in species composition and food web structure.

This disruption will intensify as these changes continue, as projected. And in the absence of significant reductions in carbon emissions, transformative impacts on ocean ecosystems cannot be avoided. Message one from the team.

And there are a number of graphics that come with this. The graphics are all works in progress. I don't want you to focus too much on the graphics. But graphics going from native or baseline ecosystems to disrupted ecosystems with changes in composition, function.

And the chapter highlights the special concern for particular habitats like coral reefs and sea ice ecosystems. That's key message one.

Key message to is primarily, is focused primarily on the risks to fisheries. It

says that the nation's valuable marine fisheries and fishing communities are at high risk from climate driven changes in the disruption, timing, and productivity of fishery related species.

It talks about this trifecta of warming, acidification, and deoxygenation as projected to increase those changes, reduce catches in some areas, and challenge effective management of those species, both fisheries and protected species.

And fishery management that incorporates climate knowledge can help reduce impacts, promote resilience, and increase the value in the face of changing conditions. That's message two.

And it comes, again, we're working on the graphics. This is one of the graphics we're considering including. This graphic is a series of projections of changes in the average percent change in the maximum catch potential.

And I don't have time here to go into that, but I'm happy to. The darker colors are

more negative changes, the dark brown here is a decline in about 30 percent or more. The lighter colors are increases in catch, maximum catch potential.

So again, these are, this is trying to summarize projections of how catch potential might change with the projected change in ocean conditions.

Message three is on extreme ocean events. That marine ecosystems and coastal communities that depend on them are at risk of significant impacts from extreme events, with combinations of high temperatures, low oxygen, or acidified conditions.

And as you can imagine, this is building out of a lot of the experience of the US ocean areas over the past four years where we've seen some significant extreme particularly hot water events both on the east coast in 2012 and the west coast through, really from 2014, '15, and '16.

These unusual events will become more

common, are projected to become more common and more severe in the future, and that they expose the vulnerabilities that can motivate change, including technological innovations to detect forecasts and mitigate adverse condition. Try to be a little bit positive there.

And again, graphic in works, but again highlighting some of the major extreme ocean events, primarily extreme ocean temperature events, east coast in 2012 and west coast since about 2014.

So again, in summary, the National Climate Assessment is out. It is making, it is progressing. This is a significant time for input. Volume one is there, it's the factual basis on which volume two has been drafted. And that's the one that we're seeking comment on.

It's again focused on what do we value and what's at risk. So opportunity for input, we would love it if you all would be interested in reviewing and perhaps providing comment on the oceans and marine resources chapter.

It's important to say both what did we 1 2 perhaps get right, or what did you like, what is clear, what did we get the right issues, what did 3 4 we miss. How to improve it, what's missing. 5 Consider reviewing the regional Are there marine and fisheries issues 6 chapters. even discussed? What stories need to be added to 7 8 There are other chapters where there are those. 9 ocean and marine related issues like health and 10 ecosystems. 11 And the comments go through the USGCRP 12 website which is there, and it closes January 13 31st. Thank you for your time and your interest. 14 CHAIR BEIDEMAN: Thank you. At this 15 time I heard a beep a little bit ago. 16 checking to see if Rassela Feliciano is on the 17 telephone. 18 MR. CUNNINGHAM: It's Rick Cunningham. 19 CHAIR BEIDEMAN: Oh, Hi Rick. How are 20 you? 21 MR. CUNNINGHAM: I'm getting there, 22 thank you.

Good. 1 CHAIR BEIDEMAN: Good to hear. 2 We're sorry you're not here in person, but we know you're here in spirit. And now on the 3 4 phone. 5 So, we were just wrapping up a presentation from Roger. And so I guess now I'm 6 going to open it to questions from the panel. 7 8 And Pam, you're in a queue. 9 MEMBER YOCHEM: Okay, thank you. You 10 mentioned in your first presentation that most of the research that's going on has to do with 11 12 temperature as opposed to some of the other 13 stressors. 14 And during the webinar that Andrew Pershing did on this report, one of the things 15 16 that came out in the discussion afterwards was 17 that yes, there are multiple stressors. But that 18 increasing ocean temperatures swamp the others. 19 And so that's why there's the focus. 20 And I'm just wondering, is that 21 because that's where the research has been, or is

it that we know that it's mostly temperature and

so that's why the focus is on temperature as opposed to say ocean acidification or, you know, some of these other things that you said were where research is maybe lacking.

MR. GRIFFIS: Yes, great question.

MEMBER YOCHEM: So which came first, chicken or the egg?

MR. GRIFFIS: Yes. No, great question. I think it primarily has to do with the actual magnitude of the drivers. I think that temperature is changing very quickly. It has such direct effect on productivity and species behavior and recruitment and all those things.

So I think there's no question that the focus is right on the right thing. I think the concern is that that's not the end of the story. That there are synergistic effects. And there's, you know, a lot of great work, not enough, but great working going into the, trying to understand ocean acidification.

I think while there's great concern

about that, it's less clear when kind of the impact that that's currently having on a broader group of species, whereas in temperature it is clearly having a major impact on many species right now.

So I think, you know, we need to be thinking about the synergistic effects. And there's no question that there's this looming concern about changing oxygen levels. Salinity too, particularly on the east coast. But I think that's what I would say as far as the story.

I don't think it's a bias on where the money has been. I think it's actually a pretty accurate zooming in on the major stressor at the moment, yes. Thank you.

## CHAIR BEIDEMAN: Bob?

MEMBER GILL: Madam Chair. Thank you,
Roger, for the presentation. It seems to me in
the context of this subject that the name of the
game is change, right? But it also seems to me
that from my purview that the focus is on the bad
things fixing to happen, or perceived are fixing

to happen.

And so what gets lost in all of this is there are some good things that are also going to result. Now granted, they may be a small part of the pie. For example, as you mentioned, EOC range increases in some species of fish that we haven't seen before that are directly related.

But my sense is that any of that positive stuff tends to get drowned out by all the negative stuff. Right, wrong? Would you comment on that perception?

MR. GRIFFIS: Yes. I think it's important to tell a full story. And I think the story is about change. I think a lot of work still needs to be done to determine how you want to label the change.

I don't know, for example, that
expanding range of black sea bass or croker is a
good thing. It may be. I think it sets us up to
ask some very interesting questions about will
these be future fisheries, are there
opportunities to take advantage of.

So I understand your point and I agree with you. I think we, and I think we are. All of these data sets, they really play out, you know, 80 different species and you can see the winners and losers, if you want to say, from a species, the range shifts.

And I think that's the conversation we should be having is what are the implications, are there opportunities here. But as this gentleman, the gentleman there indicated, I think any of those present, you know, some real management challenges, even to think about how would we establish new fisheries and on what time scale with what constraints.

And so it's a great point. I think some of the examples we use probably are for some of the species that we think are going to have either some of the most rapid change or some of the most dramatic change affecting our current fisheries.

So the lens is off in about how would this affect current situation. But I agree with

you, we need to be telling that range of opportunity stories. Yes, thank you.

(Off microphone comments.)

CHAIR BEIDEMAN: Bob?

with Cisco earlier. When I look at these vulnerability analyses, there's tremendous uncertainty on certain aspects of it. And I think it's really important that we admit that these are projections based on what we think is going to happen, but we really need to invest in better science so we have better certainty around some of these projections, so that we know how for instance ocean acidification is going to affect critical stocks such as lobster and sea scallops which make up so much of those vulnerability projections.

And I don't know whether that's reflected well in this, but I'm going to do a deeper dive and try and make that recommendation.

MR. GRIFFIS: Couldn't have said it better. Thank you.

### CHAIR BEIDEMAN: Peter?

MR. GRIFFIS: I might make one point on that. I think if you're referring to certainly here, recommendations here. The teams were limited in the kind of recommendations they could make in their chapter. So I encourage you to look at it. Look at the recommendations.

We were encouraged to make recommendations on key next steps, advances, how to advance it. Even to what are steps that would help advance the actual adaptation, not just the knowledge base. So, but what am I trying to say?

While being policy neutral is what I'm trying to say, which is interesting. But also, we were severely constrained. I'm speaking as an author now, trying to help write this thing. Six pages is very hard. But please, help us improve it. Thank you.

CHAIR BEIDEMAN: So, Peter?

MEMBER MOORE: Yes. Hey, Roger.

Thank you for that. I guess it sort of follows

Bob's comment. But I think the first time that I

was sort of, my eyes were open on, you know, for instance sea level rise was when you would see the actual and a projection, and then a correction the next year that showed the projection was low.

And the hockey stick kept getting sharper, or the curve kept getting sharper when you added the actual in each year overlaid with what the previous projection was.

And I think that for people who don't believe that this is all happening for some reason, to see something like that which is an actual measurement and a former projection, and then what is the current over that former projection is tremendously impactful in helping people understand not just that it is happening, but what the rate of speed is that it's happening.

And I know in my experience in the Gulf of Maine, which really doesn't go back to probably mid '90s, was shrimp. There's no shrimp. There was 20 million pounds landed when

I first got there in 1995.

And so I think that this is a really, this information and Vince's work is so important for policy makers to see. And to Bob Gill's point, what are the positives. I'm not sure there, I don't really feel like there's positives.

And when you start screwing around at this scale with this ecosystem, you're saying there's a very large kettle and it's gotten very hot. That's, you know, we have a \$450 million scallop fishery and a \$450 million lobster fishery. I can tell you that croker isn't going to replace that value.

So it's, you know, I don't see a lot of positive coming along as the species move out, personally, to replace that kind of level of economic opportunity. But I think that that kind of sort of, or not retrospective. I guess it would be the reality overlaid with the projection is pretty effective, the ones that I've seen to date.

MR. GRIFFIS: Yes. Yes, thank you.

I think you're right on. I think we can do a

much better job of showing, visualizing this. In

January or February, the new paper will come out,

or I'm hoping we get a next chance to really do

this.

It will combine, you know, as you know, we've assembled the shifts in distribution of species over the past 40 or 50 years from all our stock surveys on each coast. If you can go see that visually, where the center of the distribution is shifted for each species, choose your species, choose your region, it's at a website called ocean adapt.

It's a partnership between us and Rutgers. There's the historical trend, and it's really quite powerful, you know, look at black sea bass or cod and see how they've retreated or advanced northward, or gotten deeper.

But what that group is going to add to that in this next iteration and paper that will come out, again early in 2017, is the projection

side of that story. So I think that's what I was picturing as you were talking.

real life in the past 50 years. How have they shifted. And they will use then the projections on where we think they're going to go if they follow their pattern over the next 20, 40, and 60 years. I think that will be a transformative moment because of the visual on it, and people will be, it will trigger a whole bunch of other questions. So, thank you.

CHAIR BEIDEMAN: Thank you. I want to make, before I go to Bob, an announcement to folks. If you're interested in going to the recreational subcommittee meeting, they're in the process of setting that up. But it's just in the adjacent, where the food was, area.

So now I'll go to Bob. But I think
Roger is going to be here for a little bit. So
if there's questions, you could ask him. But
let's go ahead. We have two more in the queue,
and then we're done.

MEMBER GILL: Thank you, Madam Chair.

Another thought, Roger, is that one of my, what

did I say, discomfitures in fishery science is

that we know we have increasing uncertainty with

time. We don't know how to quantify it.

So when I see these long projections out there, and typically they're presented as a number, there's usually nothing mentioned in terms of oh, guess what, it may be plus, minus 200 percent.

So when I see an 80 year projection, I really get uncomfortable. So my suggestion is that, you know, we do the best we can with what we have, and I understand that.

But somewhere along the way, those who see that need to understand that the further out you go, the far more uncertain it gets. And for example, in the Gulf SSC, when we make an ABC recommendation, we rarely go beyond three years.

And if it's really good, we might go
out to five, but no further for that very reason,
because Lord only knows. So I would urge some

1	visibility to the fact that the further out we
2	go, the less certain we are about it. And the
3	divergence could be rather huge.
4	MR. GRIFFIS: Agreed. Thank you, sir.
5	CHAIR BEIDEMAN: Okay, so I have
6	another person in the queue, but I'm going to
7	turn it over to our subcommittee chair for
8	ecosystem. Is that correct?
9	MS. LOVETT: Or me.
10	CHAIR BEIDEMAN: Or you. All right.
11	MS. LOVETT: Hi. Ripp, are you on the
12	phone still?
13	MR. CUNNINGHAM: Yes, should I switch
14	over to the other line?
15	MS. LOVETT: Yes. So we've sort of
16	continued the conversation with Roger since he's
17	here, but the recreational fishery crowd has
18	moved themselves to the other room. They're just
19	setting up. So if you dial in that other number,
20	you'll be with the other group.
21	MR. CUNNINGHAM: Terrific, thank you.
22	MS. LOVETT: You're welcome.

1	CHAIR BEIDEMAN: Thank you.
2	MS. LOVETT: Thanks for joining us.
3	(Off microphone comments.)
4	CHAIR BEIDEMAN: Okay. Then the
5	formal meeting of MAFAC is closed, and we're
6	convened to a subcommittee for ecosystem.
7	MS. LOVETT: So transcription is going
8	to end.
9	CHAIR BEIDEMAN: Thank you.
10	(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter
11	went off the record at 3:03 p.m.)
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

### acoustic 62:8,18 63:9 113:13 128:21 166:1 agenda 15:18,21 110:4 Α 63:16 64:11 173:14 174:11 117:14 123:21 130:7 **A-4** 113:6 acoustically 62:16 addressed 106:16 **agendas** 110:12 A-F-T-E-R-N-O-O-N acoustics 63:18 123:17 aggregated 31:1,7 168:1 acronym 103:21 104:1 adds 131:13 **ago** 22:15 58:18 61:21 **a.m** 1:12 5:2 94:21,22 104:22 134:15 adept 81:8 72:15 132:5 151:1,13 167:6 act 30:6,20 95:10 96:8 adjacent 225:17 196:12 201:2,17 **ABC** 226:18 96:10,11,20 98:13 adjourn 4:20 165:10 214:15 abide 38:15 agree 50:21 71:22 99:17 100:12 101:10 adjust 22:8 144:5,7 ability 22:5 47:17 67:3 102:6 103:4,19 104:1 140:15 146:17 159:21 **adjusts** 103:9 113:14 124:12 156:6 104:14 105:8 107:17 administration 1:3 80:1 219:1,22 158:21 173:1 175:11 115:20 118:17,18 80:21 82:12,14 88:11 agreed 137:20 227:4 176:2 177:21 179:4 119:4,8,14,21 120:2 88:21 89:9 93:2 95:12 agreement 51:10 181:13 184:2 126:6,13 128:6,6 97:19 109:19 110:5 137:18 154:2 able 7:17 16:12 32:11 133:8,19 147:2 153:3 119:12 154:4 agrees 107:14 47:20 55:21 56:15 156:17 157:1,4,14 administrative 4:4 6:18 agriculture 73:11 200:5 61:19 62:16 66:16 158:14,15,21 199:19 78:10 135:11 ahead 16:21 31:19 67:4 74:6,7,9 78:20 Act's 99:5 administrator 2:14,16 105:12 225:21 79:19 83:8 88:15 89:6 acting 3:14 6:20,21 79:8 109:14 al 49:4 114:8,10 116:5 141:6 **Alan** 3:19 4:6 7:3 16:12 79:6,7,9,9 117:21 Admiral 122:7 143:6 170:19,21 action 48:9,10 56:9 admit 220:9 17:1 25:19 33:3 35:15 188:8 190:8,20 191:5 57:5 97:4 111:15 adoption 10:9 38:13 95:3,5 105:16 above-entitled 94:20 114:6,11 169:3,18 advance 82:14 93:18 208:19 167:5 228:10 171:21 172:14 184:10 164:15 172:8 184:2 **Alaska** 1:19 21:5 35:5,7 absence 210:8 191:13 192:11 221:10,11 35:9 48:14 59:1,3 absolutely 81:18 actionable 170:16 advanced 21:4 56:19 62:2.13 99:16 106:7 **absorb** 202:19 204:3 actions 96:8 111:14.17 93:17 204:13 224:19 106:10 133:8 154:13 absorbing 202:20 112:10,11,11,21 advancement 176:18 157:16 186:18 188:13 absorbs 202:19 115:6,9,21 116:9 **advances** 174:13 196:13 abundance 64:15 122:9 159:9 172:13 175:11 176:1 204:17 alga 59:20 60:3 183:11 active 12:12 198:10 204:18 208:5 209:16 algae 58:2 59:22 access 49:21 actively 188:21 221:9 algal 9:12 58:16,19 accomplish 135:7 advancing 177:20 activities 82:4 89:17 59:10 65:6 115:12,13 accomplishment 88:5 135:19 136:4 137:6 180:19 algebra 87:18 accomplishments 144:13 advantage 218:22 Alger 8:17 10:17 174:22 activity 82:7 83:14 89:2 adverse 213:5 align 10:12 account 112:17 114:16 **all's** 103:2 91:4 98:18 145:16,16 advice 41:20 67:11 139:7 advisor 2:20 3:5 7:14 acts 97:2 101:10 alleviate 135:11 accountability 104:15 actual 170:17 208:10 Advisory 1:4,10 alleviated 125:3 **Accounts** 92:17 93:6 208:13 216:10 221:11 **affairs** 2:15,15 3:8,11 allocate 139:17 140:1 **ACCSP** 144:16 146:1,6 222:3,8,13 3:13,18 95:21 115:8 allocating 140:9 accuracy 67:16 adapt 47:15,17 224:14 123:8 allocation 107:13 120:7 accurate 217:14 affect 46:20 96:20 adaptation 172:8 201:8 120:10 137:13 139:15 achieve 139:4 204:22 208:4 221:11 107:19 116:10 219:22 140:5.18 achieving 143:8 adapting 47:22 220:15 allocations 13:11 33:3 acid 60:15 66:8 72:21 afraid 196:7 102:20 103:17 120:9 adaption 196:16 acidification 175:8 **allow** 18:5 39:10 124:13 add 30:19 32:22 37:5 afternoon 163:16,19 186:8 188:3 201:12 92:2 156:22 224:20 164:11 165:16,22 allowed 37:13 81:11 202:13 204:2,5 208:8 add-on 65:6 afterthought 55:16 allowing 100:3 208:19 209:5 211:6 added 88:19 189:2 allows 104:8 115:17 agencies 10:14 73:2 216:2,21 220:14 214:7 222:8 75:9 128:8 117:1 acidified 212:14 **adding** 54:15 agency 19:20 25:3 alternative 100:4 acidifying 46:19 addition 104:4 124:4 27:20 52:5 53:21 101:12 173:12 acknowledgment additional 100:7 102:16 82:22 90:11 110:15 altogether 40:18 158:15 114:17 121:17 123:3 103:5 113:17 120:8 **amazing** 175:21 **ACL** 102:7,11,12 103:7 ambitious 146:7 125:18 174:20 182:13 143:17 173:3 **ACLIM** 189:18 address 55:3 68:7 agency's 26:9 amend 156:17 158:21 ACLs 100:2 101:14 108:2 110:4,16 agency-wide 114:12 amendment 158:22 106:17

amendments 125:12	approaches 4:17 9:16	67:15 74:4,11,21	<b>baby</b> 151:1
127:17 159:5,6	9:17 13:17 75:17,17	75:18 101:20 135:22	back 5:16 14:10 22:14
America 19:6 200:10	140:9 164:5	172:18 185:5 187:13	29:5 33:9,20 34:19
American 78:22	appropriate 140:3	187:17 189:6 200:14	40:16 48:5 54:7 58:10
Americans 152:4	appropriated 93:1	200:17	60:4 63:19 64:5 65:20
<b>amount</b> 13:17 87:3 90:9	appropriation 80:14	assistant 2:14,16,17	66:7 72:9 82:11 91:9
140:19 164:19	81:4	79:8 109:14	92:13 94:12,18
amounts 14:12	appropriators 84:14	associated 10:21 45:16	108:17 112:4 119:13
analogy 81:15 177:7,11	approximately 87:2	116:2 120:18,19	121:16 129:9 142:20
analyses 74:17 220:7	90:22 104:11	127:14	149:18 160:4 161:16
<b>analysis</b> 50:13 52:13	<b>April</b> 79:6 151:18	Association 1:18,22	166:20 167:3 168:3
61:3 63:15 113:4,9,13	aquaculture 1:18 2:19	2:6,9	177:2 182:16 190:21
132:1 162:1	51:19 52:1,6,12,19,20	<b>assume</b> 66:11 74:8	192:5 222:20
Analyst 2:18	53:1,9,14,21 85:5,8	91:12	background 12:20
analytical 88:12	85:13 121:9 128:7,20	assumptions 50:4	<b>bad</b> 34:14 69:14 77:12
analytics 52:7	136:2,4 164:17	<b>at-</b> 172:20	77:12 151:21 192:18
<b>analyze</b> 13:16 14:12	Archipelago 34:9	at-sea 141:8	192:19 217:21
72:4 79:20	archive 22:21 23:6,11	ate 153:7	<b>badly</b> 105:18
analyzed 147:16	area 14:1 29:19 62:12	Atlantic 1:16,20 37:7	bags 193:10
analyzes 200:4,6	104:11 113:19,22	100:19,19 102:19	balance 16:6 21:16
anchovy 63:11	114:1 116:20 117:2,2	103:15 123:22 124:10	41:8 122:21 139:2
<b>Andrew</b> 199:9,9 208:14	183:19 197:12 225:17	138:7,17 139:21	<b>ball</b> 105:17 171:6
215:14	areas 45:2 86:12	144:15,21 147:2	182:15
anglers 104:10	116:17 138:1,7	148:18 193:6 203:20	Ballard 159:7
Angling 157:2	204:18 211:8 212:17	atmosphere 176:21,22	bandwidth 140:19
animals 142:3 150:3	arguing 34:16	201:6 202:22	bank 1:20 112:17
160:11 161:2,2	argument 33:17 34:17	atmospheric 1:3	114:16 116:1
announcement 225:13	36:4	176:20 178:18	BARD 3:1
annual 104:14 127:17	Array 1:14	attempted 30:1	bars 51:11
answer 57:3 62:3 67:18	arrest 155:18	attention 53:16 84:11	base 90:18 91:2 157:22
92:8 95:18 105:13,15	arriving 6:22	84:15 85:15	158:5 170:14,14
106:19 116:6 132:7	art 189:8	audio 95:2	221:12
138:3,9 147:17	artisanal 18:16 aside 124:2	audit 23:15	based 31:9,13 87:1
148:11 155:22 158:12 170:21 195:21		augment 74:10 83:8 August 29:6 120:14	121:11 123:17 124:15
answered 96:1	asked 137:6 169:6	Australians 188:7	171:19 184:12,17 220:10
answering 171:5	asking 28:22 93:22 133:9 180:21 190:2	author 199:11 208:9	<b>baseline</b> 47:9 210:16
anti-aquiculture 130:7	asks 86:16 101:21	221:16	basic 175:17
anti-marine 158:3	<b>ASMFC</b> 138:18 139:17	authority 25:6	basically 21:21 31:11
anticipated 80:12	145:18 146:10,17,22	authorization 126:7	35:3 64:3 71:2 153:20
anybody 162:17	147:3,6,10,22 149:6	authorizations 126:8	176:6,10 178:14
anybody's 161:22	158:6 159:22 161:17	automated 57:20	184:14 190:2
anymore 134:2	aspect 28:6 33:5 54:1	automatically 147:21	basis 18:2 97:4 99:14
<b>Anytime</b> 140:5	aspects 8:10 40:20	available 22:10 49:8,8	120:5 147:15 154:13
anyway 9:22 16:5 39:9	41:3 42:15 46:10	49:12 87:2,4 201:18	162:6 196:2 213:16
57:13,16 76:15	74:14,18 131:9	Avenue 1:11	bass 139:21 148:21
122:19 161:17 166:9	202:11 204:9,11	average 211:19	149:4 193:6 218:18
185:20	220:8	avoid 25:8 188:10	224:18
apart 54:18 210:1	assembled 224:8	avoided 210:10	beach 76:19 142:19
Apologies 25:22	assembly 150:10	awarded 82:7	beaker 50:10
199:15	assess 70:12	aware 73:12 161:22	<b>Beal</b> 1:16 4:10 6:8
apologize 78:19	assessing 69:22	166:1	138:5 149:2 159:20
applicable 20:2	assessment 69:18	awareness 6:12	bearer 192:19
application 20:14 76:7	113:5 164:4 169:8	awful 89:8	beat 193:22
applied 20:7	187:13 189:11 199:6		Becky 3:11 95:20
apply 30:21 115:15	199:18,20 205:12,14	В	becoming 29:3
appreciate 135:13	213:13	<b>B</b> 190:16	<b>Bedford</b> 46:5,13
approach 68:19 159:16	assessments 42:7	babies 186:17,17	<b>beep</b> 214:15

began 186:5 **beginning** 55:20 74:15 79:21 182:14 184:13 begins 43:1 170:18 177:22 190:8 204:21 **behavior** 216:13 **Beideman** 1:12,14 5:3 6:1 18:8 37:5 38:15 54:6 65:17 68:12 72:13 76:2 78:4,7 90:6 94:11,14 95:1 105:14 128:12 133:3 134:11,18 148:13 156:19 157:7,11 159:19 163:13 166:11 168:3 179:9 192:13 193:21 196:8 198:22 214:14,19 215:1 217:16 220:4 221:1 221:19 225:12 227:5 227:10 228:1,4,9 believe 43:7 50:15 80:9 94:8 120:13 123:16 148:14,21 179:14,15 222:11 **Belle** 1:17 51:13,13 90:7,7 belong 5:11 Beltway 6:4 benefits 76:8 114:20 116:2,16 173:11 **Bering** 186:21 188:11 188:13 190:4,10,18 **BERKOWITZ** 1:18 67:13,19 68:11 180:5 180:14,16 182:20 **best** 100:8 102:14 143:10 171:11,11 189:19 190:3 198:6 226:13 **better** 17:15 50:17 52:17 56:5 66:5 70:9 75:20 108:4 118:12 129:14 130:8 144:8 173:2,7 186:13 187:19 220:12,12,22 224:3 beyond 19:2 41:2 104:11 140:20 226:19 bias 217:12 **big** 13:12,13 22:19 37:3 39:11 52:8 57:16 101:8 138:18 139:15 143:3 154:6 159:2 161:3,5 177:13 178:4 179:4 203:11,12,17 **bigger** 159:2

**bill** 20:22 97:21 98:15 99:13 101:4,7,8,22 102:22 104:8 105:1 106:3,6 107:17 158:22 159:4 billion 82:15,17,20 83:1 **bills** 96:12,18,19 97:19 98:4 104:13 107:7,9 biological 126:14 **biology** 139:7 **biomass** 64:15 **bit** 9:4,7,13 10:17 12:14 13:22 14:21 24:21 27:3 35:16 37:6 41:6 41:9 56:21 61:1 62:22 65:5,21 69:10 72:12 79:1,10,22 83:13 84:9 85:9 90:3 95:11 96:4 98:12 111:7 120:11 143:19 145:9 148:7 154:9 164:8 169:6 176:18 190:1 197:3 213:6 214:15 225:19 black 193:6 218:18 224:17 **blob** 77:5 187:6.9 block 15:22 155:11 **BLOCKSTEIN** 3:2 bloom 58:19 59:11 65:7 115:13.13 blooms 9:12 58:16 61:22 **blunt** 160:8 **board** 83:4 boat 20:19 24:11 34:20 37:8 38:20 142:19 **boats** 18:17 19:6 124:17 125:5 154:13 154:17 155:5,5,16 **Bob** 1:16 4:10 6:8 7:5 51:17 53:7 54:7,10 91:9 105:14 109:11 128:12,13 131:5 135:6 159:19 164:17 165:17 217:16 220:4 223:4 225:13,18 **Bob's** 221:22 **BOEM** 75:10 **bone** 37:3 **BONNEY** 1:19 15:10,14 20:21 31:8 32:7 36:10 78:15 93:10,13 133:6 165:1,14 166:3 196:9 **books** 79:18 borrowed 58:12 Boston 8:8

bottle 64:3

**bottom** 49:14 55:5

**boy** 193:8 **BRAME** 1:20 break 5:21 7:2,7 94:17 95:22 breakout 91:11 92:14 breakthrough 177:2 Brett 8:17 9:21 10:17 11:1 12:5,13 14:22 22:21 23:6 28:10 33:1 **Brett's 26:2** Brian 6:6,18,20 78:19 79:7 135:10 Brian's 6:21 **bridge** 6:7 13:6 78:22 bridges 6:6 **brief** 169:6 **briefing** 96:11 199:5,12 **briefly** 172:14 bring 5:5 166:21 **bringing** 192:18 **brings** 13:5 **broad** 38:3 42:17 101:22 broader 12:11 105:7 107:19 193:19 217:2 broadest 100:21 **broadly** 106:18 **broke** 56:9 57:5 **broken** 201:1 brought 19:1 47:3 75:2 131:5 220:5 brown 2:1 212:1 **Bruno** 208:16 **BRYANT** 2:15 **bubbling** 50:10 **budget** 3:16 4:3 6:17 10:21 11:2 36:14,17 55:4 72:1 78:10 79:11 79:14,17 80:2 82:10 82:22 83:14,17,20 84:7 85:6 86:6.7.16 86:19 87:5,6,18 88:1 90:3 92:14 117:6 135:3 138:11 155:21 173:22 191:18 budgetary 80:22 budgets 14:19 87:11 184:14 **Buffett** 153:22 **build** 9:17 56:14 204:15 **building** 9:18 212:16 **built-in** 118:21 124:7 **bump-up** 85:5 bunch 24:18 225:10 **bundle** 114:4

bottomless 141:11

boundary 104:5

**box** 24:19

**buoy** 9:11 **burden** 131:13 **burdened** 132:13 burdens 110:19 113:17 128:14,22 129:1 burdensome 118:4 119:3 130:4 burgeoning 85:18 burners 203:22 **Busch** 208:18 business 69:2 73:21 115:3 145:4 busy 98:14 butcher 9:22 **button** 194:1 bycatch 117:2 188:5,10

C C 190:16 cabal 134:21 Cabinet-level 82:22 Cadillac 188:14 **cadre** 69:5 calcification 46:20 calendar 97:5 106:13 calibration 70:3.13 75:2 California 59:1 62:1 101:2 151:10,12,16 153:4,16 163:5 call 86:20 139:6 193:17 called 48:9 64:8,18 87:5 199:18 208:9 224:14 calls 86:10 156:15 camera 20:19 32:2 33:13 34:22 35:6 36:5 117:1 154:10 cameras 38:21,22 154:9,12,13,17 Canada 23:18 161:13 **Canadian** 161:10 Canadians 23:16 152:4 cap 112:22 capabilities 54:18 83:4 capability 171:20 172:5 capacity 40:9 163:2 **Cape** 160:13 captain's 23:17 **capture** 63:4,6 **captures** 116:19 carbon 210:8 card 55:17 189:3 cards 195:8 care 88:5 97:8 205:4 **Caribbean** 18:11,14,19 19:9,15 20:6,8,11 Carolina 150:8 **Caroline** 143:12 carrying 163:1

Carbon 62:17 63:1   179:9 192:13 193:21   case 35:4 43:21 44:8; 221 44:19 215:1 217:16   2217:17 220:4 221:1   44:8; 1221 45:22, 45:23, 15   45:21 46:15; 17 47:10   58:7 59:5 61:8; 16   62:12, 15:20 73:17   15:20 163:5 178:8   cases 73:5 74:11 75:20   99:6 100:2 130:18   134:7, 8 184:19   catch 38:16 45:8, 11   95:22 100:17 104:6   104:14 119:20, 22   21:33.36   catches 183:2 211:8   catches 183:2 21:8   catches 183:2 21:	II			
carving 182-4 cascade 82:19 case 35:4 43:21 442.3 44.8.12.2 145.2.8.15 45:21 46:15.17 47:10 58:7 59:5 61:8.16 62:12.15,20 73:17 115:20 163:5 178:8 cases 73:5 74:11 75:20 99:6 100:2 130:18 131:19 132:0 1138 134:7,8 184:19 catch 38:16 45:8,11 95:22 100:17 104:6 104:14 119:20,22 142:21 188:5 211:0 c212:33.6 catches 183:2 211:8 catching 187:3 94:1 catch 38:16 94:8 193:18 catching 187:3 94:3 categories 117:8 category 111:2 2124:17 caught 81:1 causing 162:10 CCS 32:10	cartoon 62:17 63:1	179.9 192.13 193.21	charge 24:8 9	200:3 11 201:3
case 364 43:21 44:2.3				
cases 35-4 43:21 442.3				
448,12,21 452,8,15 4521 4615,17 47:10 58:7 59:5 61:8,16 62:12,15,20 73:17 115:20 163:5 178:8 cases 73:5 74:11 75:20 99:6 100:2 130:18 131:1,9 132:10,11,18 131:1,9 132:10,11,18 139:22 184:9 catch 38:16 458,8,11 95:22 100:17 10:46 104:14 119:20,22 14:22:1 188:5 211:20 212:3,3.6 catches 183:2 21:8 catches 183:2 21:8 catches 183:2 21:8 catching 38:7 39:13 categories 117:8 caused 60:15 61:7 63:16 causing 162:10 CCG 32:10 CEAMAP 141:4 content 48:16 53:8 19:72:1 193:8 18:99:9 20:7 209:9 211:20 21:25 73:14 184:15 224:11 center 48:16 63:8 19:12 19:12 centri 11:26 147:20 closus 178:13 center 9:1 60:7 71:5 73:14 184:15 224:11 center 48:16 53:8 19:12:1 centri 12:15 145:22 CEO 1:14,18 certain 18:4 19:7 30:10 31:1 46:14 61:6 67:9 15:27 17:10 221:4 certain 18:4 19:7 30:10 31:1 46:16 78:10 21:11 175:6,22 certainly 26:17 20:12 certify 104:19 ceter 43:14 62:10 challenging 100:10 170:0 challenging 100:10 170:0 challenging 100:10 170:0 challenging 100:10 170:0 challenged 185:14 challenged				-
45:21 46:15.17 47:10   28:75.7.10 228:1.4.9   chaired 117:20   chaired 1				
Sept 759.5 61:8,16   C2:12,15.20 73:17   115:20 163:5 178:8   Cases 73:5 74:11 75:20   39:6 100:2 130:18   134:7.8 184:19   Catch 38:16 458.8,11   95:22 100:17 104:6   104:14 119:20.22   142:21 188:5 211:20   212:3,3.6   Catches 183:2 21:8   Catches 183:2 21:				
62:12,15,20.73:17   13:10 13:15 120:18   13:14,13:13:14,13:14,13:14,13:14,13:14,13:14,13:14,13:14,13:14,13:14,13:14,13:14,13:14,14:15:14,15:14,15:15:14,15:15:14,15:15:14,15:15:14,15:14;   108:				climate-ready 172:6
115-20 163-5 178-8 cases 73-5 74:17 75-20 99:6 100-2 130:18 131:1,9 132:10,11,118 131:1,9 132:10,11,118 131:1,9 132:10,11,118 133:12,11,13 132:10,11,118 133:12,13 134:18 149:9 datch 38:14 challenges 87:9 93:19 94:1 169:22 174:1 183:22 185:3 196:4 29:12 21:3,3.6 catches 183:2 2 11:8 catching 38:7 39:13 categories 117-8 chambers 83:21 85:16 chamce 8.4 154:54 54:4 159:5 161:7 224:5 champe 29:16,21 46:11 46:15 78:17 104:13 146:3 176:2 186:19 187:4 194:8 197:21 198:18 199:19 2007 209:5 211:20 212:7,7 213:3 217:20 212:7,7 213:3 217:20 212:7,7 213:3 217:20 212:7,7 213:3 217:20 213:1 46:14 46:16 67:9 15:7,12 180:22 2 181:1 48:14 61:6 67:9 15:7,12 180:22 2 189:10,21 46:11 207:15 209:15 204:4 207:15 209:1 200:24 208:22 2 183:10,13 184:20 188:10 193:17 200:17 209:5 211:0 197:7,10 221:4 cortain 12:15 146:21 20:12 20:12 20:11 205:21 206:10 64:21 69:1 75:10 64:21 29:1 120:21 20:21 20:11 205:21 20:10 60:10 61:2 207:11 13 20:11 205:21 20:11 205:21 20:11 205:21 20:11 205:21 20:11 205:21 20:11 205:21 20:11 205:21 20:11 205:21 20:11 205:21 20:11 205:21 20:11 205:21 20:11 205:31 20:11 46:14 66:15 64:2,4 168:14 169:2,7 17:21 168:15 205:3,3 12:01 17:01 20:12 20:11 205:21 20:11 205:31 20:11 100:11 100:11 100:13 110:13 10:11 163:10 11:16:11 10:11 110:11				
Cases 73:5 74:11 75:20   99:6 100:2 130:18   challenged 185:14			chicken 38:10 216:7	
1311.1.9 132:10,11.1.8   challenges 87:9 93:19   94:1 169:22 174:1   183:22 185:3 196:4   183:22 185:3 196:4   183:22 185:3 196:4   185:22 100:17 104:6   104:14 119:20,22   142:21 188:5 211:20   212:3,3.6   catches 183:2 211:8   challenging 80:22 88:4   193:18   193:18   193:18   193:18   193:18   193:18   193:18   193:19   193:18   193:19   193:18   193:19   193:17   197:71.0 221:4   193:19   193:19   193:17   197:71.0 221:4   193:19   193:19   193:17   197:71.0 221:4   193:19   193:19   193:17   197:71.0 221:4   193:19   193:19   193:17   197:71.0 221:4   193:19   193:19   193:17   197:71.0 221:4   193:19   193:19   193:17   197:71.0 221:4   193:19   193:19   193:17   197:71.0 221:4   193:19   193:19   193:17   197:71.0 221:4   193:19   193:19   193:17   197:71.0 221:4   193:19	cases 73:5 74:11 75:20	211:8	chickens 38:10	close 60:17 136:11
1311.1, 9 132:10, 11, 18	99:6 100:2 130:18	challenged 185:14	chief 2:15,20 3:14 7:14	163:10
Catch 38:16 45:8,11   95:22 100:17 104:6   219:12   219:12   219:12   219:12   219:13   6   219:12   219:13   6   219:12   219:13   6   219:12   219:13   6   219:12   219:13   6   219:12   219:13   6   219:12   219:13   6   219:12   219:13   6   219:12   219:13   6   219:12   219:13   6   219:12   219:13   6   219:12   219:13   6   219:12   219:13   6   219:12   219:13   6   219:13   229:13   2	131:1,9 132:10,11,18		79:5	closed 79:16 116:18
Seize 100:17 104:6   104:14 119:20;   22   142:21 188:5 21:20   193:18   193:18   193:18   139:18   139:18   139:18   139:18   139:18   139:18   139:18   139:19   139:18   139:19   139:18   139:19	134:7,8 184:19	94:1 169:22 174:1	<b>chinook</b> 152:8 153:8,20	142:6 228:5
104:14 119:20,22	catch 38:16 45:8,11	183:22 185:3 196:4	156:6	closely 70:16 72:3
142:21 188:5 211:20		_		closer 91:6 117:2
Catching 38:7 39:13   category 111:22 124:17   caught 81:1   causing 60:15 61:7   146:13 77:47 173:2,5   63:16   causing 162:10   197:21 198:18 199:19   198:22   113:6:0 113:6   113:				
catches 183:2 211:8 catching 38:7 39:13 categories 117:8 category 111:122 124:17 caught 81:1 category 111:122 124:17 caught 81:1 caused 60:15 61:7 63:16 causing 162:10 CCC 32:10 CCC 32:10 CCC 32:10 CEAMAP 141:4 Cettain 178:13 center 9:1 60:7 71:5 73:14 184:15 224:11 centers 48:16 53:8 191:21 centers 48:16 53:8 191:21 central 12:15 145:22 CEO 1:14,18 certain 18:4 19:7 30:10 31:1 46:14 61:6 67:9 152:12 220:8 227:2 certainly 29:19 193:17 197:7,10 221:4 certainty 126:17 220:12 cettainty 126:17 220:12 cettaint				_
catching 38:7 39:13         categories 117:8         change 29:16,21 46:11         224:12,13         chowing 160:14         chowing 160:14         chowing 160:14         CM2.1 176:17         CM2.5 176:19         CM2.5 176:14         CM2.5 176:14         CM2.5 176:14         CM2.5 176:14         CM2.5 176:14         CM2.5 176:14				
categores 117:8         change 29:16,21 46:11         chowing 160:14         CM2.5 176:19         CM2.5 176:19           caught 81:1         caused 60:15 61:7         dei:15 78:17 104:13         chowing 160:14         Chris 2:14 22:15 33:9         George 29:16,21 46:11         Chris 2:14 22:15 33:9         George 29:16,21 46:11         Chris 2:14 22:15 33:9         George 29:16,21 46:11         Chris 2:17 20:12         Coowner 2:4				
Category 111:22 124:17				1
caught 81:1         145:3 171:4,7 173:2,5         98:22         Co-owner 2:4				
caused 60:15 61:7 63:16         174:14 176:3 186:2 186:19 187:4 194:8 197:21 198:18 199:19 200:7 209:5 211:20 212:7,7 213:3 217:20 212:7,7 213:3 217:20 212:7,7 213:3 217:20 212:7,7 213:3 217:20 212:7,7 213:3 217:20 212:7,7 213:3 217:20 218:14,16 219:18,19 218:14,16 219:18,19 219:121         Christmas 74:1 circle 114:3 circulate 165:18 circulate 165:18 ci				
Causing 162:10   186:19 187:4 194:8   197:21 198:18 199:19   207:209:5 211:20   212:7,7 213:3 217:20   218:14,16 219:18,19   212:7,7 213:3 217:20   218:14,16 219:18,19   218:14 162:5,7   218:14,16 219:18,19   218:14 162:10   219:17,7 213:3 217:20   218:14,16 219:18,19   218:14 162:21   218:14 162:21   218:14 162:22   181:3 18:9 183:19,19   175:7,12 180:22   181:9 183:19,19   183:19,19   183:14 66:7 188:6,15   189:9 201:5 204:4   207:15 209:1 210:2,4   212:1   220:8 227:2   221:1   221:				
causing 162:10 CCC 32:10 CEAMAP 141:4 Celsius 178:13 Center 9:1 60:7 71:5 73:14 184:15 224:11 centers 48:16 53:8 191:21 Central 12:15 145:22 CEO 1:14,18 Certain 18:4 19:7 30:10 31:1 46:14 61:6 67:9 152:12 220:8 227:2 certainly 29:19 193:17 197:7,10 221:4 certainly 29:19 193:17 197:7,10 221:4 certainly 29:19 193:17 197:7,10 221:4 certainly 29:19 193:17 197:7,10 221:4 certainly 29:19 193:17 132:14,15 175:10 Cetter 43:14 62:10 64:21 69:1 75:10 132:14,15 175:10 132:14,15 175:13 133:16 140:10 141:20 142:13,16 143:3,18 144:13 174:13 148:13 133:13 133:16 140:10 141:20 142:13,16 143:3,18 144:14,17 146:7,12 148:51 136:41 140:10 148:13 136:41 190:19 148:13 138:61 148:13 136:13,14 148:13 136:13,14 118:11 187:13,17 148:13 136:13,14 148:13 136:13,14 113:11 138:61 148:13 130:14 148:13 26:10 142:13,16 143:3,18 148:13 139:16 140:10 141:20 142:13,16 143:3,18 148:13 130:19 131:14 148:51 132:11 138:61 148:51 138:11 138:61 148:13 130:19 13:14 148:13 130:19 13:14 148:13 130:19 13:14 148:13 130:19 13:14 148:13 130:14 138:61 148:13 130:19 13:14 148:13 130:14 148:13 13:6 148:13				
CCC 32:10         200:7 209:5 211:20         circulate 165:18         35:14 36:1 37:4 48:13           CEAMAP 141:4         Celsius 178:13         center 9:1 60:7 71:5         changed 127:5,7         changed 127:5,7         circulation 178:7         circulation 178:7         76:15 86:4 124:15	000			
CEAMAP 141:4 Celsius 178:13 center 9:1 60:7 71:5 73:14 184:15 224:11 centers 48:16 53:8 191:21         212:7, 7 213:3 217:20 218:14,16 219:18,19 changes 45:17 170:19 175:7,12 180:22 181:9 183:19,19 175:7,12 180:22 181:9 183:19,19 184:3 186:7 188:6,15 189:9 201:5 204:4 207:15 209:1 210:2,4 207:15 209:1 210:2,4 20				
Celsius 178:13         218:14,16 219:18,19         circumstances 147:12         76:15 86:4 124:15           Center 9:1 60:7 71:5         73:14 184:15 224:11         changed 127:5,7         changes 45:17 170:19         circumstances 147:12         76:15 86:4 124:15           Centers 48:16 53:8         191:21         175:7,12 180:22         181:9 183:19,19         71:0,21,21 8:5 17:22         132:14 26:1         132:11 138:8,13         139:16 140:10 141:20         132:11 38:8,13         139:16 140:10 141:20         142:13,16 143:3,18         144:14,17 146:7,12         132:14 26:1         139:16 140:10 141:20         142:13,16 143:3,18         144:14,17 146:7,12         142:13,16 143:3,18         144:14,17 146:7,12         142:13,16 143:3,18         144:14,17 146:7,12         142:13,16 143:3,18         144:14,17 146:7,12         142:13,16 143:3,18         144:14,17 146:7,12         142:13,16 143:3,18         144:14,17 146:7,12         142:13,16 143:3,18         144:14,17 146:7,12         142:13,16 143:3,18         144:14,17 146:7,12         142:13,16 143:3,18         144:14,17 146:7,12         142:13,16 143:3,18         144:14,17 146:7,12         142:13,16 143:3,18         144:14,17 146:7,12         142:13,16 143:3,18         144:14,17 146:7,12         142:13,16 143:3,18         144:14,17 146:7,12         142:13,16 143:3,18         142:13,16 143:3,18         142:13,16 143:3,18         142:13,16 143:3,18         142:13,16 143:3,18         142:13,16 143:3,18 <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>				
center 9:1 60:7 71:5 73:14 184:15 224:11 centers 48:16 53:8 191:21         changed 127:5,7 changes 45:17 170:19 175:7,12 180:22 181:19 183:19,19 30:19 35:16 49:4 50:2 181:9 188:6,15 189:9 201:5 204:4 207:15 209:1 210:2,4 212:11         Cisco 2:19 4:2 6:13,14 7:10,21,21 8:5 17:22 18:10 19:14 26:1 18:10 19:14 26:1 18:9:9 201:5 204:4 207:15 209:1 210:2,4 207:15 209:1 210:2,4 212:1         139:16 140:10 141:20 142:13,16 143:3,18 144:14,17 146:7,12 148:5,11 150:19 152:12 220:8 227:2 200:12 212:1 200:13,11,11 171:1,3 197:7,10 221:4 200:13,11,11 171:1,3 197:7,10 221:4 200:13,11,11 171:1,3 197:7,10 221:4 200:13,11,11 171:1,3 197:7,10 221:4 200:13,11,11 171:1,3 189:13 191:7 194:7 200:3,3,11 201:11 200:14,15 200:12 200:11 205:21 206:10 200:14,14 208:3 201:12 207:11,13 201:14 216:11 217:9 200:13 207:22 208:1 200:12 212:19:20         Ciaso 2:19 4:2 6:13,14 7:10,21,21 8:5 17:22 18:10 19:14 26:1 18:10 19:14 26:1 163:3 220:6 151:14 54:7,10 67:13 72:14 74:5 78:4 81:21 163:3 220:6 154:7,16 155:8 157:15,16,16 158:18 160:7 176:9 181:1 187:3,4 188:1 193:3 206:12 219:20 206:12 21:19,20 206:12 21:19,20 224:10 Coast-wide 61:20 203:13 207:22 208:1 206:14,3 131:1 46:15 164:2,4 168:14 46:15 164:3 13:14 46:15 164:2,6 16:10 46:15 164:2,6 16:10 46:15 164:2,10 46:15 164:2,10 46:15 164:2,10 46:15 164:2,10 46:15 164:2,10 46:1		*		
73:14 184:15 224:11 centers 48:16 53:8 191:21 181:9 183:19,19 188:6,15 189:9 201:5 204:4 207:15 209:1 210:2,4 211:17:1,7,19 221:4 certainly 29:19 193:17 197:7,10 221:4 certainly 126:17 220:12 certify 104:19 cetera 43:14,15 171:15 CFO 6:22 79:10 chair 1:12,14,15 5:3 6:1 18:8,10 26:1 27:7,14 27:16 37:5 38:15 54:6 54:9 65:17 68:12 72:13 76:2 78:4,7 90:6 92:12 94:11,14 95:1 105:14,16 128:12 133:3 134:11 134:18 135:2 138:6 148:13 156:3,19,21 157:7,11 159:19  characteristics 47:10 130:19 35:16 49:4 50:2 18:10 19:14 26:1 30:19 35:16 49:4 50:2 18:10 19:14 26:1 30:19 35:16 49:4 50:2 18:10 19:14 26:1 30:19 35:16 49:4 50:2 18:10 19:14 26:1 30:19 35:16 49:4 50:2 18:10 19:14 26:1 30:19 35:16 49:4 50:2 18:10 19:14 26:1 30:19 35:16 49:4 50:2 18:10 19:14 26:1 30:19 35:16 49:4 50:2 18:10 19:14 26:1 30:19 35:16 49:4 50:2 18:10 19:14 26:1 30:19 35:16 49:4 50:2 18:10 19:14 26:1 16:33 220:6 Cisco's 85:19 citation 49:18 CCLA 208:9 clam 60:16,19 61:7 66:9 clarity 88:20 136:22 clear 31:8 36:20 79:19 84:16 85:11,13 203:5 214:3 217:1 clearly 147:20 162:14 217:4 217:4 217:4 217:4 217:4 217:4 217:4 217:4 217:4 217:4 217:4 217:4 217:4 217:4 217:4 217:4 217:4 217:1 222:16 223:16 23:10 19:14 26:1 18:0 19:14 26:1 18:0 19:3 15:14 54:7,10 67:13 144:14,17 146:7,12 163:3 220:6 Cisco's 85:19 citation 49:18 CCLA 208:9 clam 60:16,19 61:7 66:9 clarity 88:20 136:22 clear 31:8 36:20 79:19 84:16 85:11,13 203:5 214:3 217:1 clearly 147:20 162:14 217:4 217:4 217:4 217:4 218:5 17:22 18:10 19:14 26:1 163:3 220:6 Cisco's 85:19 citation 49:18 165:17,15:8 163:3 220:6 Cisco's 85:19 152:147:4 76:5:8 152:147:4 76:5:8 152:147:4 7:5:8 152:147:4 7:5:8 152:15:14,15 15:19 154:17,10 67:13 144:14,17 146:7,12 163:3 220:6 Cisco's 85:19 152:147:4 6:6:9 152:147				
centers 48:16 53:8 191:21         175:7,12 180:22 181:9 183:19,19         18:10 19:14 26:1 30:19 35:16 49:4 50:2 51:14 54:7,10 67:13 72:14 74:5 78:4 81:21 148:5,11 150:19         139:16 140:10 141:20 142:13,16 143:3,18 144:14,17 146:7,12 148:5,11 150:19           Certain 18:4 19:7 30:10 31:1 46:14 61:6 67:9 152:12 220:8 227:2 certainty 29:19 193:17 197:7,10 221:4 certainty 126:17 220:12 certify 104:19 cetera 43:14 62:10 64:21 69:1 75:10 132:14,15 171:15         212:1 changing 100:10 170:2 170:3,11,11 171:1,3 171:12 175:6,22 183:10,13 184:20 185:11 186:1,7,15 189:13 191:7 194:7 200:3,3,11 201:11 200:3,3,11 201:11 202:11 205:21 206:10 chair 1:12,14,15 5:3 6:1 18:8,10 26:1 27:7,14 27:16 37:5 38:15 54:6 54:9 65:17 68:12 72:13 76:2 78:4,7 90:6 92:12 94:11,14 95:1 105:14,16 128:12 133:3 134:11 134:18 135:2 138:6 148:13 156:3,19,21 157:7,11 159:19         18:10 19:14 26:1 30:19 35:16 49:4 50:2 51:14 54:7,10 67:13 72:14 74:5 78:4 81:21 163:3 220:6 Cisco's 85:19 citation 49:18 CLA 208:9 clam 60:16,19 61:7 66:9 clam 60:16,19 61:7 66:9 clarity 88:20 136:22 clear 31:8 36:20 79:19 84:16 85:11,13 203:5 214:3 217:1 clearly 147:20 162:14 217:4 216:4 139:16 140:10 141:20 148:5,11 150:19 165:3 20:6 Cisco's 85:19 clam 60:16,19 61:7 66:9 214:3 217:1 224:10 Ccast-wide 61:20 224:10 Ccast-wide 61:20 206:8,13 209:6 212:10 206:13, 207:12 208:1 206:13 207:22 208:1 46:15 164:24:168:1 48:13 156:3,19,21 160:7 176:9 213:10,10 217:10 224:10 Ccasts 187:2 206:8,13 209:6 212:10 206:14,14 208:3 211:14 216:11 171:9 216:40 49:18 CLA 208:9 214:3 217:1 218:17 170:20 162:14 217:4 218:41 182:1,5,18,11 183:21 142:1,5,8,8,11 183:2,14,15 192:20 224:18 2			· ·	
191:21			1 1	-
central 12:15 145:22 CEO 1:14,18         184:3 186:7 188:6,15 189:9 201:5 204:4         51:14 54:7,10 67:13 72:14 74:5 78:4 81:21 163:3 220:6         144:14,17 146:7,12 148:5,11 150:19           certain 18:4 19:7 30:10 31:1 46:14 61:6 67:9 152:12 220:8 227:2         200:7,15 209:1 210:2,4 210:7,17 211:3,7,19 212:1         Cisco's 85:19 Citation 49:18         154:7,16 155:8 154:7,16 155:8           certainly 29:19 193:17 197:7,10 221:4         changing 100:10 170:2 170:3,11,11 171:1,3 certainty 126:17 220:12 certify 104:19         170:3,11,11 171:1,3 171:12 175:6,22 183:10,13 184:20         CLA 208:9 clarity 88:20 136:22 clear 31:8 36:20 79:19 204:3 217:1         185:11 186:1,7,15 189:13 191:7 194:7 200:3,3,11 201:11 202:11 205:21 206:10 chair 1:12,14,15 5:3 6:1 188:8,10 26:1 27:7,14 27:16 37:5 38:15 54:6 54:9 65:17 68:12 72:13 76:2 78:4,7 90:6 92:12 94:11,14 95:1 105:14,16 128:12 133:3 134:11 134:18 135:2 138:6 148:13 156:3,19,21 154:7,10 67:13 72:14 74:5 78:4 81:21 163:3 220:6 Citation 49:18 CLA 208:9 clarity 88:20 136:22 clear 31:8 36:20 79:19 214:3 217:1 200:3,3,11 201:11 202:11 205:21 206:10 206:14,14 208:3 211:14 216:11 217:9 chapter 199:11 202:12 205:13 207:22 208:1 206:12 209:6 210:18 213:22 206:12 207:11,13 208:12 209:8 214:6,8         CLA 208:9 160:7 176:9 181:1 187:3,4 188:1 193:3 206:12 221:10 2224:10 Coast-wide 61:20 coastal 1:15,21 43:11 43:16,19 51:21 83:10 86:17 91:12 144:15 148:5,11 150:19 154:7,16 155:8 Clam 60:16,19 61:7 66:9 213:10,10 217:10 224:10         Coast-wide 61:20 Coastal 1:15,21 43:11 43:16,19 51:21 83:10 86:17 91:12 144:15 147:2 178:1,7 197:3,9 206:8,13 209:6 212:10         Coast-wide 61:20 Coastal 1:15,21 43:11 46:15 164:2,4 168:14 163:3 220:1 184:11 187:13,17 148:12 182:1,58,8,11 181:21 182:1,58,8,11 181:21 182:1,58,8,11 181:21 182:1,58,8,11 181:21 182:1,58,8,11 181:21 182:1,58,8,11 181:21 1		•		
CEO 1:14,18 certain 18:4 19:7 30:10 31:1 46:14 61:6 67:9 152:12 220:8 227:2 certainty 29:19 193:17 197:7,10 221:4 changing 100:10 170:2 certainty 126:17 220:12 170:3,11,11 171:1,3 certainty 126:17 220:12 183:10,13 184:20 certa 43:14 62:10 64:21 69:1 75:10 132:14,15 171:15 CFO 6:22 79:10 chair 1:12,14,15 5:3 6:1 18:8,10 26:1 27:7,14 27:16 37:5 38:15 54:6 54:9 65:17 68:12 72:13 76:2 78:4,7 90:6 92:12 94:11,14 95:1 105:11,16 133:12 133:13 134:11 134:18 135:2 138:6 208:12 138:3 136:3,19,21 157:7,11 159:19         189:9 201:5 204:4 207:14 (200:4,4) 207:15 209:1 210:2,4 207:15 209:1 210:2,4 210:2,4 210:2,4 210:3,7,19 21:13,7,19 220:12 208:12 208:12 208:12 208:12 208:12 208:1 208:12 208:1 208:12 208:1 208:12 208:1 2	-	*		The state of the s
certain 18:4 19:7 30:10 31:1 46:14 61:6 67:9 152:12 220:8 227:2 certainty 29:19 193:17 197:7,10 221:4 certainty 126:17 220:12 certify 104:19 cetera 43:14 62:10 132:14,15 17:15 18:8,10 26:1 27:7,14 27:16 37:5 38:15 54:6 54:9 65:17 68:12 72:13 76:2 78:4,7 90:6 92:12 94:11,14 95:1 105:14,16 128:12 133:3 134:11 134:18 135:2 138:6 120:15 209:1 210:2,4 210:7,17 211:3,7,19 210:7,17 211:3,7,19 210:7,17 211:3,7,19 210:7,17 211:3,7,19 210:7,17 211:3,7,19 210:7,17 211:3,7,19 210:7,17 211:3,7,19 210:10 170:2 212:1 Changing 100:10 170:2 170:3,11,11 171:1,3 211:14 216:15,6,22 clear 31:8 36:20 79:19 84:16 85:11,13 203:5 214:3 217:1 clearly 147:20 162:14 211:14 216:11 217:9 206:14,14 208:3 211:14 216:11 217:9 206:14,14 208:3 211:14 216:11 217:9 209:6 210:18 213:22 205:13 207:22 208:1 206:14,2 207:11,13 206:1,2 207:11,13 206:1,2 207:11,13 206:1,2 207:11,13 208:12 209:8 214:6,8 148:13 156:3,19,21 157:7,11 159:19         163:3 220:6 Cisco's 85:19 160:17 76:9 181:1 187:3,4 188:1 193:3 206:14,20 79:19 84:16 85:11,13 203:5 214:3 217:1 clearly 147:20 162:14 217:4 217:4 217:4 218:12 132:3 38:15 54:6 212:10 206:1,2 207:11,13 206:1,2 207:11,13 206:1,2 207:11,13 206:1,2 207:11,13 206:1,2 207:11,13 206:1,2 207:11,13 206:1,2 207:11,13 206:1,2 207:11,13 206:1,2 207:11,13 208:12 209:8 214:6,8 157:15,16,16 158:18 157:15,16,16 158:18 157:15,16,10 159:18 160:7 76:9 181:1 187:3,4 188:1 193:3 206:12 21:10 Coasts 187:2 cod 151:11 160:13 181:21 182:1,5,8,8,11 183:2,14,15 192:20 224:18 206:1,2 207:11,13 183:2,14,15 192:20 224:18 206:1,2 207:11,13 183:12 182:1,5,8,8,11 183:2,14,15 192:20 224:18 206:1,2 207:11,13 183:2,14,15 192:20 224:18 206:1,2 207:11,13 183:11 197:19,21         150:1,4 159:1,4 149:1,4 159:1,4 149:1,4 159:1,4 149:1,4 159:1,4 149:1,4 159:1,4 149:1,4 149:1,4 149:1,4 149:1,4 149:1,4 149:1,4 149:1,4 149:1,4				
31:1 46:14 61:6 67:9 152:12 220:8 227:2 certainly 29:19 193:17 197:7,10 221:4 certainty 126:17 220:12 certify 104:19 cetera 43:14 62:10 64:21 69:1 75:10 132:14,15 171:15 CFO 6:22 79:10 chair 1:12,14,15 5:3 6:1 18:8,10 26:1 27:7,14 27:16 37:5 38:15 54:6 54:9 65:17 68:12 79:06 92:12 94:11,14 95:1 105:14,16 128:12 133:3 134:11 134:18 135:2 138:6 148:13 156:3,19,21 152:12 220:8 227:2 certainly 29:19 193:17 changing 100:10 170:2 170:3,11,11 171:1,3 clam 60:16,19 61:7 66:9 clarity 88:20 136:22 clarity 88:20 136:20				
certainly 29:19 193:17         changing 100:10 170:2         CLA 208:9         160:7 176:9 181:1           197:7,10 221:4         170:3,11,11 171:1,3         clam 60:16,19 61:7 66:9         187:3,4 188:1 193:3           certainty 126:17 220:12         183:10,13 184:20         clarity 88:20 136:22         206:12 212:19,20           cetera 43:14 62:10         185:11 186:1,7,15         84:16 85:11,13 203:5         224:10           64:21 69:1 75:10         189:13 191:7 194:7         200:3,3,11 201:11         202:11 205:21 206:10         clearly 147:20 162:14         224:10           CFO 6:22 79:10         202:11 205:21 206:10         206:14,14 208:3         211:14 216:11 217:9         213:10,10 217:10         224:10            Chair 1:12,14,15 5:3 6:1         206:14,14 208:3         211:14 216:11 217:9         214:3 217:1         206:14,14 208:3         86:17 91:12 144:15           54:9 65:17 68:12         205:13 207:22 208:1         205:13 207:22 208:1         205:6 22 192:5         206:8 22 192:5         206:8 13 209:6         212:10         206:8,13 209:6         212:10         206:8,13 209:6         212:10         206:8,13 209:6         212:10         206:8,13 209:6         212:10         206:1,2 207:11,13         170:3,11 17:14         46:15 164:2,4 168:14         168:14 169:2,7,17,21         168:14 169:2,7,17,21         181:21 182:1,5,8,8,11	31:1 46:14 61:6 67:9		Cisco's 85:19	
197:7,10 221:4   170:3,11,11 171:1,3   171:1	152:12 220:8 227:2	212:1	citation 49:18	157:15,16,16 158:18
197:7,10 221:4   170:3,11,11 171:1,3   171:1	certainly 29:19 193:17	<b>changing</b> 100:10 170:2	<b>CLA</b> 208:9	160:7 176:9 181:1
certify 104:19         183:10,13 184:20         clear 31:8 36:20 79:19         213:10,10 217:10           64:21 69:1 75:10         189:13 191:7 194:7         214:3 217:1         Coast-wide 61:20           132:14,15 171:15         200:3,3,11 201:11         202:11 205:21 206:10         217:4         Coast-wide 61:20           18:8,10 26:1 27:7,14         206:14,14 208:3         211:14 216:11 217:9         click 43:12,20         86:17 91:12 144:15           18:8,10 26:1 27:7,14         205:13 207:22 208:1         205:13 207:22 208:1         205:13 207:22 208:1         206:14,14 208:3         <		170:3,11,11 171:1,3	<b>clam</b> 60:16,19 61:7 66:9	
cetera 43:14 62:10         185:11 186:1,7,15         84:16 85:11,13 203:5         224:10           64:21 69:1 75:10         189:13 191:7 194:7         200:3,3,11 201:11         202:11 205:21 206:10         214:3 217:1         Coast-wide 61:20           CFO 6:22 79:10         202:11 205:21 206:10         217:4         217:4         23:16,19 51:21 83:10           Chair 1:12,14,15 5:3 6:1         206:14,14 208:3         211:14 216:11 217:9         21:14 216:11 217:9         21:24:3 217:1         20 castal 1:15,21 43:11         43:16,19 51:21 83:10         86:17 91:12 144:15         147:2 178:1,7 197:3,9         86:17 91:12 144:15         147:2 178:1,7 197:3,9         206:8,13 209:6         21:10         206:8,13 209:6         21:10         206:8,13 209:6         21:10         206:8,13 209:6         21:10         206:8,13 209:6         21:10         206:8,13 209:6         21:10         206:8,13 209:6         21:10         206:8,13 209:6         21:10         206:8,13 209:6         21:10         206:8,13 209:6         21:10         206:8,13 209:6         21:10         206:8,13 209:6         21:10         206:8,13 209:6         21:10         206:8,13 209:6         21:10         206:8,13 209:6         21:10         206:8,13 209:6         21:10         206:8,13 209:6         21:10         206:8,13 209:6         21:10         206:1,2 207:11,13         170:3,11 17:14         181:21 1				
64:21 69:1 75:10 132:14,15 171:15 CFO 6:22 79:10 chair 1:12,14,15 5:3 6:1 18:8,10 26:1 27:7,14 27:16 37:5 38:15 54:6 54:9 65:17 68:12 72:13 76:2 78:4,7 90:6 92:12 94:11,14 95:1 105:14,16 128:12 133:3 134:11 128:12 133:3 134:11 134:18 135:2 138:6 148:13 156:3,19,21 157:7,11 159:19  189:13 191:7 194:7 200:3,3,11 201:11 200:3,3,11 201:11 200:3,3,11 201:11 200:3,3,11 201:11 200:3,3,11 201:11 200:3,3,11 201:11 200:3,3,11 201:11 200:3,3,11 201:11 217:4 click 43:12,20 click 43:12,20 clicking 43:15 click 43:12,20 clients 68:22 192:5 climate 3:7 4:13 46:11 46:15 164:2,4 168:14 168:14 169:2,7,17,21 170:3,11 171:14 172:18 176:8,8,12 183:2,14,15 192:20 191:11 197:19,21  Coast-wide 61:20 coastal 1:15,21 43:11 43:16,19 51:21 83:10 coastal 1:15,21 43:11 43:16,19 51:21 83:10 click 43:12,20 clients 68:22 192:5 climate 3:7 4:13 46:11 46:15 164:2,4 168:14 168:14 169:2,7,17,21 170:3,11 171:14 172:18 176:8,8,12 183:2,14,15 192:20 204:18 code 29:1 CODY 3:2				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
132:14,15 171:15         200:3,3,11 201:11         clearly 147:20 162:14         coastal 1:15,21 43:11           CFO 6:22 79:10         202:11 205:21 206:10         click 43:12,20         86:17 91:12 144:15           chair 1:12,14,15 5:3 6:1         206:14,14 208:3         click 43:12,20         86:17 91:12 144:15           18:8,10 26:1 27:7,14         21:14 216:11 217:9         clicking 43:15         147:2 178:1,7 197:3,9           27:16 37:5 38:15 54:6         chapter 199:11 202:12         clients 68:22 192:5         206:8,13 209:6           54:9 65:17 68:12         205:13 207:22 208:1         46:15 164:2,4 168:14         212:10           72:13 76:2 78:4,7         209:6 210:18 213:22         168:14 169:2,7,17,21         coasts 187:2           90:6 92:12 94:11,14         206:1,2 207:11,13         170:3,11 171:14         181:21 182:1,5,8,8,11           128:12 133:3 134:11         206:1,2 207:11,13         172:18 176:8,8,12         183:2,14,15 192:20           134:18 135:2 138:6         208:12 209:8 214:6,8         184:11 187:13,17         224:18           148:13 156:3,19,21         108:1,4         188:15 189:11 190:9         191:11 197:19,21         CODY 3:2				_
CFO 6:22 79:10         202:11 205:21 206:10         217:4         43:16,19 51:21 83:10           chair 1:12,14,15 5:3 6:1         206:14,14 208:3         click 43:12,20         86:17 91:12 144:15           18:8,10 26:1 27:7,14         211:14 216:11 217:9         clicking 43:15         147:2 178:1,7 197:3,9           27:16 37:5 38:15 54:6         chapter 199:11 202:12         clients 68:22 192:5         206:8,13 209:6           54:9 65:17 68:12         205:13 207:22 208:1         46:15 164:2,4 168:14         212:10           72:13 76:2 78:4,7         209:6 210:18 213:22         221:6         168:14 169:2,7,17,21         coasts 187:2           90:6 92:12 94:11,14         221:6         170:3,11 171:14         181:21 182:1,5,8,8,11           128:12 133:3 134:11         206:1,2 207:11,13         172:18 176:8,8,12         183:2,14,15 192:20           134:18 135:2 138:6         208:12 209:8 214:6,8         184:11 187:13,17         224:18           148:13 156:3,19,21         108:1,4         188:15 189:11 190:9         191:11 197:19,21           157:7,11 159:19         108:1,4         191:11 197:19,21         CODY 3:2				
chair 1:12,14,15 5:3 6:1       206:14,14 208:3       click 43:12,20       86:17 91:12 144:15         18:8,10 26:1 27:7,14       211:14 216:11 217:9       clicking 43:15       147:2 178:1,7 197:3,9         27:16 37:5 38:15 54:6       chapter 199:11 202:12       clients 68:22 192:5       206:8,13 209:6         54:9 65:17 68:12       209:6 210:18 213:22       climate 3:7 4:13 46:11       212:10         72:13 76:2 78:4,7       209:6 210:18 213:22       221:6       climate 3:7 4:13 46:11       212:10         95:1 105:14,16       chapters 205:3,17,20       168:14 169:2,7,17,21       cod 151:11 160:13         128:12 133:3 134:11       206:1,2 207:11,13       172:18 176:8,8,12       183:2,14,15 192:20         148:13 156:3,19,21       208:12 209:8 214:6,8       184:11 187:13,17       224:18         148:13 156:3,19,21       108:1,4       188:15 189:11 190:9       code 29:1         157:7,11 159:19       108:1,4       191:11 197:19,21       CODY 3:2				
18:8,10 26:1 27:7,14       211:14 216:11 217:9       clicking 43:15       147:2 178:1,7 197:3,9         27:16 37:5 38:15 54:6       chapter 199:11 202:12       clients 68:22 192:5       206:8,13 209:6         54:9 65:17 68:12       205:13 207:22 208:1       46:15 164:2,4 168:14       212:10         72:13 76:2 78:4,7       209:6 210:18 213:22       221:6       168:14 169:2,7,17,21       codsts 187:2         90:6 92:12 94:11,14       221:6       170:3,11 171:14       181:21 182:1,5,8,8,11         128:12 133:3 134:11       206:1,2 207:11,13       172:18 176:8,8,12       183:2,14,15 192:20         148:13 156:3,19,21       147:2 178:1,7 197:3,9       183:2,14,15 192:20         147:2 178:1,7 197:3,9       188:15 189:11 190:9       183:2,14,15 192:20         147:2 178:1,7 197:3,9       191:11 197:19,21       191:11 197:19,21				
27:16 37:5 38:15 54:6       chapter 199:11 202:12       clients 68:22 192:5       206:8,13 209:6         54:9 65:17 68:12       205:13 207:22 208:1       climate 3:7 4:13 46:11       212:10         72:13 76:2 78:4,7       209:6 210:18 213:22       46:15 164:2,4 168:14       coasts 187:2         90:6 92:12 94:11,14       221:6       168:14 169:2,7,17,21       cod 151:11 160:13         95:1 105:14,16       128:12 133:3 134:11       206:1,2 207:11,13       172:18 176:8,8,12       183:2,14,15 192:20         134:18 135:2 138:6       208:12 209:8 214:6,8       184:11 187:13,17       224:18         148:13 156:3,19,21       108:1,4       188:15 189:11 190:9       code 29:1         157:7,11 159:19       108:1,4       191:11 197:19,21       CODY 3:2			* · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
54:9 65:17 68:12       205:13 207:22 208:1       climate 3:7 4:13 46:11       212:10         72:13 76:2 78:4,7       209:6 210:18 213:22       46:15 164:2,4 168:14       coasts 187:2         90:6 92:12 94:11,14       221:6       168:14 169:2,7,17,21       cod 151:11 160:13         95:1 105:14,16       128:12 133:3 134:11       206:1,2 207:11,13       172:18 176:8,8,12       183:2,14,15 192:20         134:18 135:2 138:6       208:12 209:8 214:6,8       184:11 187:13,17       224:18         148:13 156:3,19,21       characteristics 47:10       188:15 189:11 190:9       code 29:1         157:7,11 159:19       108:1,4       191:11 197:19,21       CODY 3:2				
72:13 76:2 78:4,7       209:6 210:18 213:22       46:15 164:2,4 168:14       coasts 187:2         90:6 92:12 94:11,14       221:6       168:14 169:2,7,17,21       cod 151:11 160:13         95:1 105:14,16       128:12 133:3 134:11       206:1,2 207:11,13       172:18 176:8,8,12       183:2,14,15 192:20         134:18 135:2 138:6       208:12 209:8 214:6,8       184:11 187:13,17       224:18         148:13 156:3,19,21       characteristics 47:10       188:15 189:11 190:9       code 29:1         157:7,11 159:19       108:1,4       191:11 197:19,21       CODY 3:2		-		1
90:6 92:12 94:11,14       221:6       168:14 169:2,7,17,21       cod 151:11 160:13         95:1 105:14,16       128:12 133:3 134:11       206:1,2 207:11,13       170:3,11 171:14       181:21 182:1,5,8,8,11         134:18 135:2 138:6       208:12 209:8 214:6,8       184:11 187:13,17       224:18         148:13 156:3,19,21       characteristics 47:10       188:15 189:11 190:9       cod 29:1         157:7,11 159:19       108:1,4       191:11 197:19,21       CODY 3:2				
95:1 105:14,16       chapters 205:3,17,20       170:3,11 171:14       181:21 182:1,5,8,8,11         128:12 133:3 134:11       206:1,2 207:11,13       172:18 176:8,8,12       183:2,14,15 192:20         134:18 135:2 138:6       208:12 209:8 214:6,8       184:11 187:13,17       224:18         148:13 156:3,19,21       characteristics 47:10       188:15 189:11 190:9       code 29:1         157:7,11 159:19       108:1,4       191:11 197:19,21       CODY 3:2	•		* · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
128:12 133:3 134:11       206:1,2 207:11,13       172:18 176:8,8,12       183:2,14,15 192:20         134:18 135:2 138:6       208:12 209:8 214:6,8       184:11 187:13,17       224:18         148:13 156:3,19,21       characteristics 47:10       188:15 189:11 190:9       code 29:1         157:7,11 159:19       108:1,4       191:11 197:19,21       CODY 3:2	- III			
134:18 135:2 138:6       208:12 209:8 214:6,8       184:11 187:13,17       224:18         148:13 156:3,19,21       characteristics 47:10       188:15 189:11 190:9       code 29:1         157:7,11 159:19       108:1,4       191:11 197:19,21       CODY 3:2		•		
148:13 156:3,19,21				
157:7,11 159:19 108:1,4 191:11 197:19,21 <b>CODY</b> 3:2			*	
		*		

coincide 144:8 84:13 91:5 92:3 97:9 complement 10:10 **Congress'** 93:19 coincidence 183:8 100:21 107:7 119:18 complete 143:2 172:17 congressional 82:5 **completed** 15:19 16:9 coincidentally 182:21 138:13 142:20 156:9 96:14 135:18 connecting 208:12 182:22 191:15 223:16 136:10,11 139:11 **Colburn** 49:4,19 commenced 81:11 143:1 187:12,16 connection 178:19 cold 181:19 193:2 comment 22:13 32:9 200:22 206:20 **colder** 183:6 33:1,5 54:5 55:7,9 completely 55:18 connections 206:20 collaborating 89:22 76:11 167:4 168:5,6 159:20 cons 72:7 collaboration 85:21 consensus 98:10 169:9,10 201:21 completing 145:11 91:11 174:19 213:17,21 218:11 189:10 conservation 1:15,21 collapsing 40:10 221:22 complex 178:21 123:8 125:16 colleagues 75:14,14 commenting 32:15 complexities 92:4 conservatively 76:1 comments 16:10 22:11 complexity 185:14 consider 66:20 214:5 193:13 collect 56:18,22 143:6 52:14 120:14 121:4,9 compliance 111:4 consideration 189:6 145:21 121:12 123:15,18 146:10,19 147:14 considerations 29:18 29:18 42:6 52:18 53:2 collected 13:15,20 90:9 124:3 164:6 169:13 149:17,18 collecting 64:3 133:17 199:1 201:21 202:2 complicated 41:6,10 56:16 134:3 214:11 220:3 228:3 190:1 considered 29:13 42:18 **collection** 10:4 14:7 considering 169:12 Commerce 1:1 82:21 comply 147:22 149:4 37:22 135:19 137:9 98:21 117:18 146:16 150:2 211:18 144:6 148:5 165:16 **component** 88:13,19 consistent 185:4 collectively 91:20 Commerce's 147:7 components 42:2 43:2 constituted 117:19 161:13 commercial 42:19 44:7 constrained 221:15 71:10 104:7 108:6 collects 25:2 143:20 composition 43:13 constraints 219:14 colors 181:18,20,21 124:20,20 139:18,22 210:5.17 construction 86:10 211:22 212:3 140:1 153:11.13 comprehensive 99:18 Consultant 2:3.7 Columbia 151:2 commercially 124:13 101:5 106:6 110:20 consultation 128:16 COLUMBUS 2:1 **commission** 1:17 2:2,4 compress 67:7 129:6,13,15,18,19,21 **column** 83:16,19 136:13,18 149:9,13 Comstock 98:19 207:17 combination 47:2 161:17 concentrate 111:11 consultations 126:3,14 140:12 194:21 Commission's 150:12 **concept** 93:16 94:2 consumer 73:4 combinations 212:13 commissions 4:8 73:8 conceptual 40:19 consumption 162:2,2 combine 45:12,20 84:20 136:18 146:11 conceptually 47:19 contain 102:8 63:17 120:21 224:7 committee 1:4,10 98:1 concern 30:11,12 72:22 contains 100:14 225:3 100:22 106:2 165:17 73:20 146:22 204:9 contender 99:14 **combined** 176:21 166:3 197:16 206:4 210:19 216:17 content 203:5 combining 64:11 common 55:22 138:10 216:22 217:9 contention 37:4 come 5:16 7:17 17:16 213:1,1 concerned 37:18 CONTENTS 4:1 18:15 22:3,7 26:6 communicate 88:15 concerns 37:21 context 46:2,3 204:19 30:4 31:22 34:19 communication 12:8 **concert** 110:15 217:19 37:13 49:20 51:3 72:9 communities 43:10 **condition** 188:6 213:5 contingent 173:21 conditions 45:17 46:15 84:1 87:3 94:18 44:19 46:2,5 47:20 191:18 107:12 108:17 128:14 51:21,22 52:2 60:22 171:12 175:14 176:3 continue 94:9 107:6 172:10 179:8 197:9 128:16,18 129:16 185:11 189:13.21 127:15 135:20,21 133:19 137:18,20 211:2 212:11 190:18 191:7 211:14 136:9 142:7 148:9 140:8,16 147:14 212:8,14 185:1 186:16 192:2,3 **community** 2:6 44:10 conduct 109:15 142:18 151:17 155:6,16 44:11 50:9 51:4 198:21 210:7 157:22 165:12 166:20 131:14,15 196:10,16 conducted 143:4 continued 173:20 191:15 210:13 224:4 company 71:14 conference 84:1 191:17 227:16 224:22 confidence 143:9 compare 23:20 continues 85:17 comes 12:20 19:17 confident 16:19 **continuing** 80:5 83:3 **Compared** 153:11 40:16 61:4 90:21 91:1 comparing 188:21 confidentiality 13:12,19 89:12 135:16 93:5 99:17 119:6 190:13 14:2 30:20 39:3 contract 34:8 35:10 132:19 158:19 159:21 **comparison** 59:16 60:9 confused 81:12 81:16,19 82:2,7 87:15 211:16 contracted 10:16 23:9 70:13 **Congress** 21:2 80:20 comfortable 143:12 compelling 198:2 82:11 83:21 84:11 35:5 coming 32:3 33:11 competed 91:4 89:9 90:15 94:5 108:2 Contracting 143:15 35:20 36:18 72:16 competition 34:6 158:14 160:5 199:22 contractor 37:12 143:7

de-regulatory 122:1

controlled 153:20 controlling 110:10 convened 228:6 conversation 28:14 55:11,18 57:7 63:8 70:1 148:10 219:7 227:16 conversations 30:4 cooperative 86:14 101:16 144:15 coordinate 10:10,14 coordinated 104:17 coordinating 11:3 98:1 208:9 coordination 10:20 11:6,15 12:2 192:3 coordinations 14:7 **Coordinator** 3:7 168:14 cops 23:3 **coral** 208:16 210:19 core 83:3 88:10 170:9 173:13 corner 176:13 Corporation 1:14 **Corps** 155:3 correct 28:21 66:13 227:8 correction 222:4 cost 13:10,15 22:18 29:2 33:3,15,16 34:14 71:9 74:8 87:12,13,14 112:19,20,22 113:1 114:12,20 115:14 117:15 121:21 122:2 122:18 124:9 131:9 131:13 141:8 162:5 162:15 cost-effective 10:11 20:15 cost-recovery 35:20 costs 10:14 14:18 36:21 110:10 113:3 113:14 114:7,9,10,20 114:20 116:2 117:4 117:15 121:22 122:21 125:7 130:16 173:11 council 20:11 21:6 38:17 67:8 98:1 103:18 107:12 114:14 118:6,8,15,20 119:9 124:1 127:2 131:20 140:13 144:20 175:5 189:5 197:17,19 council-specific 121:7 council-wise 22:1 councilmatic 66:6 194:7 councils 12:1,9 24:5

32:12 33:4,7 48:16 84:20 98:2 100:3,20 110:16 119:1 123:14 123:19 124:7 127:10 127:15 140:16 144:14 192:6 194:10 195:12 197:15 198:13 Counsel 117:20,22 count 116:6 122:18 counting 124:9 country 20:20 32:6 52:10 106:9 125:11 158:2 207:20 **counts** 114:6 **couple** 64:6 108:18 109:10 111:19 124:8 135:2 148:16 174:6,8 196:12 201:2,17 coupled 41:12 42:1 course 10:7,13 11:10 11:17 13:8 14:4 37:14 39:4 62:3 67:18 73:1 83:22 137:3 172:2 174:17,18 178:4 186:4 193:1,3 202:10 206:9 court 73:6 159:18 **cover** 36:21 62:12 92:19 96:16,22 164:11 coverage 37:19 **covers** 43:10 **CR** 80:12,16 81:3,10 82:2.6 89:4 crab 58:21 73:3,8 74:1 141:4 150:20 151:3,7 161:6 crabbing 76:17 cracked 105:18 106:18 create 113:12 140:6 created 121:20 creates 104:18 117:11 144:2 160:14 creating 104:4 112:16 creative 140:8 critical 83:7 86:1,2 87:19 89:15 115:10 135:8 197:7 220:15 critters 163:1 croaker 193:6 croker 218:18 223:13 cross-192:2 crossed 191:17 crowd 71:7 227:17 crystal 105:17 171:6 182:15 **culture** 51:18 cumbersome 140:13

**Cunningham** 214:18,18 214:21 227:13,21 curiosity 67:14 **curious** 26:21 30:13 157:20 162:16 currency 55:22 current 80:10 83:14 87:1 98:20 100:14 113:9 154:4 158:22 177:3,16 200:1,6 201:4 219:19,22 222:14 **currently 24:7 43:9** 80:5 82:10 154:14 204:5 217:2 curve 222:7 **custody** 37:16 38:4 customize 171:17 **cut** 70:4 cybersecurity 31:4 **cycle** 82:1 187:10

D **D.C** 78:21 daily 24:8 154:12 dam 153:17 154:7 dams 153:22 154:1,3 159:11 **Dan** 92:3,11 **dangle** 5:19 dark 212:1 darker 211:22 data 1:20 10:4,13 11:12 13:12,14,19 14:3,7,9 14:12,20 18:12 20:17 23:7 24:16 25:3,4,5 27:5,8 28:12 29:2 33:14 39:3 54:18 56:4 56:5,6,12,18,22 58:1 58:10 59:6 60:13 63:19 69:20,22 70:13 70:14 79:19 135:4,19 137:8 139:22,22 141:18 142:15 143:10 143:21 144:1,5,7,9 145:17,17,21 146:6 164:13 194:14 219:3 data-54:14,21 data-deficient 55:5 database 55:1 databases 56:15 date 200:17 223:22 Dave 138:11 140:22 156:19 **David** 2:2 3:1 4:9 day 24:10 67:17 105:5 days 84:10 109:13

deal 14:11 22:19 139:15 142:15 154:6 161:3 203:11 dealing 80:20,21 161:18 deals 209:7 dear 74:4 dearly 88:5 decade 130:19 173:5 **December** 80:7 109:9 127:4 decide 21:14 24:6 134:20 149:20 decided 24:16 28:9 35:17 decision 23:5 136:7 137:12 147:13 165:9 **Decision-making** 157:2 decisionmakers 171:10 173:7 191:6 decisionmaking 185:12 decisions 82:13,18 103:14 141:19 142:12 147:14 158:9 185:5 decline 212:2 declining 204:10 dedicated 164:8 205:13 dedicating 89:18 dedication 130:22 **deep** 50:7 deeper 83:12 220:20 224:19 deeply 143:22 defense 82:14 defer 165:19 deficient 54:22 **define** 116:10 defining 41:20 definitely 154:18 163:6 **definitions** 40:5 117:7 122:4 degree 73:9 180:6 203:8 degrees 12:15 178:13 203:9,10 **delay** 131:9 deliberation 82:10 delighted 54:11 **deliver** 170:16 192:8 delivered 82:11 199:21 200:21 delivers 189:4 delivery 58:1 60:11 170:5 175:3 195:9 demand 160:11 demands 124:3 139:8 denial 197:19

**DC** 6:5

II
deoxygenation 209:6
211:6
department 1:1 12:19 73:7,10 82:21 98:21
110:11 114:15 117:11
117:13,18,19,21
125:20 143:13
Department's 122:12
depend 60:22 90:14 172:10 174:18 212:11
dependence 46:10 52:2
dependent 29:3 46:22
145:17
depending 25:6 120:1
<b>depends</b> 51:6 67:18,20
87:3 158:2
depicting 40:12 depleted 100:14,15
101:21
deployed 58:4,5 60:12
depths 203:6
deputy 2:16 6:19,21 9:1
79:4,8
deregulatory 112:11,11 112:21 114:6,11
described 83:6
describing 80:11
description 9:21
Designated 2:13,17
designed 144:2 190:5
200:9 <b>desire</b> 93:20
Desiree 208:22
detail 24:13
detailed 98:2
details 87:7 96:5
110:22
<b>detect</b> 59:10 62:16 213:4
detected 60:19
detection 59:21
detectors 62:8
determine 22:22 50:17
104:9 120:9 162:22 218:15
determined 91:15
develop 10:21 12:10
20:2 90:22 100:8
102:14 146:12
developed 43:6 48:12
149:5 171:20 173:17 191:12 207:13
developing 11:11 13:8
16:4 31:12 66:19
172:3 173:4 207:10
<b>development</b> 2:6 42:11
64:18 80:2 172:1
developments 44:18
II

deviation 126:20
dial 227:19
dialogue 29:13
<b>DICK</b> 1:20
dictating 21:2
difference 23:22 25:12
34:21 56:3 6 72:4
177:7 178:3,12
203:18
differences 22:6 56:7
57:4 102:21
different 19:10 21:10
24:18 32:3,10 35:21
40:13,18 46:9 54:20 54:22 71:13 89:16
54:22 71:13 89:16
91:18,19,19,22 92:4
94:4 108:9,13 114:2
129:18 146:10 178:9
190:9,10,11,12,13,22 194:13 201:16 202:11
203:19 219:4
differently 32:4 66:10
difficult 82:13,18,19
135:7,14 144:10
dimension 42:4,12,14
42:16 43:2 54:12,16
180:2
dimensions 42:1 48:22
55:11,15
direct 70:21 91:1,3 94:7
216:12
direction 57:9 131:3
145:13 148:9 <b>directive</b> 10:2 15:19
16:2,17 29:12
directives 33:2
directly 38:18 110:6
131:7 218:7
director 1:15,16,17,19
1:21 2:2,3,5,9,13,18
2:19 3:15,17,19 9:1
95:4 98:20
directors 4:7 7:4
134:20 137:17
directs 85:21
disagree 50:20
disaster 93:11,13,16,20
103:10 disasters 101:22
discomfitures 226:3
disconnect 143:19
discretionary 149:15
discuss 52:17 166:15
discussed 40:7 109:9
158:6,7,8,9 214:7
discussion 52:21 85:12
88:3 163:20 164:6
202:3 205:20 215:16

discussions 66:5 89:7 152:6 172:16 diseases 206:18 disparity 55:7 displace 44:19 display 30:8 disrupted 210:1,16 disruption 209:21 210:6 211:3 disruptions 73:20 distinguish 63:12 distinguished 39:7 201:15 distribution 175:9 179:7 189:9 224:8,12 distributions 64:15 disturbance 40:9 disturbances 47:15 dive 220:20 divergence 227:3 diversity 45:9,12,22 diving 50:7 division 38:18,19 143:13 divisions 83:17 **DNA** 59:16 63:4 64:9 75:12 **DNA-to-** 59:15 docks 142:18 document 5:12,14 14:5 23:10,17 52:15 53:3 53:10 98:3,11 109:8 166:16 documentation 186:3 documents 166:13 doing 9:5 21:13 22:16 25:22 26:4 31:16 34:13 54:16 63:9 68:16 73:10 81:8 89:16 110:14 112:15 113:2,10 119:11 121:19 122:14 126:22 135:8 141:8 145:3 146:4 152:3 180:20 194:3 dollar 87:21 **dollars** 76:13 89:19 93:1 141:15 157:9 187:22 192:3 domain 30:7 domoic 60:14 72:21 **Donaldson** 2:2 4:9 135:1 156:20 157:9 door 15:6 **Doremus** 2:16 79:6 dots 207:4,16 doubt 183:8 Doug 8:19 39:22 42:3

downstairs 166:20 downward 87:20 dozen 96:18,19,19 **Dr** 6:14 7:10 8:1 15:12 16:11 17:1 20:4 22:12 25:11 27:4,10,15 28:8 29:22 32:12,22 38:12 39:17,19 49:6,15,19 50:20 52:14 55:9 66:13 67:6,17,20 68:15,18 69:21 71:11 71:15,17,22 72:2,18 74:14 77:14,19 78:3,6 199:9 draft 15:18 52:15,16 101:3 164:17,21 165:18 169:7 207:22 208:1 drafted 213:16 drags 131:1 dramatic 187:3 219:19 dramatically 54:20 drawing 178:21 drive 106:15 116:15 190:5.11 driven 50:4 211:3 driver 186:2 drivers 209:4 216:10 drives 37:11 50:12 driving 99:22 176:21,21 drones 62:6 drowned 218:9 dry 195:17 drying 196:17 due 142:4 201:21 **Dungeness** 58:21 73:3 150:20 151:3 **Dunn** 3:5 164:10 dying 134:15 dynamic 188:4 **dynamics** 29:17 177:10 Ε

Earl 98:19
earlier 14:10 51:17
60:16,17 63:8 79:2
83:7 98:22 136:5
140:22 146:15 220:6
early 32:2 58:2 60:14
66:8 106:2 136:8,20
151:17 163:14 166:12
170:20 173:7 180:1
224:22
east 2:9 48:13 138:13
139:16 140:10 141:20
142:13,16 143:3,18
144:13,17 146:6,12
148:5,11 155:8

157:16 160:6 180:22 **efficiently** 57:1 149:8 endpoint 180:12 evaluating 190:15 193:3 212:19 213:10 **effort** 12:12 56:14 70:12 enemies 50:8 event 60:15 187:6,10 217:10 109:4 121:16 146:7 energy 125:20 200:6 events 7:6 47:15 80:11 easy 63:10 140:7 enforcement 24:3 187:19 188:1 161:8 212:10,12,19 eat 38:10 efforts 11:9 14:20 18:12 25:14 212:22 213:9,10 eating 153:10 156:11 28:13 44:19 48:15 engaged 53:17 64:17 eventually 47:19 **EBFM** 9:8 39:20 41:15 53:9 91:20 95:12 65:3 **everybody** 8:3 21:18 42:8 47:4 48:4,12,18 134:5 135:13,17 **engagement** 12:8 47:12 22:7,16 23:12 55:19 78:13 95:7 107:14 54:14,20,22 56:8 195:3 Engineers 155:3 **echelons** 132:20 **EFH** 126:2 **England** 100:19 140:13 155:21 162:12 **EFP** 137:7 echo 140:21 144:20 160:10 everybody's 57:6 **ecological** 40:4 47:3 **EFPs** 137:11 enjoyed 67:2 148:15 138:22 139:2,6,8 **enormous** 131:15 everything's 23:21 egg 216:7 ecologist 176:11 either 40:17 122:20 **ensured** 147:8 **evidence** 189:15 economic 47:11 76:11 126:16 136:10 152:11 **ensuring** 117:13 204:10 155:17 162:5 166:19 77:11 88:16 113:5,9 entanglement 160:18 ex 1:17 2:2,4 113:13 131:14 162:1 184:15 219:18 entanglements 150:22 exact 80:10 193:5 223:18 **EI** 187:9 **Enterprise** 4:2 6:15 **exactly** 30:7 37:2,20 **electronic** 8:17 9:4,20 economically 138:21 7:12 8:10 50:22 **economics** 8:21 42:5 10:1,6,6,9,20 11:9,16 enterprises 83:18 **example** 44:22 45:8 77:1,9,18 15:15 16:4 17:2,21 entire 92:8 163:21 46:4,17,21 47:13 economist 122:22 environment 200:5 50:22 62:13,15 64:1 18:21,21 26:13,14 economists 208:20,21 144:13,19 145:1,7 73:3 107:18 116:17 201.5 environmental 2:3 125:6 139:21 174:15 **ecosystem** 4:17 40:9 electronically 134:7 186:21 188:22 197:10 41:2,7,19 42:6 43:3,4 **element** 44:3 48:21 45:17 57:10,19 64:8,9 48:18 52:18 56:16 **elements** 42:5 84:13 75:11 111:4 120:19 218:5.17 226:18 164:5 173:4,5 175:2 173:13 151:13 185:11 187:3 **examples** 124:8 141:21 eliminate 111:12 188:19 189:4 190:11 environmentally 185:4 173:19 174:4 185:18 190:12 195:8 209:1 128:19 129:3 135:10 **EO** 118:14 133:12,16 187:11 219:16 209:20 223:9 227:8 elimination 86:20 **EOC** 218:5 exceeds 61:6 228:6 **ELIZABETH** 2:5 **EOs** 111:8 118:2 126:20 excellence 88:9.19 excellent 131:6 134:11 ecosystem-based 9:8 **EM** 15:18 21:17 30:2 equitable 32:6 39:21 41:15 48:6 77:8 **EM/ER** 85:19 Erika 1:15 25:21 28:21 Exceptional 157:3 ecosystems 8:20,22 embracing 145:3 error 51:11 **exceptions** 84:8 101:8 40:22 41:22 52:22 emergency 115:9 **ESA** 96:19 exciting 70:16 76:6 206:9,10 209:22 emerging 11:21 **ESA-** 121:7 78:2 108:21 210:10,16,16,20 **ESA-listed** 187:18 emissions 210:9 exclusively 186:1 212:10 214:10 emitting 202:22 especially 73:21 execute 89:21 **ECS** 3:2 **emphasis** 80:19 85:12 **ESPINOZA** 2:3 18:9 **executive** 1:16,17,19 **edited** 30:10 88:12,14 20:5.12 2:2,3,5,9,10 110:3,3,8 emphasizes 51:17 editing 5:18 Essential 126:2 128:17 110:9,10 111:13 **eDNA** 64:9,9 emphasizing 135:18 essentially 144:16 112:5,9,14 113:10 **EEZ** 129:3 **empower** 170:10 170:21 115:3,6 117:10 208:10 establish 114:1 219:13 effect 112:16 130:18 120:15,16 121:1 216:12 Empowered 157:1 established 48:7 123:21 124:4 125:19 127:20 129:10 164:14 effective 89:1,19 125:9 enable 170:10 172:4,6 establishing 100:7 estimate 105:19 153:9 130:4 135:21 137:12 178:6 166:14 184:19 211:8 223:21 enables 180:20 157:19 **exempt** 115:6 enabling 178:22 effectively 125:14 estimated 153:7 exempted 123:4 126:4,15 195:1 enacted 83:17 84:4 **exempting** 100:1 137:8 estimates 87:1 effectiveness 129:7 encompassing 108:5 **estuary** 175:14 exemption 103:8 **effects** 30:10 76:16 encourage 10:8 28:22 et 8:17 12:4,6 43:13 exemptions 102:11 49:4 62:10 64:21 69:1 exist 130:14 77:2,4,10,12 131:14 52:5,11 103:21 192:4 162:11 189:17 200:4 205:8 221:6 75:10 132:14,15 existing 10:10 26:11 encouraged 221:8 216:18 217:7 171:14 27:1,18 28:6 44:19 endangered 96:10 evaluate 51:3 93:20 efficient 63:20 83:10 184:12,14,18,18 89:1,20 108:13 100:12 118:17 120:2 191:6 204:16 184:19 126:12 128:5 152:21 evaluates 199:22 expand 159:4 186:5

financial 3:14 79:5 expanding 31:21 factors 47:2.21 125:22 141:1.10 218:18 **factual** 213:15 115:10 143:14 145:2 148:19 expansion 185:1 **Fahrenheit** 203:9,10 find 46:12 54:17 55:22 157:13 161:4,6 fair 13:22 14:21 32:6 162:22 169:20 170:10 **expect** 80:16 115:9 135:14 146:18 155:17 170:18 173:20 184:6 140:18 156:6 198:11 177:9 179:8,19 197:1 186:15,16 fairly 24:3 36:19 101:5 **finding** 37:22 128:15 205:18,21 208:21 **expected** 57:6 180:4 105:9 119:18 138:8 133:7 149:14 209:2 210:22 211:1,9 190:17 fall 106:4 **findings** 53:11 136:14 214:6 218:21 219:13 Falls 159:17 146:17 200:1 202:14 expensive 155:10 219:20 familiar 14:5 99:17 206:3 209:10 Fisheries' 3:15 92:5 experience 212:16 222:19 157:15 159:8 fine 23:22 35:13 116:12 fisherman 21:15 24:1,8 experiences 39:15 far 9:18 12:12 30:2,7 fine-tuning 99:8 24:9,10 54:18 72:11 73:14 finer 129:4 177:19 fishermen 20:16 34:13 experiencing 183:1 expert 29:19 208:11,17 120:12 146:4 161:18 178:4,5,22 39:12 105:1 116:4 217:11 226:17 **fingers** 191:17 142:20 143:11 145:2 **experts** 108:18 207:19 **FINs** 11:10 145:14 151:7 154:22 farmer 195:17 208:16 **expiring** 81:17 133:21 fascinating 179:21 fired 137:4 182:8 first 13:13 15:22 26:2 **explain** 91:13 fast 62:6,11 fishery 9:8 11:13,13 faster 178:17 180:3 explicit 48:22 31:13 51:14 54:10 25:13 38:21 39:21 explicitly 53:2 196:2 203:20 55:9,10 56:13 60:11 41:15 46:7 47:1 48:6 exploratory 82:4 **fastest** 179:3 68:20 70:2,6 72:20,22 51:6,7,8 52:3,4 58:21 favor 97:20 77:1 99:13 109:13 66:11,12,15 68:7 73:3 **expose** 213:2 **FDA** 73:9,9 134:22 135:3 136:9 73:18,18 76:8 77:8 exposure 46:1 expressed 7:19 **FDDV** 145:17 146:15 169:3,21 101:13 118:9 124:11 173:16 174:2 184:1 127:16 139:12,16 expresses 26:12 features 178:1,7 expressing 27:3 February 82:11 224:4 191:13 200:20 201:3 145:7,9,17 146:12 expressly 115:6 federal 2:13,17 3:2 14:8 205:12 209:20 215:10 150:6,9,20,20 151:11 extended 58:22 104:10 23:10 24:22 32:16 216:6 221:22 223:1 151:12,18 152:12 extensive 73:21 66:11,15,21 71:20 fiscal 29:18 79:16,21 161:5 164:9 170:7 extent 55:7 197:22 73:2,17 81:7 87:10,11 80:2,4 81:13 82:9 171:9 185:8,13 189:4 **extents** 184:13 87:15 90:1 103:13 86:21 87:9 89:13 189:19 190:3,15 external 2:15 11:7 12:7 104:16 120:12 140:17 fish 1:15 2:1,8 12:17 192:6 211:4,11 12:8 45:18 53:6,8 151:11 35:9 62:16,18 63:2 223:12,13 226:3 **extinct** 152:22 feel 164:19 192:18 68:5,9 70:18,20 73:7 227:17 extra 31:3 78:9 202:21 223:6 77:12 85:16 101:15 fishery's 142:5 150:4 feels 161:17 203:3 116:5 124:13,14 fishery-dependent 10:4 fees 35:12,20,21 36:7 extraction 108:13 125:1 126:2 128:17 145:21 fishing 12:9 18:16,19 extraordinarily 155:10 135:11 134:16 138:14 142:22 extreme 38:3 212:9,12 feet 57:14,15 58:8 65:8 143:17 157:18 172:18 19:10,11 37:20 38:6 212:18 213:8,9 Feliciano 214:16 175:9 179:7 181:1,2 39:12 42:19 44:11,19 extremely 70:16 **FELLER** 1:15 26:1 27:7 183:5 188:9 189:11 46:10 47:12 51:22 **exude** 63:3 27:14,16 198:3 218:6 97:16 101:14 102:15 Fisher 2:3 4:11 22:14 eyes 222:1 fiction 61:13,14 103:17 104:3,9,19 33:8 35:22 150:15 105:3 123:5 126:16 fictiony 61:14 field 15:3 54:3 65:3 156:8 162:18 131:15 137:8 146:20 face 141:19 142:13 74:22 175:22 179:8 211:2 **fisheries** 1:4,17,21 2:2 211:14 fields 179:3 201:16 2:4,6,14 3:6,9,20 4:3 fit 18:18 97:9 117:7 facilitate 29:1 4:8 6:17 7:14 23:9 five 9:19 23:4 25:7,12 figure 31:15 66:19 facilitating 103:11 115:2 141:13 151:7 35:6 36:9 39:16 42:21 75:6,7,22 76:6 119:22 151:15 175:13 191:2 58:21 66:22 67:5 71:5 120:3,4 133:21 142:6 facilities 81:17 87:13 194:10,17 73:13 79:5 81:1 83:15 153:8 173:16 191:14 facility 86:1,3,6,11 138:20,21 figured 35:15 157:5 84:7,17 88:2,8,8,14 203:10 226:21 film 154:20 95:5 99:7 100:5 fix 107:4,8,16 144:3 facing 169:22 193:20 **FIN** 144:16 101:16,18 102:3,6,10 fixes 107:18 198:15 final 23:5 97:4 146:9 fact 46:18,19 51:8,11 102:19 103:4,13 fixing 217:22,22

107:20,22 108:1,9,12

109:15,18,22 113:16

114:13 115:4,21

202:20 227:1

84:5 98:17 123:22

132:11 177:13 178:16

201:18

finalized 17:9

**finally** 55:10 123:13

flaq 175:21

**flavor** 187:1

flat 87:11,19 177:17

fleet 33:15 34:1 83:6 former 222:13,14 furthering 89:12 169:6 172:11 173:19 flexibility 102:7,10 forms 110:11 future 52:12 109:5,6 174:4,14 182:14 187:1 199:4 202:4 119:14 149:22 150:12 forum 163:21 141:20 145:21 147:1 147:13 154:15 175:12 flexible 99:9,11 forward 10:12 14:1 28:7 203:17 given 19:9 55:4 79:13 flimsy 50:6 42:10 48:20 53:18 178:10 183:15 189:20 **flipped** 55:18 55:8 64:13 65:4 94:2 193:9 200:7 213:2 79:13 150:12 155:3 flooding 197:9 206:14 94:10 112:18 117:8 218:21 185:14 190:6 Floor 106:4 117:14,16 123:20 **FY** 83:16,19 84:4,21 gives 79:18 149:10 Florida 103:4.9 136:15,20,21 137:19 85:4,10,17 86:8,18 giving 6:15 8:15 133:1 flounder 146:19 148:16 144:12 152:15 198:22 87:6 200.9 flows 190:2 glad 90:4 94:13 138:3 forwarded 101:3 G fluctuating 68:2 fostering 83:10 155:22 found 23:6 151:1 glass 150:17 **Fluid** 177:9 gadget 57:16 59:5 focus 8:12 51:19 103:6 202:16 **Gains** 14:5 gliders 65:10,13 107:21 172:22 187:7 foundation 1:16 75:10 global 176:8 177:9,21 game 194:13 217:20 187:22 202:2 207:21 201:7 199:19 200:7 203:13 **GARFO** 12:20 13:5 210:14 215:19 216:1 four 18:15 22:17 57:14 145:19 216:16 217:21 57:15 65:8 151:2 gathering 76:18 globally 203:8 focused 102:7 103:20 **GDP** 88:13 **GMRI** 208:15 153:21 154:3,11,11 gear 124:16,18 125:15 104:21 105:3,9 129:8 176:6 180:6 199:21 goal 110:18 111:12 185:22 188:2 206:13 200:15,20 208:15 160:18,19,21 116:11 125:16 126:9 210:22 213:18 128:2,4 170:4 172:2 209:19 212:17 gears 199:3 focuses 99:19 104:2 fourth 171:4 187:5 general 73:16 102:9 190:20 191:5 208:2 goals 16:7 88:7 89:22 focusing 88:7 138:2,2 framework 17:10 117:20,21 120:17 108:11 118:2 186:10 208:4 185:15 136:12 150:10 158:15 foggy 106:18 **Francisco** 2:19 4:2 God 202:18 204:3 generally 8:14 17:13 **FOIA** 31:7 38:5 frankly 50:5 31:6 gotten 223:10 224:19 fold 12:22 Freedom 30:6 generated 48:9 202:21 government 36:15 37:9 folks 30:5 55:6 60:21 frequency 57:22 74:10 generating 121:22 81:4,7,9 87:10,14 65:19 78:5 89:11 90:5 frequently 58:17 77:6 103:13 109:22 122:2 95:15 106:3.11 freshwater 77:2,7,10 generation 177:4 **grab** 5:15 166:19 150:16 165:4 188:13 Friday 80:6,6 81:3 generosity 141:10 grant 86:22 91:4,19 197:17 225:14 136:19 generous 141:2 196:13 197:2 follow 20:21 23:11 **FTE** 8:17 9:4 genetic 75:17 grant-making 92:5 24:17 32:7 38:12 fulfill 170:2.6 **genomic** 9:16 62:9 granted 218:4 **genomics** 63:18 64:20 144:20 181:1 225:7 full 73:6 129:21 218:13 grants 11:7 82:6 **follow-on** 162:10 full-year 80:13 81:4 gentleman 219:10,10 graphic 181:4 207:6,9 follows 110:6 221:21 fully 53:17 56:15 gentrification 44:17 211:18 213:7 geographic 114:18 food 73:1,20 158:1 **function** 210:17 graphics 178:4 210:12 183:13 210:5 225:17 functional 83:3 149:1 183:18 210:13,15,15 211:17 **Foods** 1:19 functioning 149:7 **George** 10:16 211:17 **functions** 175:17 for-hire 144:19 grappling 66:22 Georgia 1:11 fora 207:18 fund 86:18 90:18,21,22 getting 15:3 18:20 grateful 198:17 **Graves** 102:4 **Forage** 138:13 91:2 93:14.16 63:18,19 68:22 70:8 force 44:16 110:11,22 funded 86:14 135:5 133:7 135:7 140:2 greater 103:12 117:11,12,22 122:13 136:7 140:22 196:14 159:16 164:2 174:19 green 207:4 199:13 funding 10:13,22 81:1,2 192:7 195:22 196:1 **Greg** 10:15 forecast 188:9 195:14 81:7 84:20 85:3 86:4 197:15 214:21 222:6 grey 162:3 196:1 86:20 135:14.20 **Griffis** 3:7 4:14 168:13 222.7 140:21 141:2 173:21 168:16 179:14 180:9 forecasts 173:9 213:5 **Gill** 2:4 54:9,10 92:12 foreign 115:8 180:15,17 183:7 174:20 184:14,22 92:16 93:4,9 105:15 forever 25:7,9 196:15,20,22 197:6 217:17 226:1 192:15 194:16,19 forgot 192:17 202:7 197:11 Gill's 223:4 196:19 198:16,20 form 97:4 99:14 125:5 **funds** 86:8,10 87:1,3,5 199:2 207:2,5,8 216:5 give 7:1,11 8:9 24:2 128:15,16 136:14 93:11,17 94:7 216:8 218:12 220:21 33:18 73:15 83:12 formal 32:15 207:17 funny 34:2 84:9 104:22 109:3 221:2 224:1 227:4 further 62:22 226:16,21 110:21 158:11 163:14 ground 15:2 71:20 228:5 format 19:22 227:1 164:10 168:14,22 groundfish 1:20 46:8

Hampshire 142:2 hear 7:3 78:13 125:10 51:7 224:16 group 2:7 11:17 15:20 hand 5:21 144:9 190:21 147:18 148:3 168:17 hit 15:1 134:9 138:7 42:15 66:3 80:8 **handle** 154:7 197:19 215:1 180:10 194:19 121:14 164:6,12 handled 32:4 147:15 heard 30:12,13 85:11 **HMS** 38:13 194:9 195:5 217:3 150:9 119:14 148:14 156:3 hockey 222:6 hands 160:1 161:18 hold 93:17 126:19 224:20 227:20 172:15 199:16 214:15 groups 4:16 11:22 hang 50:15 197:16 hearing 26:8 98:17,22 **HOLMES** 3:8 12:10 31:1 32:17 **hang-up** 71:9 130:13 honest 157:21 48:17 151:13 163:20 hanging 78:8 134:13 hearings 21:2 98:15,16 hooks 114:3.3 164:1 happen 16:14 34:11 106:8 119:7,9 hope 9:22 22:4 52:11 Growers 2:9 36:18 51:2 52:4 55:6 heart 74:1,4 54:4 67:10 76:1 growing 143:9 174:11 55:19 61:20 71:2 heartburn 39:2,11 139:10 191:15 196:13 179:3 204:9 76:19 106:12 175:13 heat 202:19,19,21 hopeful 137:18 grows 170:14 178:12,17 179:6 203:3,5,12,13 hopefully 7:4 18:5 198:8 200:15 217:22 47:19 57:1 64:6 90:3 grumbling 197:20 **Heather** 121:15 218:1 220:11 **Guard** 124:15 125:4 **heating** 204:11 117:8 133:1 136:19 guess 8:12 28:8 30:3 happened 14:17 39:16 heavy 66:17 154:19 166:17 169:13 34:14 46:1 61:8 72:19 heck 194:4 43:15 48:4 55:13,13 hoping 113:9 136:8 80:17 93:4 103:20 55:13 106:5 137:14 Heidi 2:17 8:2 108:16 183:22 194:16 201:22 147:11 161:9 200:16 204:20 205:5 224:5 131:11 132:20 143:9 108:22 166:22 162:16 163:8,9 happening 28:15 51:20 **Heidi's** 5:16 16:22 horseshoe 141:4 165:14 166:3 196:9 51:22 52:8,10 151:16 **held** 106:7 207:18 host 15:2 204:3 215:6 221:21 180:3 184:17 187:2 help 5:17 8:16 74:20 **hosts** 28:12 223:19 226:9 198:9 222:11,16,18 94:13 95:16 105:1 **hot** 181:19 187:6,6 **guidance** 10:3 81:13 happens 12:2 34:2,2 203:22 212:18 223:11 128:4 134:14 135:10 82:5 84:10.13 114:22 92:18 149:8 153:21 172:20 173:10 191:6 Hotel 1:11 115:21 163:12 168:10 178:5 194:17 198:11 208:10 hotels 193:11 211:12 221:11,16,17 quide 84:15 happy 7:10 138:8 hour 92:8 124:2 guiding 83:9 148:11 169:14 179:20 **helped** 9:3 39:22 house 83:22 84:3,21 qulf 2:2 62:13 85:16 211:22 **helpful** 49:17 85:6,20 86:9,13 102:4 99:21 100:18 101:18 harassment 126:8 helping 90:3 145:14 102:22 106:3 158:20 102:19 103:15 104:4 hard 37:10 89:21 144:3 222:15 163:9 107:12,15 135:2 205:7 209:13 221:17 **helps** 84:15 **housing** 43:13 136:21 137:1 142:1,5 **HSUS** 158:13 hardware 14:13 herring 71:8 138:16,17 157:15 178:11.21 **Hare** 71:6 hesitated 50:21 **Hubbs** 2:10 182:9 193:9 199:9 Harlon 2:8 65:17 **Hey** 221:20 **Huffman** 101:2 203:19 222:20 226:18 193:21 Hi 214:19 227:11 huge 37:19 52:1 77:17 high 43:22 45:22 46:13 Harlon's 2:8 131:13 187:18 227:3 Н harmful 9:12 58:2,16,19 57:22 180:5 211:2 human 21:17 42:1,4,11 H.R 99:15 59:10 65:6 115:13 212:13 42:14,16 43:2 48:21 habitat 123:7 125:11 harvest 60:22 61:7 higher 117:1,15 176:19 54:11,15 55:11,14 116:5 142:3 153:12 206:17 126:3 128:17 181:20 highlight 11:19 97:11 181:20,22,22 182:11 153:13,19 138:7 174:6 175:10 humans 21:19 179:2 189:18 202:5 humpback 151:2 182:11 192:21,21 harvesting 138:20 197:16 hat 50:15 highlighted 103:1 hundred 24:1,7 Hunt 3:9 95:19 habitats 210:4,19 hatchery 152:14 206:5,6 haddock 183:3 **hate** 192:18 highlighting 206:3 hurricanes 94:3 half 21:5 37:1 96:19 Haynie 208:19 213:8 highlights 92:20 148:10 head 16:22 165:20 128:19 129:3 156:11 194:20 208:7 **ICCAT** 38:14,15 half-hour 78:9 174:6,8 204:8,17 **HALL 2:1** heading 145:13 148:8 210:18 ice 210:20 halls 197:16,20 headquarters 22:3 highly 37:7 38:18,20 **Iceland** 183:1 121:14 123:2 127:3 124:10 210:4 **halted** 141:18 iconic 210:3 **HAMILTON** 2:5 76:5 132:6 184:16 highway 78:21 **Idaho** 77:13 heads 5:20 hint 203:17 77:15,22 106:20,21 idea 14:19 41:12 47:6 hire 34:11 108:3 158:11 179:12 health 42:20 43:4 63:17 69:12,14 70:5 115:10 158:17 206:17 hired 12:14 70:10 129:16 146:4 197:13 198:17 **hampers** 158:20 214:9 historical 79:19 85:8 157:17

П			
ideally 70:4	include 52:12 56:16	116:19 129:14 133:9	177:6 180:18,20
identification 74:16	205:20	133:18 134:1 157:8	181:5,11 182:13
identify 111:16 127:10	included 96:11 98:10	169:7 170:1,6,16	183:8 188:3 193:12
171:18 188:22	includes 10:5 48:21	171:9,10 174:12	218:20 221:14
identifying 59:15 64:14	53:22 100:1,17	179:5 185:10,19	interface 122:11
illustrated 198:4	101:12,20 102:13	194:2,21 195:12	interfering 156:5
images 58:13	104:5 120:8	196:1 207:15 223:3	internal 10:22 51:18
imagine 104:2 121:6	including 14:7,18 41:3	informational 199:5	89:17
174:2 189:3 212:15	68:22 156:14 211:18	infrastructure 111:5	internally 75:9 112:15
Immediate 105:1	213:4	120:18 126:17 170:15	131:22
impact 19:9 88:17	inclusion 53:1 185:4	initial 47:9 86:4 127:4	International 123:8
113:4 131:14 188:16	incorporate 48:17	Initially 115:22	interplay 148:20
189:13 208:3 217:2,4	incorporates 211:12	initiative 132:4	interpretation 128:18
impacted 147:3 161:8	incorporation 54:11	inland 43:18	interprets 200:1
impactful 222:15	103:12	innovation 116:15	intersecting 79:15
impacts 77:7,18,21	increase 74:10 116:16	innovations 213:4	interstate 141:3
82:19 94:5 179:4	154:14 170:5 172:5	innovative 39:1,10	interval 200:21
187:9 200:11,12	211:7,13	input 32:11 166:13	interviews 142:18
201:8 204:22 208:3	increased 116:3 203:6	199:6 207:10,14,18	introduce 6:13 168:13
209:17 210:9 211:13	increases 175:16	213:15,19	introduced 99:16 101:3
212:12	178:12 212:3 218:6	insert 166:15	101:3,4 102:1,5 103:5
impede 185:1	increasing 87:12,20	inspections 73:10	105:2 106:5
implement 27:2 48:12	176:15 186:15 204:2	instance 77:6 162:12	invest 220:11
115:2 146:14 184:10	210:2 215:18 226:4	220:14 222:2	investigator 177:8
194:5 195:6	increasingly 175:5	Institute 2:11 199:10	investment 85:8 88:13
implementation 11:11	incremental 93:2	instrument 61:17 65:6	197:7
14:16 18:1 28:1	independence 125:20	65:8	invite 124:2
131:11 150:14 169:2	independent 11:13	instrumentation 58:15	involve 9:16
169:17 172:4	90:1	instruments 62:4,10	involved 10:19 33:8
implemented 121:20	indicated 219:10	integrate 52:6 69:17	73:2,14 91:14 130:16
149:21 173:15 174:11	indicator 44:2	integrated 42:6	153:17 154:10 194:9
184:1 191:13	indicators 42:12 43:5,9 189:2	integrates 199:22	involves 11:16 12:9
implementing 26:13 implication 203:4	indices 189:1	integration 11:12 14:9 14:20 18:12 29:2 42:4	IPCC 200:12 ironed 166:8
implications 179:7,22	indirectly 74:20	42:11	Islands 152:2 205:19
209:4 219:8	individual 19:15 130:7	intended 51:1,1 204:15	issuance 126:6
import 87:4 90:10	175:18	intensify 210:6	issue 13:12,13 21:12
importance 58:14	individually 93:20	intensity 56:4	23:7,12 24:16 33:10
135:19	industrialized 19:11	intensive 54:15	33:22 73:5 79:2 98:9
important 28:7 60:20	industries 209:18	intent 127:20 130:9	98:9,9 112:2 115:18
134:4 138:14,21	industry 2:5 10:14	150:14	116:13 118:7,9,19
185:6 203:15 206:9	13:10 19:20 20:11	intention 33:6	129:20 130:6,14
214:1 218:13 220:9	25:2,4 34:7 42:20	interact 69:7	136:1 137:19 138:15
223:3	68:17,18 73:7 77:3	interaction 22:5	146:20 151:8,22
importantly 173:10	111:5 113:17 116:16	interactions 186:6	152:1,7,16,19 153:2
178:1	118:11 119:3 126:11	interacts 100:10	153:16,18 154:6
<b>improve</b> 121:10 126:5	126:15,16 128:4	intercept 142:17	155:2 161:15 162:14
128:7 129:6 173:6	132:12 133:10 134:3	interest 5:11,13 7:19	163:11 165:22
188:22 214:4 221:17	139:2	22:2 75:11 137:15	issued 29:5 114:22
Improvement 103:4	ineffective 109:17	202:1 206:7 214:13	120:7
improvements 132:8	111:16 123:12 125:8	interested 66:2,4 93:7	issues 12:22 15:17
176:7	129:11	108:14 137:7 158:4	25:16 31:22 56:5
improving 88:18 111:3	inefficient 118:5 129:11	165:5 166:13 178:2	79:15 80:22 83:11
173:1,9 175:2 188:5	inform 12:3	213:20 225:14	86:1,13 88:4 98:4
inaction 162:15	information 11:14	interesting 68:19	99:20 106:16 131:18
inadequate 159:10 inch 87:16	13:18 30:6 49:9 69:17 70:8 73:15 79:11	139:20 150:5,7,18	135:2,12 150:19
inch 87:16 incidental 126:7	101:19 102:17 103:12	152:2,15 153:6 155:2 155:19 175:11,12	154:20 155:20 157:13 162:20 164:11 169:22
moracital 120.7	101.10 102.17 103.12	100.10 170.11,12	102.20 107.11 103.22
	•	•	•

launch 65:12 171:19 196:18 206:4 141:13 142:9 206:14 217:9 206:14,18 208:5 **keeping** 141:9 **LAURA** 3:10 **Libby** 208:6,7 214:3,6,9 kept 222:6,7 **LAUREL** 2:15 life 174:5 225:4 **issuing** 115:16 **kettle** 203:2,12 223:10 lawn 71:1 lift 66:17 119:2 it'll 49:20 52:16 72:9 key 174:22 175:4 layers 128:2,3 lighter 181:21 212:2 152:14 179:4 191:19,22 195:7 lead 199:10 208:9 lights 59:19 item 132:2 138:19 202:14 206:3,16 lead-up 139:13 likelihood 55:4 92:19 items 123:21 125:22 207:15 208:4 209:10 leadership 12:3 147:6 limitations 184:7,22 leading 42:10 121:16 limited 141:14 187:22 130:21 142:15 209:19,19 210:20,21 iteration 165:7 224:21 lean 127:22 221:5 221:9 kidding 72:17 limits 104:6,15 learn 184:4 killed 160:18,20,21 **leave** 95:14 107:5 line 227:14 killer 153:7 lines 119:1 161:4 **J** 114:3 114:10 janitorial 81:16,19 **kilometers** 177:14,15 led 121:14 147:12 188:7 link 8:21 39:22 43:6 **January** 169:10 201:22 177:18 208:14 111:9 179:20 kinds 172:19 175:18 214:12 224:4 ledger 112:16 114:16 linking 45:8 **Japan** 75:15 180:22 **left** 182:11 links 96:13 133:2 Klamath 153:18,21 **Japanese** 75:14,18 left-hand 83:16 201:19 Jason 8:21 39:22 42:3 Kleisner 181:5 legal 1:18 23:17 83:8 lions 152:18 153:4,16 knew 204:16 **Jen** 27:19 legal-driven 32:19 156:5,13 162:3 163:5 **JENNIE** 3:13 knock-on 162:11 **Legion** 78:22 **Lipton** 8:19 39:22 42:3 Jennifer 2:13 5:4,22 6:1 knocking 15:6 legislation 95:9 97:3 Liquid 71:12 72:5 8:5 16:13 28:18 31:10 knowledge 209:16 98:15 99:4,15 100:21 list 152:20 211:12 221:12 156:16 163:9 listed 120:2 122:9 98:5 163:14 **knows** 97:6 141:7 little 6:3,9 9:3,7,13 **Jennifer's** 121:15 **legislative** 3:8,11 4:6 143:15 162:17 226:22 95:2.20 96:8 149:11 10:17 12:14 24:21 **Jersey** 146:20 147:11 148:14,16 149:3,16 **Kodiak** 106:9,9 legislatively 107:18 27:2 35:16 37:6 39:2 Jewett 208:6,7 **legislature** 148:17,22 40:4,11 41:6,9 50:14 149:7 150:5 56:21 59:8 61:1 62:22 **job** 12:3 26:4 66:5 168:22 170:11 194:4 **LA** 2:8 legislatures 149:2 65:5,21,21 69:10 208:10 224:3 lab 64:6 177:10 Lei 1:12.14 72:12 79:10,22 83:13 John 57:16 58:13 71:6 label 218:16 lens 219:21 84:9 85:9 90:3 95:11 208:16 **labor** 43:13 44:16 87:15 lesser 73:9 74:8 96:4 98:12 99:20 **ioined** 15:1 87:15 lessons 173:22 174:16 108:13 111:7 120:11 **joining** 228:2 laboratory 74:20 183:21 184:5 191:19 134:13.21 145:4 joint 48:15 199:8 186:12 **let's** 99:12 108:20 162:3 154:9 169:6 184:14 Jon 71:6 laboratory-based 191:2 199:3 225:21 190:1 197:3 213:6 letter 164:17 165:5,16 **JOSHUA** 3:2 175:15 214:15 225:19 Juan 152:2 lack 51:19 209:13 living 176:5 judgment 94:6 lacking 56:12 216:4 **letters** 126:7 **Liz** 2:5 106:20 156:14 Judith 46:7,14,22 land 58:11 60:4 **level** 16:1,20 18:4,5 179:11 19:8 21:20 22:1 31:7 Lizama 3:11 95:20 **Julie** 1:19 5:21 133:3 landed 222:22 163:17,18 164:18 landing 2:4 35:12 36:7 41:19 44:9,13,22 45:3 LLC 1:19 2:8 3:2 186:18 196:8 lands 206:8,8 47:12 84:22 85:1,4,9 lobster 52:3 103:9 July 12:15 105:6 127:9 85:11 86:15,18 148:21 149:5 161:4 language 20:22 21:7 220:15 223:12 127:11 102:13 111:18 107:12 114:15,17 jump 7:9 192:16 Lapointe 10:15 117:12,19 119:10 local 143:16 jumped 197:14 127:2,6 135:5 140:17 location 146:1 lapse 119:20 jurisdiction 107:13 large 39:4 75:12 114:5 140:17,22 158:7,8 locations 159:10 125:5 138:20 152:8 160:3 171:2,4 176:20 **LOCKE** 3:12 jurisdictions 103:18 **JUSTIN** 3:12 177:2 178:5 180:19 **Locks** 159:7 223:10 Largely 184:8 184:15,16 185:2 logbook 23:18,20 30:22 Κ 195:8 196:16 209:7 logbooks 18:21 **larvae** 50:9 222:2 223:17 **Kate** 3:17 78:14 lastly 171:8 **logo** 143:14 levels 17:3,11 31:1 **KATHERINE** 2:15 late 21:11 22:7 long 19:21 21:2 22:22 **KEELING** 3:10 latest 14:14 189:1 43:16 45:5 84:21 85:3 33:20 54:12 65:15 132:1 175:8 180:11 76:19 103:22 112:5 keep 5:19 14:14 22:22 **Latin** 19:6

**Laughter** 194:18

180:13 196:21 204:10

96:6 133:7 138:6

131:12 154:17 155:12

209:13 226:6 37:18 48:4 50:7.8 119:4,8,21 126:1 mandatory 145:1 long-lived 67:22 58:20 62:12 68:22 Magnuson- 101:9 Manderson 71:6 long-term 29:2 70:18 71:19 72:16 Magnuson-Steven manifestations 131:6 map 41:16 45:1 longer 68:8 84:11 89:8 96:5 104:14 longest 188:18 100:20 108:8 118:14 **Magnuson-Stevens maps** 193:5 Longline 21:5 119:11 121:4 129:9 March 7:15 8:8 136:12 96:8 Longliners 18:15 130:1,1,21 139:13 main 138:1 178:3 144:20 look 9:15 16:18,21 149:9 155:9 156:14 197:17 margins 78:8 Maine 1:17 51:21 52:9 marine 1:4,15 23:9 35:5 22:18 24:13 32:17 156:15 157:18 160:12 40:21 42:13 43:1 44:1 163:22 175:14 178:21 142:2,3,5 178:11 36:9 49:20 68:8 96:10 182:10 185:17 189:12 44:5,6,14,15 45:7,7 182:9 193:10 199:10 96:20 102:17 118:17 45:11,13,21 46:4,9 193:3 197:10,19 203:19 222:20 126:6 128:6 143:14 198:14 202:19 203:12 maintain 82:8 87:13 153:3 156:17 157:14 47:6,8,14,16,20 51:20 51:21 59:9,12,17 62:2 203:13 204:4 212:16 maintaining 41:22 158:17 162:21 169:20 216:19 218:14 223:15 172:19 176:11 187:15 64:8 77:10,16,20 major 6:4,5 83:18 87:14 79:19 96:13 98:7 99:8 lots 98:18 183:10 179:22 186:2 187:7 199:11 205:10,13 99:12 102:9 109:16 love 67:3 183:15 193:9 187:15 195:2 209:16 208:1 211:1 212:10 110:12 111:10 112:19 193:9 213:20 213:8 217:4,14 213:22 214:6,9 **LOVETT** 2:17 227:9,11 113:14 114:4 118:2 majority 19:11 mark 8:22 57:10 84:2,3 119:13,16 120:10 227:15,22 228:2,7 **makers** 223:4 85:1 134:10 209:11 121:2,16 123:10 low 43:22 45:4,11,21 making 26:20 28:2 marked 83:22 127:8 130:16 131:5 46:12 176:14,19 35:16 61:15 82:13 marketing 73:22 131:10 146:2 153:19 212:13 222:5 93:3 94:6 125:7 136:6 marks 84:6,12 85:17 157:21 159:1 165:5 176:1 196:17 213:13 160:21 Luckily 79:1 **MALE** 39:18 **Maryland** 1:11 78:21 177:6 178:3 180:10 lucrative 150:19 181:16 182:14 193:7 **LUKENS** 2:13 5:22 6:2 **mammal** 96:10.20 Massachusetts 46:6 196:21 197:8 205:5 16:16 17:15 28:20 118:18 126:6 128:6 142:2 massive 13:17 14:11 220:6 221:7,7 224:17 31:19 92:2,7 163:18 153:3 156:17 157:14 looked 39:3 42:15 44:3 165:3,19 166:6 207:1 207:14 158:3,17 49:12 115:22 119:21 207:3,6 mammals 68:8 172:19 match 59:19 120:16,17 132:3 lunch 5:7,15,16,20 7:7 manage 89:14 108:8,12 material 27:18 103:2 materials 96:12 209:3 157:6 164:12,13 121:12 125:14 140:11 looking 10:11 14:13,15 166:5,12,19 141:5 151:11 164:21 matter 84:5,5 92:18 15:17 16:11,17 29:8 lunchtime 5:17 167:1 187:16 94:20 167:5 228:10 29:16 36:17 40:20 **LYONS** 3:13 managed 38:17,18 **matters** 10:20 41:5,7 42:16 43:3,21 73:18 138:18 148:17 maximum 211:20 212:3 M 44:8,12,22 45:19 150:4 mean 20:5,9 27:16 40:3 53:13 59:18 62:4 63:2 M 1:20 management 3:3,16 9:9 68:4 70:19 91:12 65:2 67:21 70:16 75:5 ma'am 207:2 12:22 27:8 28:12 39:1 106:1,22 107:7 116:2 75:22 77:8 82:14 94:5 mackerel 71:8 75:19 39:21 41:16 48:6 76:8 130:18,20 138:17 101:13 102:20 122:11 138:17 77:9 85:2 100:4 141:2 147:1 148:20 151:20 157:17 162:20 122:15 123:1,5,9,16 macro 82:12 101:13,17 102:6 124:9 136:14,20,21 Madam 18:9 54:9 92:12 103:14 115:4 117:6 163:8 176:4 186:17 193:6 194:6,20 145:20 177:11,16 105:16 135:1 138:6 124:11 125:12 127:16 204:20 130:6 132:18 134:5 203:16 156:2,20 217:17 looks 9:10 47:16 58:1 135:21 138:14 139:17 meaning 107:4 226:1 140:17 143:17 144:7 61:13 77:6 83:14 **MAFAC** 1:15 7:15,18 means 57:1 103:22 92:17 103:7 107:4 146:13 150:9 158:1 158:4 98:6 109:8,10,20 measure 68:1 142:22 118:9 110:7 111:18 158:7 171:13 172:6 173:12 185:5,13 188:4 189:5 **looming** 217:8 165:9 228:5 measurement 222:13 loop 192:5 189:19 190:3,4,16 measures 100:4 101:13 magnitude 13:14 Lord 226:22 216:10 192:6 193:19 198:11 104:15 lose 186:20 measuring 77:11 **Magnolia** 1:11 4:17 211:9,11 219:12 losers 140:6 219:5 managers 143:21,22 mechanical 92:22 Magnuson 21:1 30:20 170:22 171:9 173:11 loss 210:3 mechanism 175:4 95:10,17 96:18 97:3,9 lost 159:8 218:2 98:13 99:2,17 105:8 185:8,8 190:21 mechanisms 91:18,19 lot 8:16 14:17 22:2,4 mandate 18:3 20:18 171:3 173:6,9 174:21 105:10,20 107:2,9 24:22 26:8,16 28:12 108:4,18 115:20 mandates 83:9 170:7 186:14,22

meet 8:4 15:4 22:21 51:14 99:9 124:3,21 125:2 127:20 128:2,3 139:14 165:7 meeting 1:6 4:7 7:16 52:16 117:16 136:13 137:16 151:6 164:13 225:15 228:5 meetings 12:5,11 67:8 147:5,7 197:18,19 member 3:3 15:10,14 18:9 20:5,12,21 22:14 30:1 31:8 32:7 33:8 35:19,22 36:10,20 49:3,10,16,22 50:2 51:13 54:9 66:1,14 67:13,19 68:11,13,16 69:15 71:4,12,16,18 72:1,14,19 76:5 77:15 77:22 78:15 90:7 91:5 91:10 92:12,16 93:4,9 93:10,13 101:1 105:15 106:20,21 108:3 127:2 128:13 130:5,15 132:10 135:1 138:5 149:2 150:15 156:2.8.20 157:9,12 158:11 159:20 161:21 162:18 179:12 180:5,14,16 182:20 193:22 196:6 197:13 198:17 215:9 216:6 217:17 220:5 221:20 226:1 member's 158:21 members 1:13 7:18 84:11 94:16 166:1,4 168:5 **memories** 108:19 menhaden 71:8 138:17 138:18 139:1,7,9 150:4 mention 125:18 137:4 160:16 mentioned 38:13 72:7 97:7 111:1 117:12 129:4 136:2,16 152:17 215:10 218:5 226:8 merit 147:16 Merrill 3:14 4:4 6:22 78:11,12,18 90:12 91:8,17 92:6,15,21 93:8,12,15 94:13 mesh 114:2 meshing 122:4 message 148:2 209:20

211:15 212:9 messages 205:3 209:19 met 1:10 7:13 22:15 33:9 118:13 metabolomics 64:21 **meters** 58:7 methodology 91:14 methods 56:19 Mexico 85:16 99:21 100:18 101:18 102:19 103:16 137:1 mic 7:21 17:16 168:8,17 MICHAEL 2:18 Michigan 12:16,16,18 microphone 199:1 220:3 228:3 Microphone's 138:5 mid 100:19 222:21 mid- 144:19 Mid-Atlantic 140:12 144:18 mid-December 137:16 mid-resolution 177:1 mid-shelf 71:7 middle 28:2 182:18 midst 81:2 midway 182:16 migrating 183:5 migration 183:17 **Migratory** 37:7 38:19 38:21 124:10 Mike 2:7 25:21 29:22 36:1 72:13 91:17 136:2 mile 104:5 miles 104:11,12 military 115:7 million 11:2,5 76:18 85:1,10,21 86:10,18 87:2 90:15,17,18 91:1 92:17 93:6,10 116:6 122:8,14 150:21 153:8,9,14,15 155:3 156:11 157:17 222:22 223:11,12 mine 143:22 miniaturize 65:9 minority 101:1 minus 226:9 minute 80:1,10 112:8 202:7 minutes 5:9 76:3 95:13 miracle 94:11 163:13 **missed** 179:13 missing 52:8 214:4 mission 87:11,19 88:5

170:2

mitigate 213:5 mitigation 93:11,16 mixed 102:19 MMPA-specific 121:8 model 24:4 69:2,2 176:8 177:4,14 188:20 models 176:8.12.14.22 177:21 178:6,8 180:6 182:3 190:13 198:1 moderates 85:6 modernization 14:3 modernize 14:6 Modernizing 102:5 modifications 101:14 102:12 modify 140:4,5 modifying 100:5 103:7 molecular 9:17 moment 5:4 80:15 171:22 184:7 186:9 217:15 225:9 **monetize** 114:9,10 money 35:9 36:2,8,11 136:8 141:11 184:20 217:13 money's 37:3 monitoring 9:5,11 10:5 10:6 13:9 15:15 16:4 17:2 18:21 20:7 37:8 month 99:1 149:15 151:13 monthly 12:5 months 151:1 **MOORE** 2:6 68:13,16 69:15 71:4,12,16,18 72:1 157:12 161:21 221:20 moored 65:12 mooring 58:9 moratorium 149:20 morning 6:2,6,11 7:6 7:11 8:1,3 76:5 78:13 79:2,3 92:11 150:15 157:6 mortality 161:9,12 motivate 198:10 213:3 mouth 151:2 move 13:22 15:9 40:16 53:18 70:14 94:10 96:2 117:8 137:19 150:14 152:15 186:17 194:10 223:16 moved 10:16 227:18 movement 198:7 moving 41:12,19 64:13

117:14 121:11 139:5

mistake 97:22

144:12,22 151:14,17 155:12 198:3 mowing 71:1 MRIP 3:2 102:16 104:20 142:17 143:20 MSA 100:10 Mukilteo 86:3 multi-year 77:4 multiple 186:6 215:17 mussels 155:6,9,10,17 155:19

### Ν

name 99:17 217:19 **nation** 88:16 200:10 206:15 208:3 nation's 209:22 211:1 **national** 1:3,15 16:20 17:3,10,18 18:4 19:8 21:9,20 23:9 35:5 36:8 56:8 75:10 100:6 100:22 115:8 120:22 123:4 127:6 151:4 162:6,21 169:7,20 199:5,18 200:5 205:11,14 206:2 209:8 213:12 national-level 25:19 nationally 162:20 native 210:15 natural 12:19 52:21 54:1 55:12 143:13 159:11 **nature** 83:7 92:22 NAUGHTEN 3:17 **nautical** 104:4,12 navigation 83:11 Navy 69:1 **NEAMAP** 141:4 near 74:4 141:3,20 near- 58:10 near-real 58:1 61:4 nearly 203:10 necessarily 59:2 necessary 41:1 118:4 123:11 129:2,2 131:20 134:2 need 5:9 17:13 19:18 20:17 21:9 29:20 31:4 39:8 53:21 56:13 63:22 66:14 67:4 68:1 69:20 70:22 82:4 84:16 89:1,2 97:8,14 99:8 108:8 111:20,21 115:18 118:16,22 119:7 120:10 121:17 123:10,17 125:13 127:5,10,22 132:2,5

209:21 210:10,20,21

135:20 153:15 156:21 141:10 170:6 196:22 observing 83:5 older 119:17 164:18,21 165:6,12 208:18 **obvious** 152:10,11 Oliver 2:14 98:22 **NOAA's** 83:11 117:21 obviously 87:10 106:4 167:3 181:18 186:5 **OMB** 113:6 114:22 186:11 191:19 192:2 109:19 138:10 148:3 nodding 16:22 119:6 122:3,17 192:5 194:12 195:16 **non-** 103:12 147:13 156:10 157:19 182:7 omics 64:19,19,21 65:3 197:7 214:7 217:6 non-compliance 206:12 74:18 75:12,17 220:1,11 226:16 occur 97:5 onboard 99:1 154:19 149:14 **needed** 197:8 non-defense 82:16 occurred 60:18 61:22 once 30:9 32:8 59:2 non-scientist 183:4 needing 82:15 occurrence 61:5 one's 158:16 normal 6:19 124:4 needs 10:13 53:13 occurrences 61:22 **one-by-one** 147:15 85:16 87:19 91:2 133:18 occurring 58:17 one-off 147:4 north 15:17 143:12 129:6 133:10 137:12 occurs 18:19 19:10,12 one-page 179:17 141:15 164:15 165:9 183:15 188:12 ocean 46:18 120:22 one-stop 146:6 ones 45:4 112:2,3 170:1 171:19 174:11 northeast 45:2,10 71:5 176:3,21 178:10,18 191:11 192:9 218:15 145:19 174:6,7,9,12 186:8 188:2,4,5,15 116:10 118:3,4 174:17 175:1 176:9,9 negative 122:21 212:1 123:10 160:20 181:21 189:13,20 190:10 218:10 176:16 178:10 179:19 201:11 202:13,13 206:5,6 223:21 182:22 185:20 186:13 neglected 197:12 203:13,20,21 204:2 ongoing 29:13 109:4 negotiation 152:6 206:8,12,17,18,18,19 203:19 127:18 NEPA 96:21 98:17 northern 141:22 193:2 206:20 208:7,17,18 open 8:13 28:18 30:7 100:11 101:11 northward 224:19 208:21 209:5,17 30:15 31:6 49:1,21 **Net** 14:5 Northwest 2:5 9:1,11 210:9 212:7,9,17 116:17 117:3 169:9 **Network** 11:13,14 60:7 64:2 73:13 76:16 213:8,9 214:9 215:18 215:7 222:1 **neutral** 221:13 Norwegian 75:13 216:2,21 220:14 open-28:2 49:20 never 37:16 132:14 **note** 209:12 224:14 open-source 26:10,12 new 8:4 9:4.15 31:12.16 notes 96:5 109:1 Ocean-wise 187:4 26:16 27:6,19,21 29:1 33:1,2 40:2 46:5,13 110:17 OCEANIC 1:3 opening 66:9 56:19 74:7,12,18 **notice** 97:13 120:13 oceans 77:12 83:10 opens 25:15 71:18 81:10 82:3 88:11,20 **noticed** 15:22 49:4 170:2,11 199:11 operate 81:12 87:14 95:12 100:19 109:19 **Noting** 157:2 201:6 202:10,16,18 119:5 111:14 112:2,10 **NOVEMBER** 1:8 202:18,18 203:2,16 operational 87:21 88:9 operationally 74:15 113:12 116:17 118:9 **NS1** 195:6 204:3 205:9,13,18,21 128:19 129:3,19,20 nuances 92:9 206:11 208:1,3 **operations** 2:17 79:9 135:4 140:13 142:2 number 18:6 43:8 81:8 213:22 82:8 90:19 144:20 146:20 147:11 89:16 90:8 96:9 98:3 **ODA** 73:10 opinion 54:13 148:15 148:14,16 149:3,16 98:4 101:6 110:3 offer 29:2 97:18 166:4 160:10 184:14 189:2 111:1 119:9 125:5,22 offering 165:11 **opinions** 126:14 opportunities 78:22 204:9 206:3 219:13 135:6 139:16 140:11 office 2:13,18,18 3:1,3 224:4 141:3,5 144:12 3:8,9,11,13,15,17,19 94:4 107:11 174:1 news 143:9 151:20,21 145:13 147:5 150:10 8:18 13:4 28:11 48:16 196:13 218:22 219:9 169:15 173:15 185:7 151:6,13 154:14 95:4,20,21 117:5 opportunity 93:17 192:17,19 193:16 161:5 162:4 170:15 121:15 123:9 127:3 107:8 111:15 168:20 **NFWF** 11:3,6 170:19 173:22 174:22 132:6 147:7 154:12 199:6 213:19 220:2 182:12 191:19 195:3 officer 3:14 79:5 **NGOs** 12:9 223:18 nice 13:5 51:14 179:17 210:12 226:8 227:19 offices 191:21 opposed 215:12 216:2 numbers 91:8 **night** 11:19 109:10 **Official** 2:13,18 opposite 193:5 113:7 150:17 officio 1:17 2:2,4 opt 21:18 0 **nimble** 67:11 offset 82:15 90:18 optical 62:10 63:18 nine 104:4,11 OA 50:5 189:17 111:20.21 112:20 **optics** 39:13 114:12,15,21 115:14 **Nino** 187:10 options 151:18 152:10 objective 171:5 **NMFS** 10:21 12:3 13:10 **objectives** 10:7 28:22 115:19 117:3 152:10 27:18 34:11 37:13 41:18 170:9,12 185:2 offsets 114:7 orca's 156:6 185:9 192:3 206:7 **OKONIEWSKI** 2:7 30:1 orcas 152:3,8 156:12 obligated 146:13 NOAA 2:12 4:3 12:17 35:19 36:20 72:14,19 obligations 83:9 159:3 91:10 130:15 132:10 51:18 68:17 69:16 **observer** 34:19 35:1 order 80:12,13,13 81:19 77:6 79:5 81:1 83:2,2 37:19 156:2 82:5 89:10 110:9,10 83:15 84:7,17 88:2,14 observers 116:22 old 31:13 112:13 111:13 112:5,9,14 125:22 137:6 141:1 154:18 177:12 117:10 123:21 124:4

125:19 137:11 170:2 170:9 orders 110:3,3,8 113:11 115:3,7 120:15,16 121:2 127:20 129:10 Oregon 73:8,8,10 153:5 organism 59:13,13,14 67:21 organisms 63:3 organization 88:6 89:17 191:12 196:22 organizational 88:18 organizations 197:2 organized 205:2 originally 207:9 outbreak 58:19 outbreaks 72:22 **outcomes** 121:19 outdated 109:16 111:16 outlined 169:22 170:9 170:12 outlining 126:5 outlook 4:3 6:17 78:10 89.3 output 128:20 outreach 91:20 outside 30:6 over-fished 108:10 over-fishing 108:11 overall 87:18 121:13 145:20 146:3 184:11 overarching 16:8 overdue 54:12 **overfished** 100:13,16 overfishing 99:10 101:20 overlaid 222:8 223:20 overlap 73:1 163:22 overlapping 79:14 overlaps 101:6 overnight 165:7 overriding 157:13 overview 109:3 owned 153:22 Owner/Operator 2:8 ownership 25:15 154:1 oxygen 175:7 204:10 208:22 212:13 217:9 **oyster** 136:3 Ρ

# P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S 5:1

**p.m** 167:7 168:2 228:11 **PacFIN** 33:18 Pacific 2:3,7 15:17 21:6 76:16 86:17 205:19

package 131:11 133:13 **packed** 193:10 page 209:15 pages 209:9 221:17 paid 36:15 53:16 pairing 71:19 Pam 4:18 49:3 215:8 **PAMELA** 2:10 panel 215:7 paper 30:21 49:7 50:14 133:8 134:6 145:8 179:16 180:10,15 181:12 224:4,21 Paperwork 133:18 paradigm 135:4 parallel 70:11 parent 82:21 parroting 111:18 part 11:3 12:3 17:12,20 26:22 28:16 33:18 36:6 37:10 41:15 48:2 48:20 52:1,13,21 56:7 56:18 93:1 127:18 129:5 130:12 131:16 133:13,16,18 144:3 145:3 152:5 193:19 195:21 203:20.21 218:4 partially 162:19 PARTICIPANT 39:18 134:17 participated 208:14 participating 127:1 particular 8:11 38:21 58:15 68:7 95:10 100:11 101:10 110:15 111:3 152:8 160:6 175:1 203:22 205:4 210:19 particularly 113:15 174:13 175:15 178:10 183:2 185:14 192:6 209:1 212:18 217:10 partly 202:17 partners 14:8 90:1 191:22 192:1,4 partnership 224:15 partnerships 100:8 102:14 174:19 parts 39:20 59:2 99:22 party 23:14 34:3 pass 158:5 **passed** 153:3

**passes** 99:15

**passing** 159:5

136:2,16

pattern 193:1 225:7

Paul 2:16 79:6 135:9

Paul's 6:20 pause 192:11 Pawlak 6:7,19 78:20 pay 34:1 paying 34:18 35:11 36:2,6 pays 17:12,20 19:20 24:1 32:1,1,2 33:10 **PCSRF** 86:21 **PEARCE** 2:8 66:1,14 193:22 196:6 peering 171:6 pelagic 71:7 Peninsula 76:19 people 5:11 8:4 22:21 23:6,11 24:3 26:19 28:2 34:18 35:9 38:8 69:5 73:11 124:12,19 154:11 155:15 156:14 172:9 198:10,14 222:10,16 225:9 peppy 65:21 perceived 217:22 percent 23:19 24:1,7 35:11,17 36:2,6,21,22 38:22 90:12 126:10 152:22 153:1 202:20 211:19 212:2 226:10 perception 218:11 perform 89:2 190:17 performed 190:22 period 29:7 32:15 133:20 153:12 176:17 periodic 82:1 102:18 periodically 103:17 permanently 79:4 permit 124:12,20,21 137:8 permits 123:5 128:9 permitting 137:1 **Pershing** 199:9,9 208:15 215:15 person 8:18 35:1 60:9 215:2 227:6 personally 38:9 72:3 223:17 perspective 21:12 26:15 36:17 69:16 123:2 pertinent 133:11 perturbations 40:14,21 41:8 Peter 2:6 68:12 157:11

physical 175:7 201:5,5 phytoplankton 183:12 pick 23:19 **picked** 44:21 **pickle** 166:9 pictures 40:4 picturing 225:2 pie 218:5 piece 65:16 71:20 100:21 141:6 195:7 piecemeal 146:4 pieces 76:12 97:3 201:1 pilot 129:5 136:17 pinch 159:11 pinnipeds 153:7 159:3 pipeline 72:16 pipelines 155:11 pit 141:11 pixels 177:13,14,17 178:4,5 **place** 16:20 31:9 55:2 60:5,16 62:2 101:7 106:10 120:5 127:14 170:20 180:8 181:10 187:11 204:1 places 5:15 19:5 32:3 101:6 207:16 plan 5:20 55:1,3 124:11 140:16 165:12 166:6 166:21 194:13 planet 200:3 planning 81:9 86:5 196:10,16 plans 11:11 14:16 18:1 29:9 48:9.10 54:20 56:9 57:5 100:5 127:16 139:17 146:13 148:1 149:5 169:3,18 171:21 172:4,4,14 184:11 192:11 play 79:14 189:19 190:9 191:6 219:3 **played** 190:15 playing 56:11 please 20:4 94:18 167:3 180:16 221:17 pleased 7:16 172:11 191:8 pleasure 8:7 26:3 168:18 **plenty** 148:15 **plow** 105:12 plus 75:7 140:2 201:15 226:9 pocket 78:16 pod 152:3 point 14:11 15:6 16:15 24:21 30:16 35:15

221:1,19

**PhD** 2:18,19

227:12

**phase** 207:15

phone 168:10 215:4

P&D 90:21

36:1 46:7,14,22 50:3 51:15,17 52:22 53:11 54:3,21 57:6 59:4 61:17 63:9 122:13 131:6 132:17 164:18 180:11 183:8 185:6 196:3 206:21 219:1 219:15 221:2 223:5 pointing 50:9 **points** 139:6,11 159:12 171:14 206:16 policies 13:9 15:16 17:4 29:11,15,20 120:6 policy 2:13,18,18 10:1 10:3,8 15:19 16:8,14 16:17,19 17:2,4,9,18 19:18 21:9 22:8 26:11 27:1,18 28:6,18 29:5 29:11 31:9,12,13 32:8 48:8 49:20 56:8 81:13 98:20 120:7 121:15 221:13 223:4 policy-driven 32:20 **political** 79:7 91:15 158:12 159:13.21 pollock 62:21 186:21 195:19 popular 80:18 population 43:13 50:5 158:18 161:1,3,11 populations 160:9 161:19 162:9,9 port 42:20 portion 90:10,16 142:17 position 6:21 79:7 97:18 98:3 positive 56:1 122:21 213:6 218:9 223:16 positives 223:5,7 possession 37:10 possibilities 71:19 possibility 130:10 **possible** 19:3,4 63:13 106:2 149:8 204:22 post 21:22 98:6 **posted** 103:2 **pot** 35:9,10 potential 39:14 121:18 130:10 189:20 201:8 211:20 212:4,6 potentially 37:21 178:9 pots 151:3 poundage 108:14 **pounds** 152:9 222:22 poverty 43:16 44:3 power 178:17

powerful 174:16 224:17 **PR** 73:5 **PRA** 133:21 practically 76:20 practices 100:9 102:15 pre 21:22 pre-2015 191:9 precedent 147:19 precipitation 201:11 predation 159:3 predator 157:22 158:5 predatory 139:8 160:11 predicting 89:11 180:7 prefer 69:3 preference 26:9,12,15 27:3,11,12,17,21 **preferred** 181:1,22 192:21 prefers 27:19 **premier** 188:14 preparation 86:5 **prepare** 171:13 prescriptive 90:20 presence 59:10 62:16 present 1:13 2:12 3:1 78:11 219:11 presentation 7:1 8:15 15:8 51:15,16 54:8 58:13 66:2 67:2,14 68:14 77:1 78:9 80:7 83:13,19 85:19 87:8 105:17 199:8 215:6 215:10 217:18 presentations 95:8 164:10 presented 41:17 95:3 226:7 **presenting** 7:4 136:13 Preservation 157:3 **preserve** 162:13 president 1:18 2:10 80:16 122:13 199:21 **President's** 82:9,22 83:20 84:7 86:7,16,19 87:5,6 presiding 1:12 press 73:6 80:18 pressure 44:17 45:19 87:20 pretty 16:19 18:14,17 38:3 57:16 62:6,10 72:8 87:17 98:13 131:15 140:13 143:18 146:8 161:5 165:1 176:19 196:11 207:14

217:13 223:21

**previous** 182:3,3

prevent 115:16

204:13 205:11 222:9 **price** 143:7 primarily 96:7 102:7 104:3 105:3,4 110:7 173:21 186:10 187:15 197:1 206:13 207:17 209:3,7 210:21,22 213:9 216:9 primary 96:12 175:8 principal 177:8 printed 89:4 prior 12:17 **priorities** 9:8 10:22 13:7 16:7 41:16,20 89:15 184:20 prioritization 18:12 prioritizing 14:19 priority 131:21 141:15 171:18 172:13 184:2 **private** 34:6 71:20 104:6,10 probability 152:21 probably 15:5 22:10 27:2 28:7 36:22 56:13 65:15 68:5,8,9 75:7 96:19 97:3 103:9 110:2 118:8 119:14 157:18 158:11 159:7 163:4 177:3,4 179:3 183:9 185:21 195:4 196:11 201:15 202:15 219:16 222:21 **problem** 37:20 50:16 107:8 151:15 159:2 159:13,21 162:9 163:12 problems 144:2 160:15 procedures 81:5 proceed 168:12 169:15 proceeded 169:16 process 29:10 109:16 113:13 118:6,16,20 121:10 127:18,21 128:8 130:12 131:2.7 131:13 132:8 133:19 136:6 137:1 140:14 149:11 154:10 155:14 157:21 175:15 185:12 186:22 189:7 207:10 207:18 225:16 process-based 186:11 Process-wise 32:8 processes 92:5 111:4 119:6 124:5 processing 42:22 101:22 130:21 **Processor** 57:10,19

producing 59:22 production 152:14 170:5 productive 117:3 productivity 175:8 179:6 183:10,20 211:4 216:12 products 175:3 192:7,8 professionals 88:1 proffer 105:18 proffered 99:3 program 3:2 13:10 14:18 69:7 102:16,17 104:20 119:22 142:17 143:20 144:6,8,15,16 146:2 197:3 208:8 programmatic 129:17 **programs** 2:20 10:10 12:4,7 32:3 36:15 100:10 135:5 progress 5:18 60:6 61:15 172:12 173:18 173:20 175:2 184:9 185:18 191:16,17 196:17 210:14 progressing 213:14 **project** 126:17,19 175:12 189:17,18 198:3 projected 74:5 200:21 210:7 211:7 212:7 213:1 projecting 174:14 176:2,15 projection 177:5 182:10 192:20 193:8 203:9 222:3,5,9,13,15 223:20 224:22 226:11 projections 55:4 178:9 179:1,13 180:2 181:14 204:6 211:19 212:6 220:10,13,17 225:5 226:6 projects 111:5,5 129:5 129:19 136:10,14,17 174:10 180:3 184:2 promised 72:15 promising 9:16 **promote** 90:22 211:13 promoted 21:1 promoting 11:12 125:19 **prop** 108:22 prophetic 109:11,12 proposal 99:18 proposals 136:5 **proposed** 21:20 158:22 proprietary 26:10,18

**produce** 185:3

27:13 28:3,19 29:3 156:16 159:6 175:20 quote-unquote 162:5 103:7 106:17 rebuilds 125:15 **pros** 72:6 **puts** 87:20 R protect 73:4 putting 50:9,21 55:10 rebuilt 99:7 protected 30:22 88:9 136:11 race 159:8 recalibrate 139:12 pyramid 41:17 170:13 123:7 128:17 171:10 radar 18:22 recall 156:4 169:19 RAIMUNDO 2:3 185:9 211:10 170:13,14 recap 199:14 protection 31:2 96:11 recapitalization 83:5 raise 165:22 Q 96:20 118:18 126:6 raising 55:5 recaps 204:16 128:6 157:14 quadrants 176:6 ramifications 186:9 received 8:11,16 86:4 123:15 136:5 protections 30:14,20 quagga 155:6,10,17 ramp 152:13 proteomics 64:20 recognizing 25:19 quality 39:5 50:17 **ramps** 142:19 protocols 81:9 69:22 70:12 ran 16:13 105:17 recommendation **provide** 20:16 57:18 quantify 226:5 randomly 23:19 67:11 111:15 133:10 quantifying 64:14 Randy 2:3 4:11 22:10 109:20 165:10 186:13 139:9 169:13 170:20 quantitative 51:1 57:20 22:13 24:21 35:19 220:20 226:19 171:9 173:7 179:16 recommendations 67:7 156:3 158:12 160:17 179:20 202:1 quantitatively 47:20 **Randy's** 135:6 197:18 38:16 221:4,5,7,9 provided 9:21 31:2 quarter 167:4 range 24:14 75:22 recommended 109:11 60:14 139:1 recommends 131:20 queried 133:7 99:19 218:6,18 219:6 provides 10:3 12:6 question 15:15 17:1 reconstruction 86:5 220:1 103:5 201:6 18:10 26:5 32:1 33:20 ranking 101:1 record 21:21 58:18 84:6 providing 60:13 80:7 94:21 167:6 168:6 49:11 57:3 61:18 67:1 ranks 82:20 195:11 213:21 69:15 71:21 75:1 93:4 **RAP** 191:20 183:2 228:11 **proving** 159:10 rapid 183:19 219:18 recording 116:21 93:15,22 132:16 provision 114:19 133:1 148:13 156:13 rapidly 68:2 176:1 recovery 68:3 86:18 provisions 85:20 100:1 156:21 161:16 163:3 recreational 3:5 42:18 **RAPs** 56:9 173:13,15 102:9 103:16 165:14 170:21 171:5 173:17 60:21 77:3,17 97:16 **proxy** 50:10 179:11 196:19 207:5 rarely 226:19 100:4,9 101:14 102:3 **PSP** 72:22 102:6,10,15,17 104:3 216:5,9,15 217:8 **Rassela** 214:16 **public** 1:6 2:15 3:13,17 questions 7:8 13:1 15:8 rate 204:5 222:17 104:6,10,19 105:5 30:8,16 32:9 49:9 49:2 65:16 90:4 92:9 rates 175:17 106:15 107:20,22 84:6 110:20 113:2 94:16 95:15,19,21 raw 25:2 108:9,14 125:5 115:14 118:14 119:7 105:11,13 128:11 razor 60:16,19 61:7 139:19 144:5 146:20 119:9,12,17 122:11 134:15 138:4,9 66:9 153:12,13 155:5,16 124:2 127:3 157:3 148:12 155:22 169:14 reach 125:16 164:9 225:15 227:17 167:4 168:5,5,9 169:9 179:10 180:21 182:13 react 196:3 197:15 recreationally 124:14 169:9 199:22 201:20 187:21 192:14 215:7 read 31:10 110:17 125:2 207:14,18,19 218:20 225:11,20 reading 96:4 recruitment 183:20 publication 49:5,21 queue 215:8 225:21 ready 7:22 163:18 186:20 189:14 195:19 publicly 49:7 227:6 171:14 191:11 216:13 **Puget** 159:2 queued 65:18 real 17:8 23:4 50:11,16 recruits 195:20 red 43:22 45:12 97:15 **pull** 146:5 quick 17:8 48:3 52:14 58:11 66:1 95:17 **pulled** 164:7 66:1 90:2 95:8,9 107:1 109:3 151:8 98:21 99:21 101:17 pulling 194:4 97:11 105:11,13 152:11,18 161:7 102:3,8 103:6,19,20 pumped 197:11 104:1,3,21 105:3 109:3 172:12 194:5 172:3 174:4,5 184:6 purpose 37:17 199:4,14 202:5,9 195:13,13 196:2 106:15 107:1,6,14,17 purposes 25:13,14 quicker 74:17 103:11 198:7 219:11 225:4 107:20 134:16,17,18 126:4,10 194:11 reality 198:15 223:20 137:4,8,20,21 156:22 67:16 **purview** 217:21 quickly 75:21 95:17 realizing 75:1 157:1 181:19 206:5,5 **push** 65:3 110:5 126:15 138:8 141:9 reason 33:9 35:8 50:22 206:6 pushing 42:10 48:20 71:4 222:12 226:21 reduce 113:1 116:15 177:20 194:7,8 195:1 132:12 188:11 196:4 197:15 216:11 reasons 26:19 28:4 126:9 132:2 134:6 put 20:19 24:20 35:9 quite 48:22 64:17 72:11 135:10 211:7,12 41:10 45:6 49:13 103:22 128:14 148:6 reauthorization 99:20 reducing 110:9 188:5 57:11,11,22 65:10 153:6 164:8 196:6 105:8,20 107:3 reduction 82:16,20 73:6,22 78:15 98:2 200:16 209:10 224:17 rebounding 142:11 83:1 86:16 92:18 93:6 112:18 120:13 121:13 rebuilding 41:1 99:11 133:8,19 quota 116:1,3,4,7,10 122:7 130:17 143:10 quotas 139:18 140:1,4 100:5,15 101:15 reductions 84:7 114:21

II			
210:8	regs 117:7	112:18	resolve 177:22 178:6
redundant 145:9	regulate 150:2	repeat 204:13	resource 85:13 89:19
reef 85:16 208:16	regulation 110:9 112:7	replace 104:20 112:1	116:12 118:12 157:4
reefs 210:19	112:12,20 125:17	154:18 223:14,17	158:1,1 185:7 193:18
reevaluated 29:20	128:2,15 130:9,17	replaces 100:13	193:18
reevaluation 29:16	131:8 132:13	report 49:5 103:11	resources 12:19 57:2
reexamining 137:15	regulations 10:13	122:13 125:21 172:12	88:9 89:15 100:22
refer 160:4	24:18 109:18 110:21	173:2 175:3 188:19	123:7 128:17 143:13
reference 139:6,10	111:2,20,21 112:1,10	189:3 191:9 195:8	172:7,9 184:18
171:14	112:13 113:2,16	201:4 215:15	188:17 199:12 205:10
referendum 100:18	114:14 116:15 117:9	reported 57:17	205:13 208:1 213:22
referred 27:19	117:16 118:3,15,19	reporting 10:6 26:14	respect 52:2
referring 33:4 221:3	119:2,8 120:17,18,19	133:15 144:13 145:1	respecting 13:18
refers 64:19 112:4	121:2,18 122:16	reports 4:7 5:6 37:14	respective 104:9
reflected 220:19	•	133:5 173:4	respects 131:4
reform 88:21 89:8	123:2,4,9 124:15	representative 99:16	respond 47:21 171:12
95:11 105:12 108:17	127:5,7,8,11 131:19 132:13	1 -	195:1
II.		101:2,7 102:4 105:2,8 117:22	
108:21 110:4,12 117:14 122:12	regulatory 4:6 88:21 89:2 95:3,11 105:12		responding 192:9 response 50:4,18 63:16
refresher 40:8	108:21 110:4,10,12	represents 189:22 request 8:11 38:5 57:17	103:10 171:11
reg 108:17 112:17	110:19 111:13,14,17	86:7,15,19 93:2 121:1	responses 47:16
113:3,21 117:14	112:10 113:4 118:21	123:15	responsible 117:13
118:7 119:16 125:8	119:13 121:13 122:12	requesting 120:14	172:7
130:2	127:17 128:14,22	requests 25:3 103:10	responsive 126:11
regard 43:3 85:22	131:7 132:4	require 57:2 65:11	rest 82:16 107:5 189:7
109:18	reining 130:6	113:17 158:14	restrictive 109:17
regarding 185:3	rejiggering 6:10	required 20:8 37:8	result 90:10 218:4
regardless 150:2	relate 115:3	101:17 124:16 149:4	results 122:7 126:5
region 2:16 16:3 17:11	related 45:16 53:5 96:9	requirement 25:16	191:3
17:13 18:13 25:20	98:15 123:21 125:22	54:15 102:12 104:14	resumed 94:21 167:6
30:3 48:11 56:10,12	206:18 209:1,4,17	104:18 114:13 117:17	ret 2:1
133:8 145:19 171:17	211:4 214:9 218:7	119:20 125:4,4 145:7	retention 154:20
171:18 172:6 174:7	relation 187:9	requirements 25:1	retreated 224:18
203:18 205:4,5,9,17	relationship 101:9	87:12 100:15,18	retrospective 223:19
224:13	148:4 156:10	118:14 120:8 124:22	return 88:13
region's 16:6 22:6	relative 51:4	125:3,13 133:15	revenue 90:9
regional 11:8 16:1 17:3	relatively 99:5	195:6	reverse 84:6 158:17
18:1,2,5 22:1 29:8,9	released 125:21 169:8	requires 102:16,18	review 13:11 19:18,19
30:5 48:9,10,11,16	169:20	103:11 119:21 130:22	23:15 24:1 27:8 30:16
56:7,9 57:4,5 84:20	releasing 32:16	research 2:10,11 86:3	32:1 33:14,14,19 34:1
107:13,13 109:15	relieve 110:19 113:20	86:14 101:17 173:8	34:3,5,10,11,18 35:6
136:17 169:3,18	rely 63:20 71:2	185:21 187:22 199:10	36:5 102:18 103:17
171:21 172:13 184:10	remain 185:3	199:19 215:11,21	110:20 118:15,22
191:12,21 192:11	remainder 91:3	216:4	119:5,13,17 120:3,8
205:3,8,17,20 206:1	remaining 87:18	<b>reserve</b> 164:19	120:19 121:13,17
214:5	remains 86:9	resilience 5:6 9:9 39:21	123:14 127:4,14
regional-based 106:8	remember 39:15 122:6	40:4,8,20 42:12 43:4	132:2 133:19 154:10
regionally 54:17,17	172:16 192:20	47:5,7,10,22 52:1	reviewed 17:5,5 21:14
157:1 162:6 203:17	reminded 57:12	164:12 166:14 172:8	31:10 37:11 127:9
regions 11:12 14:20	reminder 170:8 172:12	211:13	132:6
17:19 20:3 21:10	removal 153:17 155:9	resilient 40:13 41:8,22	reviewer 34:12,12
26:17 32:10 54:19,21	removals 154:8	resistance 40:21	reviewers 34:4
173:16,18 174:5,9,17	remove 154:3 155:18	resisting 134:16	reviewing 22:17 24:6
185:22 188:19,20	removed 37:11 132:14	resolute 83:3	29:11 31:12 34:13,22
191:14 205:2 206:8	renewed 29:6	resolution 39:8 80:5	136:6 154:12 155:5
Register 32:16 120:13	renewing 14:15	89:13 144:1,6 176:14	213:21 214:5
registries 102:15	repair 87:12,13	176:19,20 177:15,22	reviews 34:7 53:7,8
registry 100:9	repeal 111:16 112:1,1	178:18,22 180:6	119:15
	I	I	I

revised 32:9 95:17 142:8 180:4 183:18 219:14 seat 99:2 revising 31:16 running 15:2 74:9 223:9 Seattle 59:7 revisions 32:11 33:2 142:9 188:18 **scallop** 46:7,22 51:6,8 seaward 104:5 **Sebastian** 1:17 51:13 100:7 runs 77:12 181:16 223:12 revisiting 26:22 Russ 3:5 164:9 scallops 46:18 220:16 90:6,7 **RFP** 136:4,18 **Rutgers** 224:16 **scarce** 89:14,18 second 10:18 39:20 Rheault 2:9 50:2 91:5 83:18 136:3 143:2 Ryan 80:11 scenarios 190:9,10,12 171:2 173:1 201:20 128:13,13 130:5 191:7 S schedule 6:10 65:20 205:15 220:5 **RICH** 3:2 S-E-S-S-I-O-N 168:1 schematic 63:1 secondly 60:3 68:21 **RICHEN** 1:20 **S-K** 87:5 91:4 school 62:20 Secretary 104:19 Rick 214:18,19 **Saba** 177:8 181:6 **science** 3:1,3 4:2,13 146:16,18 147:6,8,20 rid 118:3 128:3 6:15 7:11,14 8:10,18 148:4 149:14,15,19 sacrifice 5:17 rightfully 197:11 sacrificing 166:22 13:4 28:11 42:8 48:16 section 31:13 55:5 92:17 126:13 **Ripp** 227:11 50:6,17 53:8,13,21 safe 83:10 sector 25:20 38:22 rise 44:9,13,22 45:3 safety 73:1,20 86:2 54:1,1,12 61:12,13,14 209:7 222:2 115:10,15 124:16,18 71:5 73:13 75:10 114:18,21 Risenhoover 3:19 4:6 124:21 98:16 164:2,4 168:14 sectors 10:12 104:7 7:3 17:8,17 19:13 197:9 200:5 206:4 **Sagar** 121:15 169:2,17,21 170:1,15 20:10,13 24:20 30:18 **Saildrone** 62:13,17 170:17 171:19,20 **secure** 31:5 31:18,20 32:14 36:12 68:14 69:4,13 71:13 172:5,21 174:5,18,20 securing 148:4 95:4,6 105:22 107:10 **security** 86:2 115:8 Saildrones 62:5 175:22 179:19 184:2 108:7 129:1 130:11 salinity 186:8,16 184:11,15 187:8,11 seeing 26:7,16 27:22 131:16 132:21 133:4 188:14 190:7 191:11 37:22 94:3,4 123:20 201:12 217:9 191:21 192:9 195:22 136:22 143:12 168:12 133:14 **salmon** 77:4 85:2,3 rising 204:6 209:5 86:17 152:9 153:8,14 200:10 201:3 204:14 189:15 risk 46:1 47:12,21 153:20 156:6,11 220:12 226:3 seeking 207:15 213:17 172:21 187:20 211:2 157:18 sciences 55:12 seen 19:5 20:22 23:3 212:11 213:19 scientific 2:20,20 50:8 40:3 41:14 110:1,2 salmonids 187:18 risks 201:8 204:22 Saltonstall-Kennedy 53:9 200:2 151:4 168:19 183:16 212:18 218:7 223:21 208:4 209:17 210:22 86:22 197:4 scientist 2:10 8:20,22 road 41:16 **Sam** 22:15 23:2,8 33:10 177:9 self-changer 78:16 roadmap 48:7 33:22 34:17 scientists 152:4 198:7 sell 69:3 **ROBERT 2:4,9 Samantha** 208:21 201:16 208:20 **Senate** 83:22 84:2,22 robot 71:3 sample 21:22 57:10,19 **scoop** 64:10 85:10,21 86:9,13 **Robotics** 71:13 72:5 59:9 63:14 64:4,5 **scoping** 207:10 102:22 106:5,11 robust 52:17 171:13 scorecard 158:13,19 70:19 163:10,10 191:3 **samples** 143:7 **scratch** 168:10 senator 102:4 103:5 robustly 176:2 sampling 21:13 screen 61:5 177:17 106:7 158:20 Roger 1:18 3:7 4:14 San 152:2 screwing 223:8 send 58:10 134:6 65:19 164:3 168:13 **sardine** 63:11 **sea** 1:18 2:10 44:9,12 sending 134:6 179:9 192:14 193:14 SARTWELL 3:21 44:22 45:3 47:12 64:7 **sends** 61:3 senior 2:10 3:5 8:19,21 207:1,3 215:6 217:18 save 159:16 138:16 152:18 153:4 sense 25:10 43:21 47:8 221:20 225:19 226:2 **saving** 125:7 153:16 156:5.13 227:16 162:3 163:5 186:21 56:11 68:20 107:2 **savings** 29:2 117:15 room 1:11 28:16 55:20 116:7 142:9 182:15 122:2,9,19 124:9 188:11,13 190:4,10 85:12 227:18 **saw** 15:1 65:8 69:9 190:18 193:6 197:2 183:5 218:8 rough 72:8 91:11 109:20 120:12 206:14 209:7 210:20 sensing 57:20 roughly 11:2 122:14 saying 19:7 53:15 218:18 220:15 222:2 sent 11:19 60:4 109:8 round 189:1 224:18 123:14 136:4 146:21 67:10 97:20 121:1 Seafood 2:7 routine 115:21 116:9 164:17 178:11,14 181:7 **RTI** 143:15,15 182:5 190:14 223:9 **seal** 160:9 161:19 separate 24:12 49:7 **RUBINO** 2:18 seals 160:14 162:3 104:5 106:6 says 15:20 43:12 48:11 **Rubio** 103:5 57:21 59:20 60:2 seas 204:6 September 79:17 rules 23:11 114:4 119:6 178:16 199:20 season 60:16,20 74:1 sequence 7:6 115:17 116:13 121:22 202:6,8 211:1 104:17 105:4,5 **series** 47:8 110:2 scale 46:12 57:13 82:12 **seasonal** 195:14,16,22 114:22 141:17 211:18 122:1 run 6:3,10 69:4,13 95:7 129:4 177:13 178:20 seasons 104:9,16 serious 50:16

	1	İ	ı
Seriously 80:17	182:3 192:22 222:4	six-month 149:19	49:17 56:19 61:11,12
seriousness 89:6	<b>showing</b> 85:11 178:20	size 13:14 18:16 19:5	62:17 69:13 70:11
<b>serve</b> 79:4	180:11 183:11 198:1	60:8 114:2	71:1 129:17 146:21
servers 31:5	224:3	skews 50:13	149:11 158:4 160:22
service 2:1 23:9 35:6	shows 19:14 84:16,19	skyrocketing 160:10	161:22 162:5,10
36:9 120:22 169:20	181:4	162:9	183:1 194:12 197:13
170:10 177:9 195:11		slaughtered 38:10	221:21 222:1 223:19
197:1	shrimp 2:4 141:22	sleeping 113:7	227:15
	222:21,22		-
services 81:20 82:8	shut 6:6 151:18 152:12	slide 19:14 31:14 66:7	sorts 128:22 142:22
138:22 139:3,9	shutdown 79:1 81:4,9	92:13 97:2 122:8	154:21
162:22 192:8	side 6:7 21:17,17 56:1	175:20 182:4 189:21	sound 159:2 204:12
session 105:21 106:1	76:18 77:17 91:7,11	202:6	<b>Sounds</b> 78:12 166:6
136:12 165:16 168:4	108:5,6,14 130:2	slider 182:17	168:18
set 16:7 21:9 70:13,14	139:18,19 147:21	slides 49:11 96:2 169:4	source 28:3 49:21
124:2 147:12 155:4	193:13,15,15 195:22	<b>slightly</b> 32:4 85:7 88:12	<b>sources</b> 103:13
160:14 169:4 171:16	225:1	slowly 144:22	south 84:13 100:19
177:12 196:18	side-by-side 70:6	small 18:17 71:7 84:8	102:18 103:15 123:22
sets 112:9 182:12	Siedlecki 208:21	164:19 218:4	144:21 150:8
218:19 219:3	sign 182:8	smaller 114:1	southern 62:1 193:4
setting 105:5 155:15	signal 62:19 63:4,9	smallest 177:15	<b>space</b> 168:7 182:4,4,6
225:16 227:19	64:11 203:4	snapper 97:15 98:22	spacing 11:20
settled 35:4	significant 55:6 90:16	99:21 101:17 102:3,8	spatial 125:12
seven 98:14 119:16	111:14 112:3,4,6,13	103:6,19,20 104:1,3	speak 7:17 59:19 86:13
120:1 138:7 170:9,12	112:17,19 115:17	104:21 105:1,3	168:8
170:15 185:1	121:22 175:2 176:1	106:16 107:1,7,14,17	Speaker 80:11
severe 213:2	188:1 204:18 210:8	107:21 134:17,18	speaking 12:9 80:10
severely 221:15	212:12,18 213:14	137:4,8,21,21 156:22	141:22 221:15
<b>SF</b> 132:5	significantly 54:16	157:1,2	speaks 78:21 93:21
shaken 72:11 147:10	signs 142:11	snapshot 202:9	special 201:4 210:18
<b>Shallin</b> 208:18	silent 86:9	social 41:3,9 43:5 44:15	Specialist 2:15
share 84:17 100:17	Silver 1:11,11	46:10 47:11 48:21	<b>species</b> 37:7 38:16,19
119:20,22	similar 21:17 80:8 84:3	53:22 208:20	39:7 45:9,13 50:18
sharper 222:7,7	108:1	socio-cultural 42:5	52:4 67:21,22 68:4
shellfish 2:9 50:5 76:18	similarities 17:19	socioecological 9:10	74:16 77:5,5 96:10
Sheraton 1:11	similarity 114:18	41:13 46:3	100:12,16 118:17
shift 60:15 143:3	Similarly 126:12	socioeconomic 41:3	120:2,2 124:11
shifted 224:12 225:5	simple 81:15 87:17	socioeconomics 54:2	125:14 126:13 128:6
shifts 45:16 219:6	176:11,14	sociological 47:3 52:7	140:9,12 142:1
224:8	simply 124:18 182:2,12	software 14:13 26:12	148:17 171:10 172:20
<b>ship</b> 70:5,8 71:20	sincerely 141:2	26:18 27:5,6 28:3,19	172:22 175:18 176:4
160:19,20	single 52:4 120:22	29:4	185:9 186:16 187:14
	_		
shipped 37:11	l 124:12	solid 170:15	187:16.19 189:10
shipped 37:11 shipping 42:19	124:12 sir 181:8 227:4	solid 170:15 solo 68:17	187:16,19 189:10 192:22 193:2 4 7
shipping 42:19	sir 181:8 227:4	<b>solo</b> 68:17	192:22 193:2,4,7
shipping 42:19 ships 63:20,21,22	sir 181:8 227:4 sit 134:10 148:3	<b>solo</b> 68:17 <b>solutions</b> 14:13 137:20	192:22 193:2,4,7 210:4 211:4,9,10
shipping 42:19 ships 63:20,21,22 70:17,21,22 74:9	sir 181:8 227:4 sit 134:10 148:3 site 96:14 98:6 103:3	solo 68:17 solutions 14:13 137:20 198:11	192:22 193:2,4,7 210:4 211:4,9,10 216:13 217:3,4 218:6
shipping 42:19 ships 63:20,21,22 70:17,21,22 74:9 shirt 143:14	sir 181:8 227:4 sit 134:10 148:3 site 96:14 98:6 103:3 142:16	solo 68:17 solutions 14:13 137:20 198:11 solve 151:15	192:22 193:2,4,7 210:4 211:4,9,10 216:13 217:3,4 218:6 219:4,6,17 223:16
shipping 42:19 ships 63:20,21,22 70:17,21,22 74:9 shirt 143:14 shocks 40:22	sir 181:8 227:4 sit 134:10 148:3 site 96:14 98:6 103:3 142:16 sits 28:11 58:9 59:5	solo 68:17 solutions 14:13 137:20 198:11 solve 151:15 somebody 16:12 34:8,9	192:22 193:2,4,7 210:4 211:4,9,10 216:13 217:3,4 218:6 219:4,6,17 223:16 224:9,12,13
shipping 42:19 ships 63:20,21,22 70:17,21,22 74:9 shirt 143:14 shocks 40:22 shockwaves 146:22	sir 181:8 227:4 sit 134:10 148:3 site 96:14 98:6 103:3 142:16 sits 28:11 58:9 59:5 sitting 16:16 61:2	solo 68:17 solutions 14:13 137:20 198:11 solve 151:15 somebody 16:12 34:8,9 34:22	192:22 193:2,4,7 210:4 211:4,9,10 216:13 217:3,4 218:6 219:4,6,17 223:16 224:9,12,13 specific 84:13 85:3,20
shipping 42:19 ships 63:20,21,22 70:17,21,22 74:9 shirt 143:14 shocks 40:22 shockwaves 146:22 shop 146:6	sir 181:8 227:4 sit 134:10 148:3 site 96:14 98:6 103:3 142:16 sits 28:11 58:9 59:5 sitting 16:16 61:2 situ 57:20,21	solo 68:17 solutions 14:13 137:20 198:11 solve 151:15 somebody 16:12 34:8,9 34:22 somewhat 16:5	192:22 193:2,4,7 210:4 211:4,9,10 216:13 217:3,4 218:6 219:4,6,17 223:16 224:9,12,13 <b>specific</b> 84:13 85:3,20 95:21 99:4 102:9
shipping 42:19 ships 63:20,21,22 70:17,21,22 74:9 shirt 143:14 shocks 40:22 shockwaves 146:22 shop 146:6 shore 117:2 141:3	sir 181:8 227:4 sit 134:10 148:3 site 96:14 98:6 103:3 142:16 sits 28:11 58:9 59:5 sitting 16:16 61:2 situ 57:20,21 situation 147:4 185:15	solo 68:17 solutions 14:13 137:20 198:11 solve 151:15 somebody 16:12 34:8,9 34:22 somewhat 16:5 soon 119:18 144:21	192:22 193:2,4,7 210:4 211:4,9,10 216:13 217:3,4 218:6 219:4,6,17 223:16 224:9,12,13 <b>specific</b> 84:13 85:3,20 95:21 99:4 102:9 107:16 113:18,19,19
shipping 42:19 ships 63:20,21,22 70:17,21,22 74:9 shirt 143:14 shocks 40:22 shockwaves 146:22 shop 146:6 shore 117:2 141:3 short 89:10 96:12	sir 181:8 227:4 sit 134:10 148:3 site 96:14 98:6 103:3 142:16 sits 28:11 58:9 59:5 sitting 16:16 61:2 situ 57:20,21 situation 147:4 185:15 219:22	solo 68:17 solutions 14:13 137:20 198:11 solve 151:15 somebody 16:12 34:8,9 34:22 somewhat 16:5 soon 119:18 144:21 177:18	192:22 193:2,4,7 210:4 211:4,9,10 216:13 217:3,4 218:6 219:4,6,17 223:16 224:9,12,13 <b>specific</b> 84:13 85:3,20 95:21 99:4 102:9 107:16 113:18,19,19 121:8 122:9 133:1,15
shipping 42:19 ships 63:20,21,22 70:17,21,22 74:9 shirt 143:14 shocks 40:22 shockwaves 146:22 shop 146:6 shore 117:2 141:3 short 89:10 96:12 154:17 155:12 209:12	sir 181:8 227:4 sit 134:10 148:3 site 96:14 98:6 103:3 142:16 sits 28:11 58:9 59:5 sitting 16:16 61:2 situ 57:20,21 situation 147:4 185:15 219:22 situational 6:12	solo 68:17 solutions 14:13 137:20 198:11 solve 151:15 somebody 16:12 34:8,9 34:22 somewhat 16:5 soon 119:18 144:21 177:18 sooner 142:14	192:22 193:2,4,7 210:4 211:4,9,10 216:13 217:3,4 218:6 219:4,6,17 223:16 224:9,12,13 <b>specific</b> 84:13 85:3,20 95:21 99:4 102:9 107:16 113:18,19,19 121:8 122:9 133:1,15 148:22
shipping 42:19 ships 63:20,21,22 70:17,21,22 74:9 shirt 143:14 shocks 40:22 shockwaves 146:22 shop 146:6 shore 117:2 141:3 short 89:10 96:12 154:17 155:12 209:12 short-term 80:12	sir 181:8 227:4 sit 134:10 148:3 site 96:14 98:6 103:3 142:16 sits 28:11 58:9 59:5 sitting 16:16 61:2 situ 57:20,21 situation 147:4 185:15 219:22 situational 6:12 situations 150:11	solo 68:17 solutions 14:13 137:20 198:11 solve 151:15 somebody 16:12 34:8,9 34:22 somewhat 16:5 soon 119:18 144:21 177:18 sooner 142:14 sophistication 195:9	192:22 193:2,4,7 210:4 211:4,9,10 216:13 217:3,4 218:6 219:4,6,17 223:16 224:9,12,13 <b>specific</b> 84:13 85:3,20 95:21 99:4 102:9 107:16 113:18,19,19 121:8 122:9 133:1,15 148:22 <b>specifically</b> 18:11
shipping 42:19 ships 63:20,21,22 70:17,21,22 74:9 shirt 143:14 shocks 40:22 shockwaves 146:22 shop 146:6 shore 117:2 141:3 short 89:10 96:12 154:17 155:12 209:12 short-term 80:12 shorten 129:13	sir 181:8 227:4 sit 134:10 148:3 site 96:14 98:6 103:3 142:16 sits 28:11 58:9 59:5 sitting 16:16 61:2 situ 57:20,21 situation 147:4 185:15 219:22 situational 6:12 situations 150:11 six 97:1,3 152:22	solo 68:17 solutions 14:13 137:20 198:11 solve 151:15 somebody 16:12 34:8,9 34:22 somewhat 16:5 soon 119:18 144:21 177:18 sooner 142:14 sophistication 195:9 sorry 8:20 11:14 25:21	192:22 193:2,4,7 210:4 211:4,9,10 216:13 217:3,4 218:6 219:4,6,17 223:16 224:9,12,13 <b>specific</b> 84:13 85:3,20 95:21 99:4 102:9 107:16 113:18,19,19 121:8 122:9 133:1,15 148:22 <b>specifically</b> 18:11 82:20 91:21 94:5 98:4
shipping 42:19 ships 63:20,21,22 70:17,21,22 74:9 shirt 143:14 shocks 40:22 shockwaves 146:22 shop 146:6 shore 117:2 141:3 short 89:10 96:12 154:17 155:12 209:12 short-term 80:12 shorten 129:13 shortly 7:1	sir 181:8 227:4 sit 134:10 148:3 site 96:14 98:6 103:3 142:16 sits 28:11 58:9 59:5 sitting 16:16 61:2 situ 57:20,21 situation 147:4 185:15 219:22 situational 6:12 situations 150:11 six 97:1,3 152:22 170:19 171:22 180:6	solo 68:17 solutions 14:13 137:20 198:11 solve 151:15 somebody 16:12 34:8,9 34:22 somewhat 16:5 soon 119:18 144:21 177:18 sooner 142:14 sophistication 195:9 sorry 8:20 11:14 25:21 25:22 43:8 65:18	192:22 193:2,4,7 210:4 211:4,9,10 216:13 217:3,4 218:6 219:4,6,17 223:16 224:9,12,13 <b>specific</b> 84:13 85:3,20 95:21 99:4 102:9 107:16 113:18,19,19 121:8 122:9 133:1,15 148:22 <b>specifically</b> 18:11 82:20 91:21 94:5 98:4 102:11 124:3 148:18
shipping 42:19 ships 63:20,21,22 70:17,21,22 74:9 shirt 143:14 shocks 40:22 shockwaves 146:22 shop 146:6 shore 117:2 141:3 short 89:10 96:12 154:17 155:12 209:12 short-term 80:12 shorten 129:13 shortly 7:1 show 181:14 198:2,7	sir 181:8 227:4 sit 134:10 148:3 site 96:14 98:6 103:3 142:16 sits 28:11 58:9 59:5 sitting 16:16 61:2 situ 57:20,21 situation 147:4 185:15 219:22 situational 6:12 situations 150:11 six 97:1,3 152:22 170:19 171:22 180:6 208:15 209:9,15	solo 68:17 solutions 14:13 137:20 198:11 solve 151:15 somebody 16:12 34:8,9 34:22 somewhat 16:5 soon 119:18 144:21 177:18 sooner 142:14 sophistication 195:9 sorry 8:20 11:14 25:21 25:22 43:8 65:18 215:2	192:22 193:2,4,7 210:4 211:4,9,10 216:13 217:3,4 218:6 219:4,6,17 223:16 224:9,12,13  specific 84:13 85:3,20 95:21 99:4 102:9 107:16 113:18,19,19 121:8 122:9 133:1,15 148:22  specifically 18:11 82:20 91:21 94:5 98:4 102:11 124:3 148:18 196:20 200:13 202:12
shipping 42:19 ships 63:20,21,22 70:17,21,22 74:9 shirt 143:14 shocks 40:22 shockwaves 146:22 shop 146:6 shore 117:2 141:3 short 89:10 96:12 154:17 155:12 209:12 short-term 80:12 short-term 80:12 shortly 7:1 show 181:14 198:2,7 showed 49:12 66:8	sir 181:8 227:4 sit 134:10 148:3 site 96:14 98:6 103:3 142:16 sits 28:11 58:9 59:5 sitting 16:16 61:2 situ 57:20,21 situation 147:4 185:15 219:22 situational 6:12 situations 150:11 six 97:1,3 152:22 170:19 171:22 180:6 208:15 209:9,15 221:16	solo 68:17 solutions 14:13 137:20 198:11 solve 151:15 somebody 16:12 34:8,9 34:22 somewhat 16:5 soon 119:18 144:21 177:18 sooner 142:14 sophistication 195:9 sorry 8:20 11:14 25:21 25:22 43:8 65:18 215:2 sort 9:10 14:22 25:18	192:22 193:2,4,7 210:4 211:4,9,10 216:13 217:3,4 218:6 219:4,6,17 223:16 224:9,12,13  specific 84:13 85:3,20 95:21 99:4 102:9 107:16 113:18,19,19 121:8 122:9 133:1,15 148:22  specifically 18:11 82:20 91:21 94:5 98:4 102:11 124:3 148:18 196:20 200:13 202:12  specifications 127:18
shipping 42:19 ships 63:20,21,22 70:17,21,22 74:9 shirt 143:14 shocks 40:22 shockwaves 146:22 shop 146:6 shore 117:2 141:3 short 89:10 96:12 154:17 155:12 209:12 short-term 80:12 shorten 129:13 shortly 7:1 show 181:14 198:2,7	sir 181:8 227:4 sit 134:10 148:3 site 96:14 98:6 103:3 142:16 sits 28:11 58:9 59:5 sitting 16:16 61:2 situ 57:20,21 situation 147:4 185:15 219:22 situational 6:12 situations 150:11 six 97:1,3 152:22 170:19 171:22 180:6 208:15 209:9,15	solo 68:17 solutions 14:13 137:20 198:11 solve 151:15 somebody 16:12 34:8,9 34:22 somewhat 16:5 soon 119:18 144:21 177:18 sooner 142:14 sophistication 195:9 sorry 8:20 11:14 25:21 25:22 43:8 65:18 215:2	192:22 193:2,4,7 210:4 211:4,9,10 216:13 217:3,4 218:6 219:4,6,17 223:16 224:9,12,13  specific 84:13 85:3,20 95:21 99:4 102:9 107:16 113:18,19,19 121:8 122:9 133:1,15 148:22  specifically 18:11 82:20 91:21 94:5 98:4 102:11 124:3 148:18 196:20 200:13 202:12

II			231
anacitica 04:40	atata hii 420:47	402:40 40 202:40	
specifics 84:10	state-by- 139:17	193:16,19 203:18	successful 159:15
specs 118:10	statement 25:19	216:18 217:11 218:13	succinct 94:16
speed 101:21 222:17	states 1:16,20 2:2,3	218:14 225:1	sudden 55:14
speeding 111:3	17:4 43:11,16 53:14	stove 203:3	sue 159:15,17
spend 69:10 70:18 96:4	91:12 100:8 102:14	straightforward 165:2	sued 151:12
spending 13:22 141:14	104:8 137:6,10,13	strategic 88:7 89:22	suffice 113:10
spent 37:3 184:21	139:3 140:7,14	strategies 14:4 171:14	suggested 109:14
<b>spike</b> 73:22	141:20 142:13,16,18	173:12 189:20 190:3	suggesting 31:11
<b>spiny</b> 103:9	143:1,12 144:10	190:22 191:13 201:9	158:16 181:9
<b>spirit</b> 215:3	146:11,13 147:8,21	strategy 169:2,17,21	suggestion 226:12
<b>split</b> 95:8,14 124:19	147:22 148:5,18	170:4,9 171:17	suit 144:20
<b>spoke</b> 7:15	149:9 150:1,10	184:11 190:16,22	Sullivan 106:7
<b>sport</b> 108:5	151:11 154:2 155:4	191:9,10	<b>summarize</b> 87:9 208:2
Sportfishing 2:5	159:22 160:6	stream 178:21	209:9 212:6
spots 62:20	<b>stations</b> 155:4,15	Streaming 4:6	summarized 53:11
spreading 206:18	Statistics 144:15	<b>streamline</b> 121:9 128:8	<b>summary</b> 5:12 14:22
Spring 1:11,11	status 120:3 173:4	streamlining 95:3	90:2 96:12 98:8
<b>SR</b> 2:1	175:3 188:19 197:5	132:4	164:14 166:14,16
<b>SSC</b> 189:5 226:18	statutes 100:11 119:5	<b>street</b> 166:19	179:17,18 191:8
stability 40:11 154:21	statutory 99:10	strength 56:11	209:15 213:12
<b>stable</b> 40:15	stay 30:2 192:4	strengthen 191:20	summary-level 25:4,5
staff 2:12 77:9 84:12	staying 45:10	stressor 50:19 217:14	<b>summer</b> 146:19 148:15
130:22 131:1 134:14	steelhead 77:5 152:18	stressors 215:13,17	160:13,18 161:8
184:12,22	152:20 159:8,17	strikes 160:19,20	super 49:17 78:18
<b>Staffers</b> 135:18	162:11,13	striking 139:1	supplemental 94:4
staffing 131:18 132:17	<b>Stein</b> 57:16	striped 139:21 148:21	103:2
132:18	<b>Stein's</b> 58:13	149:4	<b>support</b> 11:8 84:19
stakeholder 123:17	step 41:2 47:4 48:5	<b>Strom</b> 8:22 57:10	85:2,13 87:11,19
stakeholder-driven	53:12,14 56:13 65:9	<b>strong</b> 44:11 46:6 84:19	174:21 192:4 208:10
109:15 130:12	83:12 181:7	85:1,5	supportive 121:5
stakeholders 20:14	<b>Stephanie</b> 3:9 95:19	stronger 88:12	<b>supposed</b> 81:18 154:3
130:13	steps 53:20 65:4 75:16	structure 210:5	200:15 207:11
standard 69:19 100:7	110:14 221:9,10	struggle 141:12,16	<b>supreme</b> 158:16
standardize 32:5	<b>Stevens</b> 101:10	struggling 189:14	surface 58:6
standards 14:14 29:1	stick 222:6	<b>Stu</b> 6:22 78:11 97:7	surgical 159:1
99:10	stiff-sailed 62:6	<b>Stu's</b> 36:13 92:9	surprising 202:15
stands 16:14 134:16	stiff-winged 62:5	<b>Stuart</b> 3:14 4:4	<b>survey</b> 68:7 71:8,10
star 208:20	stimulate 102:13	<b>studies</b> 102:16 156:9	81:22 82:1,2 142:7,8
start 5:13 30:18 41:17	118:22	175:15 183:11 186:12	142:10
72:19 95:16 109:7	stock 67:15 69:17 74:3	study 48:18 102:18	<b>surveys</b> 67:15 81:22
122:4 123:16,20	74:10 101:19 125:14	103:16 153:6	104:20 138:12 141:4
154:4 160:7 223:8	135:21 142:1,7,11	stuff 22:17 26:16 27:9	141:7,13 224:10
started 18:20 60:10,13	185:5 189:6 224:10	30:9,15 33:22 34:19	survive 72:10
120:1 202:22	stocks 99:11 100:3	34:22 35:7,17 36:3,5	sustainable 3:9,20
starting 6:14 10:1 41:19	101:15 103:8 172:19	43:18 50:14 63:3	10:11 88:8 95:5
105:5 106:11 122:2	175:9 179:7 189:11	107:1 160:15 218:9	swamp 215:18
123:13 163:19	220:15	218:10	swim 63:3
starts 81:11	<b>stop</b> 15:12 49:1 65:14	subcommittee 4:16,17	swimmers 160:15
state 4:7 7:4 12:16 14:8	65:15 105:10 128:10	5:5 163:20 164:5,9	swimming 160:12
40:15,17,18 43:18,19	storage 13:11 14:2	165:20 225:15 227:7	161:11
66:11,21 73:2,18 90:1	19:18 21:3,7 22:19	228:6	switch 15:11 187:2
91:21 100:9 104:16	25:15 32:2 39:4	subject 25:5 44:12 56:3	199:3 227:13
104:19 134:20 137:17	store 19:21 22:20 33:21	108:11 217:19	switches 116:11
139:18 140:17 143:17	33:21 39:5	submitted 137:10	switching 144:18
146:18 149:3,17	stored 30:15	submitting 137:7	synergistic 216:18
151:10,12 152:13	stories 214:7 220:2	substantial 55:1	217:7
153:5 160:2 189:7	story 154:17 155:12	substantial 55:1	system 16:17 29:12
190:6 200:10 201:4	176:7 180:19 193:13	success 30:3 158:16	41:4,6,9 57:21 58:16
II	ı	I	I

66:6 124:8 146:22 150:1 175:6 179:6 183:12 194:8,22 196:3 systematic 13:16 systems 10:5 26:18 28:3 40:13 41:13 83:5 132:1 Т taken 36:3 54:4 takes 130:17,19 131:12 145:4 149:12 158:5 203:13 talk 8:7,12,13 9:3,7,9,13 10:17 15:18 36:13 55:22 76:12 79:22 88:4 92:3 95:10 96:7 96:7 97:1 98:12 99:2 108:8,20 109:6 110:7 110:13 111:2,7 137:17 146:9 200:2 204:6,21 206:15 207:11 208:2 talked 42:7 71:6 85:18 122:8 138:11 154:9 205:18 207:9 talking 23:14 27:4,6 35:1 42:14 61:17 81:21 83:6 92:10 106:3 114:16 127:19 129:12 130:3 135:17 137:21 162:7,8 164:3 176:11 184:8 186:18 194:6 225:2 talks 14:6 101:18 103:6 112:6 115:5,21 126:2 129:10 183:16,18 201:7 202:11 206:10 206:17 209:21 211:5 tall 57:14 65:9 targeted 173:8 targeting 89:14 152:8 tariff 91:6 tariffs 87:4 90:10,13 task 5:12,13 110:11,22 117:11,22 122:12 166:13 199:13 tasking 132:19 tasks 117:12 tax 80:22 89:7 157:9

techniques 39:1 190:14 technological 213:4 technologies 9:5,15,20 10:9,20 11:9,16,22 13:2 20:2 21:19 56:19 85:18 125:15 technology 3:1,4 8:18 8:19 10:1 13:4 17:21 18:1 26:13 28:11 66:3 66:19 68:21 74:7,12 116:18 tee 108:16 telephone 214:17 television 151:4 tell 37:1 63:7 69:6 88:3 121:2 176:7 193:14 218:13 223:13 telling 178:8 193:15 220:1 tells 58:11 65:1 temperature 142:4 175:7,16 180:22 182:6 186:1,10,19 201:11,12 213:9 215:12,22 216:1,11 217:3 temperatures 176:16 181:2 186:15 209:5 210:3 212:13 215:18 ten 23:19 75:22 118:8 129:18 132:5 177:18 190:18 205:3 206:1 tends 218:9 tentative 15:20 term 64:19 100:13 **Terminator** 57:12 61:12 terms 9:14 11:6,11 12:6 12:21 13:1,7 22:17 23:17 28:9 34:7 42:17 44:7 47:4 48:17 53:17 56:17,22 64:14 69:19 69:21 74:16 75:2 88:16 101:21 111:4 118:17 154:7,21 179:18 197:15 226:9 Terri 1:12,14 95:6 166:10 Terrific 227:21 test 97:14 168:17 190:5 testified 98:21 **Texas** 105:2 thank 18:9,10 39:17 49:3,22 51:14 54:9 66:1 67:13 68:11,14 72:14 76:8 78:1,3,4,8

78:14 92:12 93:9

94:14,15,15 105:16

105:16 108:21 134:12

135:1 138:6 156:2,20 157:7,12 166:7 168:15,16,18,20 180:4,16,17,18 197:13 198:16,18,20 199:2 202:18 204:3 207:7,11,20 214:13 214:14,22 215:9 217:15,17 220:2,22 221:18,21 224:1 225:11,12 226:1 227:4,21 228:1,9 thanks 26:1 50:2 95:5,6 95:7 228:2 theme 97:13,15 102:2 107:1 themes 127:13 138:10 thermal 181:20 182:4,5 182:11 192:21 theses 206:21 things 5:11 8:12,14 10:19 15:2 16:1 17:20 18:4,7 19:7 24:15 26:7 29:12,16 43:12 43:20 44:14 45:7 51:2 56:2 60:2 63:18 64:16 65:13 66:20 67:8 72:16 73:22 74:19 77:2,7 93:5 97:8 100:2 106:17 111:6 111:19 113:16,20 115:7,11 120:20 125:10 129:17 133:21 138:12 141:5 142:22 143:3 154:21 161:6 163:16 166:15 169:1 172:15,17,19 175:22 180:21 186:7 191:18 194:2,6 206:19 208:22 209:18 215:15 216:3,14 217:22 218:3 **thinks** 130:8 134:3 third 23:14 34:3 171:4 187:5 thoroughfare 6:5 thought 5:8 74:12 109:20 115:22 156:4 166:18,21 191:1 197:14 226:2 thoughtful 191:10 thoughts 132:21 threatened 152:20 **threatens** 128:19 three 8:12 9:2 18:15 21:7 23:2 25:7,12 39:20 41:10 77:20 79:13 94:4 118:10

128:1 151:5 173:17 200:16 201:2 209:19 212:9 226:19 threshold 61:6 throw 160:1 throwing 130:9 ticket 138:19 tickets 193:11 tie 156:10 tied 161:18 204:10 **TIM** 3:21 timeframe 118:10 179:13 181:8,9 195:15 timeline 74:6 126:18 139:14 timelines 100:6 103:7,9 times 70:17 135:15 143:21 151:5 152:13 168:20 210:1 timing 129:5,9 211:3 timing- 165:8 timing-wise 90:4 title 104:1 today 7:17 78:20 97:1 98:17 110:8 168:22 told 11:1 36:7 tolerate 40:9 tolerated 186:19 **Tommasi** 208:22 tomorrow 92:3,11 164:15,20 165:7,10 165:13 166:2,8,9 tool 108:5 113:8 top 16:8 58:5 190:2 top-down 16:2 **TOPHER** 3:8 topic 40:3 192:12 topics 7:18 9:2 15:11 163:22 torn 210:1 total 30:3 34:14 90:9 totality 37:15 totally 51:10 touch 24:17 56:21 61:11 172:14 tough 66:16 139:3 141:19 142:12 145:6 145:12 tourism 160:15 tourist 42:20 town 80:8 87:22 88:2 tows 23:19 toxin 59:22 60:3 toxins 58:3 60:1 track 94:12 122:2 170:19 173:2,4 175:6 184:3 200:19

technical 14:14 technically 149:16

221:4

team 3:3 186:13 189:4

208:6,11,13 210:11

188:15 191:20 205:8

teams 173:20 187:11

tracking 173:6 174:13 tube 177:12 179:18 184:3 186:14 211:1 188:15 189:9 196:20 **tumble** 72:9 187:8,19 188:2 value 211:14 213:18 trajectory 62:14 tuna 39:6,6 189:12 216:21 219:1 223:14 transboundary 100:3 turn 5:3 7:20 48:8,19 222:16 226:14,16 **value-add** 88:16 103:8 99:1 166:10 227:7 understanding 12:21 valued 210:4 transcript 167:1 **TV** 177:12 41:18 75:3 80:19 variability 18:6 46:16 transcription 228:7 **TVs** 177:17 130:8 171:2 186:22 variables 185:4 186:4 transcriptomics 64:20 Twain 209:11 188:16 189:16 variety 174:10 transfer 90:13 tweet 89:5 understands 149:11 various 10:12 11:22 transferred 98:11 154:1 tweeting 80:16 undertake 82:5 25:6 47:21 107:9 transfers 90:21 twice 178:15 180:1 undervaluing 77:17 190:17 191:7 200:4 transformative 210:9 two 13:6 22:15 41:11 underway 195:4 vary 25:20 225:8 45:20 46:5 52:14 unfortunately 77:19 Vast 1:14 transformed 210:2 58:18 60:2 61:21 78:1 81:6 142:10 veins 25:6 72:20 77:20 84:8 95:7 unique 16:5 147:12 venue 79:1 transition 66:15 75:3 version 188:14 201:18 109:8 145:6,12,15 103:18 110:8 111:13 **unison** 86:13 169:5 112:1,18 118:10 **United** 53:14 versus 16:2,7 17:3 translated 162:2 University 153:5 128:3 136:17 146:11 21:17,22 22:6 25:13 transmits 59:6 148:7,21 151:1 169:1 unnecessarily 109:17 26:10 27:11 28:19 171:22 178:13 179:22 transportation 6:4 unnecessary 111:17 34:22 46:12 51:5 trapped 202:21 196:11 200:22 201:1 Unparalleled 204:5 59:18 101:20 104:16 201:7,13,15 202:16 unstable 40:17 143:14 treaty 152:6 tremendous 187:10 204:20 208:15 211:15 unusual 212:22 vertical 161:4 188:12 220:7 213:16 225:21 upcoming 89:13 **vessel** 10:5 71:10 tremendously 222:15 two-for-one 111:22 update 4:2,4,6,13 6:16 vessels 87:14 144:19 trend 147:4,9 224:16 114:6 117:17 6:18 7:11 8:10 9:6.11 Vibrio 206:19 trends 200:7 type 18:18 39:5 89:12 9:21 17:6 48:3 57:18 Vice 1:15 2:10 26:1 tri-state 73:8 176:22 61:9 78:10 94:19 95:2 27:7,14,16 tribal 60:21 **types** 92:9 95:9 164:2 168:15,22 video 13:11,13 14:1,1 trickling 74:19 typically 16:1 17:19 169:1 192:10 199:4 19:17,19,21 20:7,19 tried 120:21 226:7 updated 76:21 123:11 21:2,13 24:7 25:9 trifecta 211:5 189:2 27:8 37:8,21 39:4 U trigger 225:10 **updates** 12:6 14:18 116:21,22 181:15 triggers 120:9 **U.S** 1:1 2:1 12:17 18:11 updating 14:16 view 37:13 upper 132:20 152:19 trips 21:14,21 142:20 18:14,19 19:9,12 20:6 views 99:4 59:3 161:11,13 169:7 troll 24:11 **ups** 54:16 Vince 177:8 181:6 tropical 208:17 182:9 urge 226:22 Vince's 223:3 use 20:16 21:19 26:18 true 53:17 185:21 **U.S./Canada** 152:6 Virginia 78:21 138:20 trust 172:7 188:16 ultimately 41:21 47:22 28:22 43:9 63:21,22 150:3,6 truth 71:21 73:4 149:4 150:1 74:15 81:15 87:21 virtue 28:15 172:8 190:14 191:6 try 13:22 22:5,22 25:18 100:3 101:19 113:18 visibility 227:1 32:5 39:10 40:21 192:8 114:2,3,3 118:12 Visioning 145:18 41:10 65:18,20 68:4,6 **UNC** 208:16 131:21 143:21 149:19 visual 83:13 225:9 170:5 177:21 185:10 95:8,14 96:1,16,22 uncertain 226:17 visualizing 224:3 114:19 115:1,2 127:8 uncertainties 200:2 185:18 188:8 190:8 visually 84:3 224:11 189:18 213:5 220:20 190:12 194:22 219:16 **VMS** 10:5 uncertainty 51:12 trying 16:18 17:10,18 89:14 220:8 226:4 225:5 voiced 30:12 18:2 21:16 28:17 unclear 104:16 useful 169:14 volume 201:3,7,10,13 31:15 38:4 39:7 56:20 uncomfortable 226:12 uses 19:22 139:22 201:14,20 202:5,7,9 **USGCRP** 214:11 202:10 204:20 213:15 68:2 75:15 112:22 underfunded 36:22 116:9,14 122:10,19 usually 77:16 226:8 213:16 undergoing 29:10 124:19 126:9 127:3 underneath 22:8 62:18 utilization 74:11 volumes 201:1 132:7 135:14 151:7 utilize 74:6 194:13 volunteered 5:17 underpinnings 147:2 151:14 156:9,16 147:10 utilizing 66:3 194:14 **VTR** 144:19 145:7,8 173:14 175:13 187:8 understand 12:1 26:15 vulnerabilities 213:3 188:2 189:12 193:22 46:1 55:22 70:7 88:22 vulnerability 43:22 44:7 111:19 169:11 172:20 Valley 152:19 44:9,16 45:1,5,9,14 194:9 206:21 212:5 216:20 221:12,14,16 173:9,11 175:16 valuable 209:22,22 45:15,22 46:11,11,13

47:7,10,11,11,22 50:13 51:4 172:18 187:12,13,17 189:11 220:7,17 vulnerable 45:3,18 46:14,21 51:10 W wait 76:6 202:7 walk 109:2 118:7 wanted 5:4 6:3 11:18 22:22 23:3 26:2 50:3 69:16 106:22 109:7 116:19 147:18 156:22 163:15,16 165:22 166:7 179:2 184:4 209:12 wanting 75:4 wants 23:12 33:22 108:2 110:5 119:12 141:17 152:13 warehouse 145:22 warm 88:3 181:19 193:4 warming 45:16 142:4 178:15 179:22 180:7 182:21 183:9 202:16 203:5,20 211:6 **warmup** 40:2 warning 58:2,15 60:5 60:14 66:8 warnings 170:20 173:7 Warren 153:22 Washington 152:13 wasn't 34:13 38:5 79:2 144:1 watch 37:14 80:18 water 25:9 57:22 58:6 59:9,18 63:7,14 64:3 64:4,5,10 142:5 155:11 182:6 187:6,6 193:4 202:19 206:9 212:19 water-loving 193:2 waterfalls 159:11 waters 72:9 161:10,11 176:5 178:22 182:21 183:6,9 waver 88:8 way 23:13,16 28:10 32:13 37:6,22 39:10 47:18 48:22 51:9 54:18 58:22 59:3 62:1 64:13 75:12 77:2,13 78:19 81:6 83:14 93:21 94:9 143:10,20

200:16 226:15 ways 10:11 19:15 63:19 63:21 64:1 83:8 89:20 91:22 188:22 194:10 weakness 56:11 Weather 195:11 weather- 188:8 web 183:13 210:5 Weber 105:2 webinar 215:14 website 43:6,11 49:13 111:9 179:19 198:18 214:12 224:14 **WEDNESDAY** 1:8 weeds 92:11 week 81:18 88:2 89:4 124:1 **weekend** 76:20 weekly 156:15 weeks 22:15 97:9 201:2 201:17 welcome 227:22 well-meaning 130:6 well-versed 88:15,22 wells 40:12 went 33:5 38:3 60:11 65:15 94:21 152:22 167:6 177:1 228:11 weren't 72:17 121:6 Werner 2:19 4:2 6:15 7:10 8:1,5 15:12 16:11 17:1 20:4 22:12 25:11 27:4,10,15 28:8 29:22 32:12,22 38:12 39:17,19 49:6,15,19 50:20 52:14 55:9 66:13 67:6,17,20 68:15,18 69:21 71:11 71:15,17,22 72:2,18 74:14 77:14,19 78:3,6 west 2:16 33:13 35:14 36:1 37:4 48:13 58:19 59:3 61:20 76:15 86:4 130:18 131:18 132:11 150:19 153:18,19 154:6,16 155:4,12 157:16 158:18 162:3 187:2,4 188:1 212:20 213:10 wet 195:17 whale 150:22 151:1 161:1,14 whales 151:20,21 153:7 160:16,17 162:7 what-not 30:11 whatever's 22:2

whatnot 135:22

whites 160:12

whiting 24:9 **Wicker** 102:5 **wide** 99:19 wider 123:15 Wildlife 1:16 2:1 12:18 73.7 Willamette 152:19 159:17 window 149:15,19 wine 150:17 winners 140:6 219:5 winter 71:7 152:18,20 wintertime 72:8 wise 165:9 wish 150:16 withstanding 40:22 wonder 130:15 wondered 43:17 wonderful 84:11 194:2 wondering 15:11 16:3 196:15 215:20 word 48:11 73:18 76:4 109:12 work 14:21 20:3 26:3 30:17 35:12 53:19 69:5.11 75:20 84:17 89:8 127:16 130:1 135:8 136:9,20 137:2 137:11 139:13 140:8 141:9,15 144:11 145:9 148:6 149:10 150:13 156:16 157:10 164:14,20 165:17 166:22 172:3 174:21 175:12,14,19 181:5 184:8 185:2,17 188:3 188:12 189:12 191:1 191:2 196:11 216:19 218:14 223:3 worked 12:17 13:5 37:6 205.7 workforce 184:18 working 4:16 5:6 8:2 11:10,16,22 13:3 14:3 14:8 17:18 25:17 29:8 41:21 42:4,15 53:2,4 60:8 68:21 75:8,13 80:1 89:21 95:2 99:5 108:6,19 115:1 117:5 118:11 121:14 122:3 122:17 127:9 135:3,9 135:17 136:1,16 144:14 145:19 149:18 152:5 162:21 163:20 177:10 195:15 211:16 216:20 works 19:15,16 20:15 72:7,8 91:14 154:18

201:14 210:13 213:7 worksheet 121:21 workshop 42:15 49:5 workshops 12:11 world 2:10 50:11 84:16 worldwide 200:12 worry 147:19 worse 70:10 worth 116:5 150:21 wrap-up 164:14 wrapping 215:5 wrestle 161:14 wrestling 185:10 195:4 write 65:19 209:12,15 221:16 writing 208:13 wrong 57:9 218:10 wrote 209:13

# X **yadda** 197:20 year 8:6 11:4,6 15:1 22:20 33:16 53:5,8,20 68:6,9,10 75:22 79:13 79:17,21 80:2,4 81:13 82:9 86:21 89:13 90:14,14 94:3 97:5 98:14 106:1.2.13.14 113:18,19 118:9,10 119:18 120:3,5 127:12 133:21 136:3 136:5,9 138:13 139:13 142:8 143:2 146:15 148:7 150:21 150:21 153:8,14 169:1,16 173:16 174:2 181:12 184:1 184:10,13 186:20 187:3,5 188:21 189:5 191:14,15 195:17,18

222:4,8 226:11

years 9:19 21:5 22:17
23:2,4 25:7,7 58:18
61:21 64:6 72:15 75:6
76:7 77:20,20 79:14
81:8 90:16 118:8
119:16 120:1 132:5
135:6 140:2 142:6
154:11 181:3 182:18
190:19 196:12 198:9
199:21 200:8,15
201:15 203:1 212:17
224:9 225:4,8 226:19
years' 21:7
yellow 44:1 45:4

195:20 200:20,22

149:18 188:8 190:13

191:1 194:6,13

yesterday 51:18 80:8 88:1 89:5 122:6 136:3	83:19 84:12 85:17 86:8,21 87:6 92:14	<b>3.6</b> 153:14 <b>3:03</b> 228:11	<b>95</b> 4:6 152:22
152:17	173:21,22 191:18	<b>30</b> 76:3 90:12 152:9	
Yochem 2:10 4:18 49:3	<b>180</b> 150:21	212:2	
49:3,10,16,22 215:9	<b>19</b> 80:2	<b>300,000</b> 153:5	
216:6	<b>1960</b> 203:7	<b>31</b> 156:11 157:17	
York 129:19,20	<b>1970</b> 139:22	<b>31.5</b> 153:9	
York's 128:19 129:3	<b>1975</b> 153:6	<b>31st</b> 214:13	
Young 99:16 105:9	<b>1979</b> 139:22	<b>32</b> 154:13	
Young's 101:7	<b>1990</b> 199:19	<b>320</b> 91:6	
YouTube 38:1	<b>1993</b> 146:16	<b>37</b> 189:1	
	<b>1995</b> 223:1	<b>370,000</b> 22:19	
Z	<b>1st</b> 105:6		
zebra 155:6,9,17,18		4	
<b>Zone</b> 197:3	2	<b>4</b> 76:18 155:3	
<b>zooming</b> 217:14	<b>2</b> 167:4	<b>40</b> 92:17 93:6 140:2	
zooplankton 183:12	<b>2.1</b> 153:15	224:9 225:7	
	<b>2:01</b> 167:7 168:2	<b>420</b> 161:2	
0	<b>2:45</b> 163:19	<b>45</b> 95:13	
1	<b>20</b> 93:10 136:5 190:19	<b>45-minute</b> 134:10	
	192:22 193:7 198:9	<b>450</b> 223:11,12	
<b>1.3</b> 203:9	222:22 225:7 <b>200</b> 99:15 226:10	5	
<b>1.5</b> 35:11 83:1 <b>1.6</b> 85:1	<b>200</b> ,000 33:15,18 84:22	<b>5</b> 172:21	
<b>10,006</b> 153:4	<b>200,000</b> 33.13,18 84.22 <b>2000</b> 200:17	<b>5.7</b> 85:10	
<b>10.2</b> 87:2	<b>2005</b> 76:14 171:16	<b>50</b> 224:9 225:4	
<b>10.2</b> 87.2 <b>10.5</b> 86:10	<b>2006</b> 171:16	500k 136:18	
<b>10:18</b> 94:21	<b>2009</b> 200:17	<b>54</b> 82:15,16,20	
<b>10:30</b> 94:17,18	<b>2011</b> 60:10	<b>56</b> 154:16	
<b>10:33</b> 94:22	<b>2012</b> 212:19 213:10	00 10 1.10	
<b>100</b> 35:17 38:22 109:13	<b>2013</b> 10:2 29:6	6	
122:8,14 177:14,15	<b>2014</b> 10:15 29:6 200:17	<b>6</b> 5:12,14 92:13 166:13	
200:8 201:15 203:1	205:11,16 207:16	<b>60</b> 225:7	
<b>11</b> 189:2	208:5 212:20 213:11	<b>610</b> 119:15	
<b>11:49</b> 167:6	<b>2015</b> 14:17 48:8 60:12	<b>62</b> 105:5	
<b>12</b> 24:10	169:19 191:9	<b>65</b> 86:18	
<b>120</b> 159:6	<b>2016</b> 60:13 109:9		
<b>120,000</b> 142:8	<b>2017</b> 1:8 12:15 187:3	7	
<b>12866</b> 112:6	224:22	<b>7</b> 11:2 126:13	
<b>13</b> 43:9	<b>2017-2018</b> 105:4	<b>70</b> 84:13	
<b>135</b> 4:9 90:17 91:2	<b>2018</b> 14:16 136:9,12,20	<b>78</b> 4:4 150:22	
<b>13771</b> 110:9,21 111:11	138:3,13 139:11		
<b>13777</b> 110:11 117:11	140:19 200:22	8	
123:22	<b>2019</b> 139:14	8 4:2	
<b>13783</b> 125:19	<b>2020</b> 154:4	8:30 1:11	
<b>138</b> 4:10	<b>2100</b> 203:10	<b>8:37</b> 5:2	
<b>145</b> 90:17 91:2	<b>215</b> 4:18	<b>80</b> 58:7 181:3 187:14	
<b>15</b> 160:17 161:2 212:20	<b>228</b> 4:20	219:4 226:11	
<b>150</b> 4:11 24:11 90:14 91:1	<b>24</b> 43:11 <b>240</b> 58:8	<b>80-year</b> 176:17 179:13 179:15 180:12 182:10	
<b>16</b> 212:21	<b>25</b> 104:12 126:10	<b>8777</b> 1:11	
<b>160</b> 121:4	182:18 200:7	8th 80:7	
<b>168</b> 4:14	<b>29</b> 1:8	<b>J</b> G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G G	
<b>17</b> 60:13 79:17 81:14	20 1.0	9	
83:17 84:4,21,22 85:1	3	<b>9:30</b> 6:16	
85:4,6,9,11 86:6,15	<b>3</b> 11:5 36:2,6,21	<b>90</b> 5:8	
86:18 173:18	<b>3,800</b> 43:10	<b>90s</b> 222:21	
<b>18</b> 79:22 80:4 82:9	<b>3.5</b> 85:21	<b>93</b> 202:20	
	1		I

# <u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: Marine Fisheries Advisory Committee

Before: DOC/NOAA

Date: 11-29-17

Place: Silver Spring, MD

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Court Reporter

Mac Nous &