


ANNUAL REPORT:  
PINNIPED MONITORING AT WILLAMETTE FALLS, 2022-2023

October 25, 2023



*California sea lion hauled out below the Sullivan Hydroelectric Plant at Willamette Falls (credit: C. Owen, ODFW)*



Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife

Project staff:

Bryan Wright, Mike Brown, Clifford Owen  
Shay Valentine, Zane Kroneberger, Eric Nass

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS..... i

LIST OF FIGURES ..... ii

LIST OF TABLES..... iii

LIST OF APPENDICES..... iv

INTRODUCTION ..... 1

METHODS ..... 2

*Study area* ..... 2

*Pinniped species accounts* ..... 2

*Fish species accounts*..... 3

*Pinniped counts*..... 3

*Pinniped predation estimation*..... 4

*Additional activities* ..... 6

RESULTS ..... 6

*River conditions* ..... 6

*Salmonid fishway passage* ..... 6

*Pinniped counts*..... 6

*Predation*..... 7

DISCUSSION..... 7

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS ..... 9

LITERATURE CITED..... 10

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1. Illustration of the spatial component of the sampling frame for 2016-2023. Sites 1-6 ("Falls" stratum) were each approximately 0.9-ha in area.....	12
Figure 2. Illustration of the spatial (left) and temporal (right) coverage of the sampling frame by season. Red shaded areas depict time and area included in the sampling frame; dark black lines on the graph at right indicate sunrise and sunset, adjusted for daylight savings.....	13
Figure 3. Height (a) and temperature (b) of the Willamette River upstream of Willamette Falls by year.....	14
Figure 4. Daily fish counts at Willamette Falls by run and year. Vertical lines indicate study start and end dates; final escapement over falls is inset upper left of each graph. *Summer steelhead escapement through 9/17/2023. ....	15
Figure 5. Daily passage composition over Willamette Falls by year. Dashed lines indicate study dates. ....	16
Figure 6. Weekly counts of California sea lions (CSL) and Steller sea lions (SSL) in the Willamette Falls study area, 2017-2023. Numbers translocated or euthanized are summed over the week; numbers observed are the minimum number of unique individuals observed for that week and may include animals translocated or euthanized. See text for details. ....	17
Figure 7. Individual sighting locations (map at left) and total counts (table at right) for California sea lions (CSL) and Steller sea lions (SSL) observed during vessel-based surveys of the Willamette River beginning at Willamette Falls in Oregon City and proceeding downriver to the location noted in table. ....	18

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1. Summary of all predation events observed at Willamette Falls which includes events from anecdotal observations outside the sampling frame as well as events seen during probability-based sampling assignments. Annual totals are not directly compared except for 2017-2020 due to changes in sampling frame and observation effort between years; the sampling frame in 2021-2023 was comparable to the frame in 2017-2020 but observation effort was reduced by approximately one-half..... 19

Table 2. Estimated predation by California sea lions and Steller sea lions at Willamette Falls based on the probability sampling design. Annual totals are only directly comparable for 2017-2023 due to changes in the sampling frame during the first three years of the project. Estimates only apply to the sampling frames and therefore are minimum estimates due to undercoverage of the target population. In some cases, estimates may be less than Table 1 because Table 1 includes anecdotal data from outside sampling frame. .... 20

Table 3. Estimated run-specific salmonid predation by California sea lions at Willamette Falls based on Monte Carlo modelling of the probability sampling design. Annual totals are only directly comparable for 2017-2023 due to changes in the sampling frame during the first three years of the project. Estimates only apply to the sampling frames and therefore are minimum estimates due to undercoverage of the target population. Percent potential escapement (%PE) = estimate / (estimate + escapement) x 100..... 21

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix A. Sampling design metadata describing the Willamette Falls sea lion monitoring program, 2014-2023..... 22

## INTRODUCTION

The Marine Mammal Protection Act (MMPA) of 1972 provides federal protection to all marine mammal species in U.S. waters. As one result of this legislation, the U.S. stock of California sea lions (*Zalophus californianus*) and the eastern stock of Steller sea lions (*Eumatopias jubatus*) have increased to the point that they are now likely within their Optimum Sustainable Population ranges (Caretta et al. 2021, Muto et al 2021). Over this same period, many salmon and steelhead (*Oncorhynchus* spp.) populations in the Pacific Northwest experienced significant declines in their abundance and were subsequently listed as threatened or endangered under the Endangered Species Act (ESA). While pinniped predation was not the ultimate cause of these declines, in areas where salmonid abundance is low and pinniped numbers are high, increased predation can result in significant negative impacts to the survival and recovery of individual salmonid populations.

One such area of relatively low salmonid and high pinniped abundance is Willamette Falls on the Willamette River, approximately 206 km (128 mi) upriver from the Pacific Ocean. While the first known record of a California sea lion at Willamette Falls was of a single animal in the 1950s (Beach et al. 1985), it wasn't until the mid-1990s there were frequent observations of California sea lions foraging there for winter steelhead and spring Chinook salmon attempting to pass the Falls (Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife [ODFW], unpublished data). Concerned that Willamette Falls would become another "Ballard Locks"—a site in Washington where California sea lions effectively extirpated a run of steelhead (*O. mykiss*) (Fraker and Mate 1999)—ODFW began a predation monitoring program at Willamette Falls in 1995, as well as a California sea lion marking program at Astoria in 1997 to identify and track California sea lions in the Columbia River Basin (Brown et. al 2020).

Intermittent predation monitoring at the falls by ODFW occurred from 1995-2003, after which the agency's limited resources were shifted to Bonneville Dam on the Columbia River where California sea lion predation on salmonids also began increasing (e.g., Keefer et al. 2012, Tidwell et al. 2021). Attention soon returned to Willamette Falls, however, as winter steelhead passage decreased, and sea lion activity increased. This led ODFW to conduct non-lethal hazing at Willamette Falls in 2010, 2011, and 2013 in an attempt to deter sea lions from consuming threatened winter steelhead near the fish ladder entrances. However, as has been seen elsewhere (e.g., see review in Scordino 2010), non-lethal deterrents had only limited and short-term effects as pinnipeds eventually adapted to them.

Hazing was discontinued after 2013 in order to shift limited resources to a rigorous monitoring effort (e.g., see Wright et al. 2022). That effort showed that California sea lion abundance had increased from the late 1990s and early 2000s and that California sea lion predation had become particularly acute for threatened winter steelhead populations (e.g., see Appendix 2 of ODFW et al. 2019). In addition, Steller sea lions also began showing notable increases in abundance and residency starting in 2017. Based on the results of this monitoring, the state of Oregon requested lethal removal authority for California sea lions under Section 120 of the MMPA, which was subsequently granted on November 14, 2018 (NMFS 2018) and later expanded to include Steller sea lions on August 25, 2020 (NMFS 2020). This report summarizes the tenth consecutive year

of pinniped monitoring at Willamette Falls and partially fulfills reporting requirements under our MMPA management authorities.

## METHODS

### *Study area*

The study area was located from Willamette Falls on the Willamette River downstream to the mouth of the Clackamas River, although formal observations were only conducted in the immediate vicinity of the falls (sites 1-6, Figure 1). The falls are located approximately 42 km (26 mi) upriver from the confluence with the Columbia River and approximately 206 km (128 mi) from the ocean. It is the second largest waterfall in the United States by volume after Niagara Falls (ECONorthwest 2014).

### *Pinniped species accounts*

Three pinniped species have been known to occur seasonally at Willamette Falls: California sea lions, Steller sea lions, and Pacific harbor seals (*Phoca vitulina*).

California sea lions—California sea lions are currently the most common and abundant pinniped observed at Willamette Falls, although their numbers and duration of occurrence have been declining due to the success of recent management actions. California sea lions in Oregon belong to the U.S. stock for which the most recent (2014) estimate was approximately 257,606 animals (minimum population size estimate = 233,515 individuals) (Laake et al. 2018, Carretta et al. 2021). The stock is not listed as "endangered" or "threatened" under the ESA, nor as "depleted" or "strategic" under the MMPA (Carretta et al. 2021). California sea lions in the Pacific Northwest are seasonal migrants that begin arriving in Oregon in late July and have mostly departed by late June on their way back and forth from the breeding grounds in southern California and Mexico (Wright et al. 2010, Elorriaga-Verplancken et al. 2014, Brown et al. 2020). This seasonal population is comprised primarily of  $\geq 3$ -year-old males (Mate 1975, Maniscalco et al. 2004, ODFW unpublished data), recently estimated to number approximately 50,000-75,000 animals (Laake et al. 2018).

Steller sea lions—Steller sea lions have been observed sporadically at Willamette Falls over the last decade, albeit more consistently and in increasing numbers in recent years. Steller sea lions in Oregon belong to the eastern Distinct Population Segment (DPS). Not accounting for animals at sea, the most recent (2017) estimate of the eastern DPS was 18,450 (95% credible interval of 15,030-22,253) pups and 58,699 (95% credible interval of 50,312-68,052) non-pups (Muto et al. 2021); these estimates cannot be used to represent a total population abundance estimate, however, as they do not account for animals at sea. The stock is not listed as "endangered" or "threatened" under the ESA, nor as "depleted" or "strategic" under the MMPA (Muto et al. 2021).

Harbor seals—Harbor seals, while common and abundant throughout coastal Oregon, are relatively rare and inconspicuous visitors to upriver sites such as Willamette Falls. Harbor seals in Oregon belong to the Oregon/Washington coastal stock. The most recent (1999) estimate of

the total stock was 24,732 animals (Carretta et al. 2021). However, since this estimate is out of date the current population abundance and trend for this stock is unknown. The stock is not listed as "endangered" or "threatened" under the ESA nor as "depleted" or "strategic" under the MMPA (Carretta et al. 2021).

### *Fish species accounts*

Fish species primarily preyed upon by pinnipeds at Willamette Falls are winter and summer steelhead, marked (hatchery) and unmarked (wild) spring Chinook salmon (*O. tshawytscha*), Pacific lamprey (*Entosphenus tridentatus*), and white sturgeon (*Acipenser transmontanus*). All these species are of conservation or management concern, and two—winter steelhead and wild spring Chinook salmon—are listed as "threatened" under the ESA.

Winter and summer steelhead—All naturally produced winter-run steelhead populations in the Willamette River and its tributaries above Willamette Falls to the Calapooia River are part of the ESA-listed Upper Willamette River (UWR) steelhead DPS (National Marine Fisheries Service [NMFS] 2016). These fish pass Willamette Falls from November through May, co-occurring to some extent with introduced marked summer steelhead that pass the falls from March through October. While there is no directed fishery for winter-run steelhead in the upper Willamette River, hatchery-origin summer steelhead are not ESA-listed and support popular recreational fisheries in the Santiam, McKenzie and Middle Willamette subbasins.

Spring Chinook salmon—All naturally produced populations of spring Chinook salmon in the Clackamas River and in the Willamette Basin upstream of Willamette Falls are part of the ESA-listed UWR Chinook salmon Evolutionary Significant Unit (ESU) (NMFS 2016). These fish pass Willamette Falls from about April to August and co-occur with a more abundant run of hatchery-origin spring Chinook salmon. Hatchery-produced spring Chinook salmon support economically and culturally important fisheries in the lower Columbia and Willamette rivers, part of which takes place in the study area below Willamette Falls.

Migrating salmonids pass Willamette Falls by entering one of four entrances to three fishways through the falls. Video cameras and timelapse video recorders are used to record fish passage, which is later reviewed to produce passage counts. Salmonid species are partitioned by run (e.g., winter/summer, unmarked/marked) based on passage date and the presence or absence of a hatchery fin clip.

### *Pinniped counts*

We estimated pinniped abundance in the study area based on a combination of direct observations as well as imagery from automated time-lapse cameras at the Sportcraft Landing haulout area (Figure 1). Counts at Willamette Falls (i.e., sites 1-6, Figure 1) were conducted during weekday, daytime observation shifts whereas camera counts were based on hourly images of the trap decks taken 24 hrs a day, 7 days a week. Both types of counts were then added together when appropriate to obtain a maximum count for that calendar day which was then used as an index of minimum abundance. Alternatively, if the tally of individual animals observed over a given calendar day was greater than the maximum count, then that number was used for



that day. For the fall and early winter period before formal observations began we only used camera counts and anecdotal observations to obtain daily maximum counts. The maximum daily count for a given week was then used as an index of the minimum number of individuals present in the study area for that week.

In addition to conducting pinniped counts immediately below Willamette Falls, we also conducted periodic boat-based surveys of the Willamette River in order to determine how much pinniped activity we might be potentially missing below the formal study area. Surveys were typically conducted in a single 24-ft closed cabin boat travelling downstream at approximately 5 knots with a minimum of two staff per survey. Surveys began in Oregon City below Willamette Falls and proceeded downriver, typically to the confluence with the Columbia River (42 km; 26 mi). Staff recorded the number, behavior, and location of each species of pinnipeds observed, which were also photographed when possible. Observations were generally only recorded while traveling downriver since the upriver return trip was made at higher speeds.

### *Pinniped predation estimation*

While pinnipeds can consume small prey underwater, they usually must surface to manipulate and consume larger prey such as an adult salmonid (Roffe and Mate 1984). We utilized this aspect of their foraging behavior (i.e., surface-feeding), in conjunction with statistical sampling methods (e.g., Lohr 1999, Hankin et al. 2019) to estimate the total number of adult salmonids consumed by sea lions over a spatio-temporal sampling frame. From 2014-2020 we estimated total surface predation of adult salmonids based on a (pseudo) probability sample generated from a three-stage cluster sampling design, with repeated systematic samples at each stage (e.g., Wright et al. 2020). In 2021, in order to mitigate COVID-19 risks, and in anticipation of decreased sea lion activity, we experimented with a new sampling design based on spatially balanced sampling methods, specifically Halton iterative partitioning (HIP) (Robertson et al. 2018, Hankin et al. 2019). After 2021 we resumed using the three-stage cluster sampling design.

The variable of interest was the initiation of a surface-feeding event whereby a sea lion was observed to surface and begin prey consumption within a given spatio-temporal observation unit. Since prey handling and consumption for some prey species such as large sturgeon can last over an hour and drift over a kilometer downstream, we only formally recorded events that included observations of the initial surfacing immediately after prey capture; all other events were treated as anecdotal. We included both predation on free swimming fish as well as depredation of hooked fish in the recreational fishery (collectively referred to as "predation" hereafter unless specifically noted). We assumed that the probability of detecting an event, given that it occurred, was one. Surface-feeding observations were conducted from shore by visually scanning a given area with unaided vision and/or binoculars. For each event, trained observers recorded the time, site, sea lion species, prey species, and whether the fish may have been taken from an angler. If prey appeared to escape without mortal wounds then the event was noted but not included in the tally used for estimation.

Observers followed a schedule of when and where to observe based on a probability sample generated from a three-stage cluster sampling design, with repeated systematic samples at each stage (see Figures 1 and 2, and Appendix A, for descriptions of the design; see Lohr 1999 for

background on sampling; see Wright et al. 2007 for implementation of this design elsewhere). The first stage or primary sampling units (PSUs) were "days of the week" (i.e., Sunday, Monday, etc.). The second stage or secondary sampling units (SSUs) were "site-shifts" within a day of the week (e.g., 0700-1530 at specified site(s)). The third stage or tertiary sampling units (TSUs) were 30-min observation bouts within a site-shift (i.e., three out of every four 30-min periods at a given site). Due to constraints imposed by work schedules (e.g., lunch breaks, days off), some deviations from a truly randomized design were unavoidable. However, since there is no reason to believe that sea lion foraging behavior should vary systematically with observer breaks or weekends/holidays, then imposing some restrictions on randomization is unlikely to introduce bias into estimation.

The spatial component of the sampling frame consisted of six sites in a single stratum (Figure 1). This is identical to the 2016-2019 studies but in contrast to the 2014-2015 studies that had sites spread over two strata (Figure 2). Sites 1-6 were each approximately 0.9 ha in area and occurred immediately below the falls where predation activity is typically greatest. The temporal component of the sampling frame consisted of a subset of daylight hours, ranging from 0800-1630 PST (8.5 hours) in January to 0600-1900 PDT (13 hours) in May (Figure 2).

There were 1,289 half-hour observation units (i.e., elements) in the sample out of a sampling frame of 19,494 units, resulting in an element-wise sampling fraction of 6.7%; the cluster-wise sampling fraction was also 6.7% (120 clusters out of 1792; see Appendix A). The sampling weight was 14.93, meaning that each observed predation event represented itself and 13.93 additional unobserved events. Based on previous pilot testing of the design against simulated data it was anticipated that the total salmonid predation estimate would have a coefficient of variation (CV) of 10% or less (estimates with CVs over 33% are generally considered unreliable). Missing elements (e.g., due to holidays, missed assignments, etc.) were assumed to be missing-completely-at-random but were imputed as zeros, which likely contributed to small negative bias in the predation estimates.

Observed salmonid predation events were assigned to a run (i.e., summer/winter steelhead, unmarked/marked spring Chinook salmon) based on a combination of field observations, fishway window counts, and Monte Carlo methods. We did this using a two-step approach. In the first step, we either used observer identification of salmonids to species (if available), or we treated all salmonid as unknown regardless of whether they may have been identified in the field to species. In the second step, we assumed prey consumption was proportional to the run composition derived from window counts which we computed by pooling counts over 1, 7, or 14 days subsequent to an observed event (see Keefer et al. 2004).

As an example, if a steelhead was killed on Monday and the window count composition for steelhead on Tuesday was 50% winter steelhead and 50% summer steelhead, then the observed kill would be assigned to a run based on a metaphorical coin toss. For the case of "unknown" salmonids, if a salmonid was killed on Monday and the window count composition on Tuesday was 90% winter steelhead, 5% summer steelhead, 4% marked spring Chinook salmon, and 1% unmarked spring Chinook salmon, then the observed kill would be assigned to a run based on a metaphorical toss of a 100-sided die where 90 sides were winter steelhead, 5 were summer steelhead, etc.

Each of the six models was run for 1000 iterations and means were computed for run-specific total predation and associated measures of uncertainty. Predation relative to potential escapement was calculated as the estimated predation total divided by the sum of escapement and estimated predation.

#### *Additional activities*

The predation monitoring design in 2023 was implemented using a single, full-time staff member who was assisted when needed by additional staff. Due to the nature of random sampling, as well as limits on how long one can sustain intense concentration, not all hours of every day were devoted to conducting sample-based observations. Any time not needed for sample-based observations was used for administrative tasks, conducting anecdotal predation observations, haul-out counts, and photographing pinnipeds to identify individual animals.

## RESULTS

#### *River conditions*

River height and temperature near Willamette Falls are summarized in Figure 3. The most notable hydrologic events during the study included below-average water levels in February and March as well as low water temperatures from February through April.

#### *Salmonid fishway passage*

Salmonid passage and run composition over Willamette Falls are summarized in Figures 4 and 5, respectively. Passage of ESA-listed winter steelhead was the third lowest since the study began in 2014 whereas ESA-listed spring Chinook salmon was the third highest. Passage of summer steelhead and marked spring Chinook salmon were the lowest and second lowest for the 10-year period, respectively.

#### *Pinniped counts*

Pinniped counts based on automated cameras and incidental observations by staff at the Sportcraft haulout area began July 2022 before sea lions migrated into the study area and continued through June 2023 when sea lions had migrated out of the study area. Counts based on formal observations at Willamette Falls began in January 2023 and continued through May 2023. Boat-based river surveys began late September 2022 and continued through May 2023.

California sea lions—There were no known occurrences of California sea lions in the study area during the last half of 2022 (Figure 6). The first California sea lion sighting occurred on March 27, 2023, and was followed by continuous observations of at least 1-6 individuals from April 11-May 23. The maximum number of animals observed on one day was six which occurred on May 12; the last sighting in the study area occurred on May 23. Boat surveys of the Willamette River from the falls to the confluence with the Columbia River showed a similar phenology and relative abundance (Figure 7). Only one individually identifiable California sea lions was

documented at Willamette Falls in 2023: X834. Originally marked in Astoria on April 3, 2017, this animal had never been observed at the falls previously and was thus a new recruit. This animal was later found dead in southern Oregon on August 17.

Steller sea lions— There were no known occurrences of Steller sea lions in the study area during the last half of 2022 (Figure 6). The first individual to be sighted at the falls was on January 5, 2023, followed by an intermittent sighting of 1-2 animals throughout January. Steller sea lions were then absent from the falls during February followed again by sightings of 1-2 animals from March 2 through May 2. Boat surveys of the Willamette River from the falls to the confluence with the Columbia River showed substantially greater abundance downriver in late 2022 followed by decreasing abundance throughout the first half of 203 (Figure 7). Two identifiable Steller sea lions were observed at the falls this season: one branded animal (O43) and one animal with an identifiable scar, both of which had been observed at the falls in previous years.

### *Predation*

California sea lions—A total of 138 predation events by California sea lions were documented during the 2023 field season (Table 1). This includes predation events seen at pre-assigned, probability-based sample units, as well as all anecdotal observations. Salmonids were the most frequently observed prey item (70%), followed by lamprey (26%), and other or unknown prey (4%). Based on the subset of these observations that occurred during probability sampling, we estimated that a total of 403 salmonids were consumed by California sea lions across the sampling frame (Table 2). Partitioning this total to run based on Monte Carlo modeling, we estimated that California sea lions consumed 18 winter steelhead (0.9% of potential escapement), 10 summer steelhead (0.9% of potential escapement), 138 unmarked spring Chinook salmon (1.9% of potential escapement above falls), and 237 marked spring Chinook salmon (1.4% of potential escapement) (Table 3).

Steller sea lions—Observers documented 41 predation events by Steller sea lions during the 2023 field season (Table 1). This includes predation events seen at pre-assigned, probability-based sample units, as well as all anecdotal observations. Salmonids were the most frequently observed prey item (42%), followed by sturgeon (27%), other or unknown prey (24%), and lamprey (7%). Based on the subset of these observations that occurred during probability sampling, we estimated that a total of 119 salmonids were consumed by Steller sea lions across the sampling frame (Table 2). This estimate was highly uncertain, however, due to the low number of observed events in the frame and we therefore did not further partition the total into run-specific estimates.

## DISCUSSION

The predation estimates presented in this report (i.e., Tables 2 and 3) are based solely on probability samples and do not include anecdotal observations. The 95% confidence intervals reflect the sampling error in the estimates, which arises from taking a sample rather than a census of the population. A different sample would have produced a different estimate and confidence interval, but 95 times out of 100 the procedure will correctly capture the true population total within the interval. Non-sampling errors, however, are often a greater source of uncertainty than

sampling errors. In this study, the non-sampling error of greatest concern is likely that of undercoverage (see Figure 2).

As in previous years, spatial and temporal undercoverage in our sampling frame likely resulted in our estimates of predation being biased low. Spatial undercoverage occurred because we only sample the "falls" strata whereas we know predation occurs in the "river" strata and, in some years, the nearby Clackamas River. Temporal undercoverage also occurred because, as in prior years, some sea lions likely foraged outside of our daily sampling times (i.e., before sunrise and after 7 p.m.).

Despite the undercoverage issues noted above, and setbacks due to COVID-19 in 2020, it continues to be clear that sea lion removals have resulted in substantial and sustained decreases in predator abundance (Figure 6) and associated predation compared to pre-management years, particularly for listed winter steelhead (Table 3). However, 2023 marks the first season since management authority was granted that we have not been able to remove any sea lions. The main obstacle to removing the remaining sea lions at Willamette Falls continues to be their lack of use of the traps. The two most likely reasons for this are 1) increased disturbance in the trapping area from construction work on the Abernethy Bridge and 2) the dwindling number of sea lions that use the trap site as a haulout area.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to acknowledge and thank the following people and organizations for their help and assistance during the 2022-2023 field season:

- ODFW: Debbie Ames, Eric Ollerenshaw
- Confederated Tribes of the Grande Ronde: Kelly Dirksen
- NMFS: Robert Anderson
- Oregon State Police
- Clackamas County Sheriff Marine Unit
- Sportcraft Landing Moorages

Funding was provided by ODFW and NMFS. Activities were authorized under MMPA §109(h) and §120.

## LITERATURE CITED

- Beach, R. J., A. C. Geiger, S. J. Jeffries, S. D. Treacy, and B. L. Troutman. 1985. Marine mammals and their interactions with fisheries of the Columbia River and adjacent waters, 1980-1982. NMFS-AFSC Processed Report 8504.
- Brown, M., et al. 2021. Annual report: pinniped management at Willamette Falls, 2020-2021. Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife.
- Brown, R. F., B. E. Wright, S. Jeffries, and M. J. Tennis. 2020. California sea lion (*Zalophus californianus*) monitoring in the lower Columbia River, 1997-2018. *Northwestern Naturalist* 101:92-103.
- Carretta, J. V., et al. 2021. U.S. Pacific Marine Mammal Stock Assessments: 2020. U.S. Department of Commerce, NOAA Technical Memorandum. NOAA-TM-NMFS-SWFSC-646.
- ECONorthwest. 2014. Willamette Locks economic potential report. Portland, OR. [http://www.econw.com/media/ap\\_files/V3.LocksReport.pdf](http://www.econw.com/media/ap_files/V3.LocksReport.pdf) (last accessed 2016-09-08).
- Elorriaga-Verplancken, F. R., M. T. Tennis, and R. F. Brown. 2014. Unprecedented resighting in Mexico of a male California Sea Lion (*Zalophus californianus*) from Oregon during the 2014 breeding season. *Aquatic Mammals* 40:364-367.
- Fraker, M. A., and B. R. Mate. 1999. Seals, sea lions, and salmon in the Pacific Northwest. Pages 156-178 in J. R. Twiss Jr. and R. R. Reeves, editors. Conservation and management of marine mammals. Smithsonian Institution Press, Washington, D.C., USA.
- Hankin D. G., M. S. Mohr, and K. B. Newman. 2019. Sampling theory for the ecological and natural resource sciences. Oxford University Press, United Kingdom. 343 pgs.
- Hankin D. G., M. S. Mohr, and K. B. Newman. 2020. Spatially balanced sampling: R scripts. <https://global.oup.com/booksites/content/9780198815808/supplementary/> [last accessed 2021-08-04].
- Keefer, M. L., C. A. Peery, T. C. Bjorn, M. A. Jepson, and L. C. Stuehrenberg. 2004. Hydrosystem, dam, and reservoir passage rates of adult Chinook salmon and steelhead in the Columbia and Snake Rivers. *Transactions of the American Fisheries Society*, 133:1413-1439.
- Keefer, M. L., R. J. Stansell, S. C. Tackley, W. T. Nagy, K. M. Gibbons, C. A. Peery, C. C. Caudill. 2012. Use of Radiotelemetry and Direct Observations to Evaluate Sea Lion Predation on Adult Pacific Salmonids at Bonneville Dam. *Transactions of the American Fisheries Society* 141:1236-1251.
- Laake, J.L., M.S. Lowry, R.L. DeLong, S.R. Melin, and J.V. Carretta. 2018. Population growth and status of California sea lions. *Journal of Wildlife Management* 82:583-595.
- Lohr, S. 1999. Sampling: Design and Analysis, Duxbury.
- Maniscalco J. M, K. Wynne, K. W. Pitcher, M. B. Hanson, S. R. Melin, and S. Atkinson. 2004. The occurrence of California sea lions (*Zalophus californianus*) in Alaska. *Aquatic Mammals* 30:427-433.
- Mate B. R. 1975. Annual migrations of the sea lions *Eumetopias jubatus* and *Zalophus californianus* along the Oregon coast. *Rapports et Procès-Verbaux des Réunions* 169:455-461.
- Muto, M. M., et al. 2021. Alaska marine mammal stock assessments, 2020. U.S. Department of Commerce, NOAA Technical Memorandum. NMFS-AFSC-421.

- National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS). 2016. 2016 5-year review: summary and evaluation of upper Willamette River steelhead, upper Willamette River Chinook. [http://www.westcoast.fisheries.noaa.gov/publications/status\\_reviews/salmon\\_steelhead/2016/2016\\_upper-willamette.pdf](http://www.westcoast.fisheries.noaa.gov/publications/status_reviews/salmon_steelhead/2016/2016_upper-willamette.pdf) (last accessed 2016-09-15).
- National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS). 2018. Marine Mammals; Pinniped Removal Authority; Approval of Application. Federal Register 83:60825.
- National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS). 2020. Marine Mammals; Pinniped Removal Authority; Approval of Application. Federal Register 85:52330-52332.
- Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife (ODFW) et al. 2019. Request for Marine Mammal Protection Act Section 120 authorization to lethally remove California and Steller sea lions from a section of the mainstem Columbia River and its tributaries that contain spawning habitat for listed salmon and steelhead. 93 pp.
- Robertson B., T. McDonald, C. Price, and J. Brown. 2018. Halton iterative portioning: spatially balanced sampling via partitioning. *Environmental and Ecological Statistics* 25:305–323.
- Roffe, T. J., and B. R. Mate. 1984. Abundances and feeding habits of pinnipeds in the Rogue River, Oregon. *Journal of Wildlife Management* 48:1262-1274.
- Scordino, J. 2010. West coast pinniped program investigations on California sea lion and Pacific harbor seal impacts on salmonids and other fishery resources. Pacific States Marine Fisheries Commission. 205 SE Spokane Street, Suite 100, Portland, OR 97202.
- Tidwell, K.S. and B.K. van der Leeuw, 2021. Evaluation of pinniped predation on adult salmonids and other fish in the Bonneville Dam tailrace, 2020. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Portland District Fisheries Field Unit. Cascade Locks, OR.
- Wright, B. E., M. J. Tennis, and R. F. Brown. 2010. Movements of male California sea lions captured in the Columbia River. *Northwest Science* 84:60–72.
- Wright, B. E., et al. 2022. Annual report: pinniped monitoring at Willamette Falls, 2021-2022. Oregon Department of Fish and Wildlife, Corvallis, Oregon.






Figure 1. Illustration of the spatial component of the sampling frame for 2016-2023. Sites 1-6 ("Falls" stratum) were each approximately 0.9-ha in area.




Figure 2. Illustration of the spatial (left) and temporal (right) coverage of the sampling frame by season. Red shaded areas depict time and area included in the sampling frame; dark black lines on the graph at right indicate sunrise and sunset, adjusted for daylight savings.




Figure 3. Height (a) and temperature (b) of the Willamette River upstream of Willamette Falls by year.




Figure 4. Daily fish counts at Willamette Falls by run and year. Vertical lines indicate study start and end dates; final escapement over falls is inset upper left of each graph. \*Summer steelhead escapement through 9/17/2023.






Figure 5. Daily passage composition over Willamette Falls by year. Dashed lines indicate study dates.




Figure 6. Weekly counts of California sea lions (CSL) and Steller sea lions (SSL) in the Willamette Falls study area, 2017-2023. Numbers translocated or euthanized are summed over the week; numbers observed are the minimum number of unique individuals observed for that week and may include animals translocated or euthanized. See text for details.




Figure 7. Individual sighting locations (map at left) and total counts (table at right) for California sea lions (CSL) and Steller sea lions (SSL) observed during vessel-based surveys of the Willamette River beginning at Willamette Falls in Oregon City and proceeding downriver to the location noted in table.

Table 1. Summary of all predation events observed at Willamette Falls which includes events from anecdotal observations outside the sampling frame as well as events seen during probability-based sampling assignments. Annual totals are not directly compared except for 2017-2020 due to changes in sampling frame and observation effort between years; the sampling frame in 2021-2023 was comparable to the frame in 2017-2020 but observation effort was reduced by approximately one-half.

Year	Observed California sea lion predation Total events (% by prey type within year)					Observed Steller sea lion predation Total events (% by prey type within year)				
	Salmonids	Lamprey	Sturgeon	Other/ unknown	Total	Salmonids	Lamprey	Sturgeon	Other/ unknown	Total
2014	959 (86.7%)	126 (11.4%)	3 (0.3%)	18 (1.6%)	1106	1 (25.0%)	0 (0%)	3 (75.0%)	0 (0%)	4
2015	1167 (85.3%)	175 (12.8%)	2 (0.1%)	24 (1.8%)	1368	2 (14.3%)	0 (0%)	12 (85.7%)	0 (0%)	14
2016	1001 (83.8%)	182 (15.2%)	0 (0%)	11 (0.9%)	1194	9 (52.9%)	0 (0%)	8 (47.1%)	0 (0%)	17
2017	753 (82.7%)	145 (15.9%)	0 (0%)	12 (1.3%)	910	1 (1.3%)	0 (0%)	69 (92.0%)	5 (6.7%)	75
2018	749 (86.3%)	108 (12.4%)	0 (0%)	11 (1.3%)	868	19 (18.3%)	4 (3.8%)	79 (76.0%)	2 (1.9%)	104
2019	250 (75.3%)	70 (21.1%)	0 (0%)	12 (3.6%)	332	25 (17.7%)	11 (7.8%)	98 (69.5%)	7 (5.0%)	141
2020	166 (81.0%)	32 (15.6%)	0 (0%)	7 (3.4%)	205	14 (25.9%)	0 (0%)	27 (50.0%)	13 (24.1%)	54
2021	116 (74.8%)	34 (21.9%)	0 (0%)	5 (3.2%)	155	29 (43.3%)	11 (16.4%)	18 (26.9%)	9 (13.4%)	67
2022	151 (84.8%)	23 (12.9%)	1 (0.6%)	3 (1.7%)	178	11 (16.2%)	5 (7.3%)	44 (64.7%)	8 (11.8%)	68
2023	97 (70.3%)	36 (26.1%)	0 (0%)	5 (3.6%)	138	17 (41.5%)	3 (7.3%)	11 (26.8%)	10 (24.4%)	41



Table 2. Estimated predation by California sea lions and Steller sea lions at Willamette Falls based on the probability sampling design. Annual totals are only directly comparable for 2017-2023 due to changes in the sampling frame during the first three years of the project. Estimates only apply to the sampling frames and therefore are minimum estimates due to undercoverage of the target population. In some cases, estimates may be less than Table 1 because Table 1 includes anecdotal data from outside sampling frame.

Year	Estimated California sea lion predation Total (95% CI)				Estimated Steller sea lion predation Total (95% CI)			
	Salmonids	Lamprey	Sturgeon	Other/ unknown	Salmonids	Lamprey	Sturgeon	Other/ unknown
2014	3690 (3321-4059)	493 (361-624)	19 (0-54)	20 (2-37)	0 NA	0 NA	37 (0-108)	0 NA
2015	5775 (5096-6455)	758 (531-984)	0 NA	106 (36-177)	0 NA	0 NA	34 (0-80)	0 NA
2016	4585 (3680-5490)	1254 (696-1813)	0 NA	45 (0-111)	15 (0-43)	0 NA	15 (0-43)	0 NA
2017	2673 (1658-3688)	747 (415-1078)	0 NA	0 NA	0 NA	0 NA	15 (0-43)	0 NA
2018	3435 (3019-3850)	687 (515-859)	0 NA	0 NA	75 (22-127)	15 (0-43)	194 (28-360)	0 NA
2019	1120 (963-1277)	508 (118-897)	0 NA	0 NA	90 (25-154)	45 (0-90)	60 (3-117)	0 NA
2020	702 (479-924)	134 (37-232)	0 NA	30 (0-86)	75 (0-163)	0 NA	60 (10-109)	30 (0-86)
2021	1227 (844-1610)	443 (242-644)	0 NA	68 (0-150)	136 (0-311)	68 (0-148)	102 (3-201)	0 NA
2022	597 (406-789)	105 (43-166)	0 NA	15 (0-43)	45 (0-98)	30 (0-68)	105 (28-182)	0 NA
2023	403 (296-510)	254 (92-416)	0 NA	15 (0-43)	119 (2-237)	45 (0-106)	0 NA	15 (0-43)

Table 3. Estimated run-specific salmonid predation by California sea lions at Willamette Falls based on Monte Carlo modelling of the probability sampling design. Annual totals are only directly comparable for 2017-2023 due to changes in the sampling frame during the first three years of the project. Estimates only apply to the sampling frames and therefore are minimum estimates due to undercoverage of the target population. Percent potential escapement (%PE) = estimate / (estimate + escapement) x 100.

Year	Winter steelhead		Summer steelhead		Unmarked (wild) spring Chinook salmon		Marked (hatchery) spring Chinook salmon	
	Total (95% CI)	%PE (95% CI)	Total (95% CI)	%PE (95% CI)	Total (95% CI)	%PE (95% CI)	Total (95% CI)	%PE (95% CI)
2014	780 (563-998)	12.7% (9.5%-15.7%)	710 (499-922)	3% (2.1%-3.9%)	496 (349-643)	7.2% (5.2%-9.1%)	1704 (1413-1994)	6.7% (5.6%-7.8%)
2015	561 (370-752)	11.1% (7.6%-14.3%)	172 (74-270)	4.2% (1.9%-6.5%)	901 (668-1133)	9.1% (6.9%-11.2%)	4142 (3594-4689)	9% (7.9%-10%)
2016	916 (635-1196)	13.7% (9.9%-17.2%)	767 (543-990)	3.4% (2.4%-4.4%)	651 (436-866)	8.9% (6.2%-11.5%)	2252 (1744-2759)	8.7% (6.9%-10.4%)
2017	270 (148-392)	24.7% (15.2%-32.3%)	180 (68-291)	7.6% (3.1%-11.8%)	397 (196-599)	6.3% (3.2%-9.2%)	1826 (1064-2588)	6.1% (3.6%-8.4%)
2018	503 (351-655)	21.6% (16.1%-26.4%)	517 (341-694)	5.3% (3.5%-6.9%)	467 (308-627)	8.5% (5.8%-11.1%)	1947 (1589-2304)	9.1% (7.5%-10.6%)
2019	280 (156-405)	8% (4.6%-11.2%)	109 (32-186)	2% (0.6%-3.4%)	254 (149-358)	3.7% (2.2%-5.2%)	477 (345-608)	3.7% (2.7%-4.7%)
2020	22 (0-51)	0.4% (0%-0.9%)	34 (0-73)	0.6% (0%-1.3%)	151 (60-242)	1.7% (0.7%-2.7%)	495 (318-671)	1.9% (1.2%-2.6%)
2021	25 (0-60)	1.2% (0%-2.8%)	44 (0-102)	2.5% (0%-5.6%)	186 (56-316)	4.0% (1.2%-6.5%)	971 (629-1314)	3.9% (2.5%-5.2%)
2022	50 (0-102)	1.8% (0%-3.5%)	110 (34-185)	1.8% (0.6%-3.0%)	90 (23-156)	1.4% (0.4%-2.3%)	348 (212-485)	1.1% (0.7%-1.6%)
2023	18 (0-44)	0.9% (0%-2.1%)	10 (0-25)	0.9%* (0%-2.3%)*	138 (65-212)	1.9% (0.9%-2.9%)	237 (144-329)	1.4% (0.9%-2.0%)

\*Summer steelhead escapement through 9/20/2023.

Appendix A. Sampling design metadata describing the Willamette Falls sea lion monitoring program, 2014-2023.

Year	Stratum	Sites	Staff	Dates	Weeks	Hours	$N$ PSUs	$M$ SSUs	$K$ TSUs	Frame clusters	$n$ PSUs	$m$ SSUs	$k$ TSUs	Sample clusters	Sampling fraction	Weight	Frame elements	Sample elements	Elements per cluster
2014	F	3	2	Mar 3 - Jun 1	13	1,001	7	7	16	784	5	2	12	120	15.3%	6.53	6,006	929	7.66
	R	9	2	Mar 3 - Jun 1	13	1,001	7	20	16	2,240	5	2	12	120	5.4%	18.67	18,018	966	8.04
		12	4							3,024				240	7.9%		24,024	1,895	
2015	F	6	2	Feb 9 - May 31	16	1,239	7	14	16	1,568	5	2	12	120	7.7%	13.07	14,868	1,101	9.48
	R	10	2	Feb 9 - May 24	15	1,155	7	22	16	2,464	5	2	12	120	4.9%	20.53	23,100	1,122	9.37
		16	4							4,032				240	6.0%		37,968	2,223	
2016	F	6	2	Feb 1 - May 29	17	1,389	7	16	16	1,792	5	2	12	120	6.7%	14.93	16,668	1,114	9.30
2017	F	6	2	Jan 9 - Jun 9	22	1,750	7	16	16	1,792	5	2	12	120	6.7%	14.93	21,000	1,413	11.71
2018	F	6	2	Jan 8 - Jun 3	21	1,653.5	7	16	16	1,792	5	2	12	120	6.7%	14.93	19,842	1,337	11.14
2019	F	6	2	Jan 7 - Jun 2	21	1,647	7	16	16	1,792	5	2	12	120	6.7%	14.93	19,764	1,327	11.05
2020	F	6	2	Jan 6 - May 31	21	1,642.5	7	16	16	1,792	5	2	12	120	6.7%	14.93	19,710	1,329	11.08
2021	F	6	1	Jan 4 - May 30	21	1,636	na	na	na	3,272	na	na	na	96	2.9%	34.08	19,632	576	6
2022	F	6	1	Jan 3 - Jun 5	22	1,721.5	7	16	16	1,792	5	2	12	120	6.7%	14.93	20,658	1,388	11.6

Appendix A. cont.

Year	Stratum	Sites	Staff	Dates	Weeks	Hours	$N$ PSUs	$M$ SSUs	$K$ TSUs	Frame clusters	$n$ PSUs	$m$ SSUs	$k$ TSUs	Sample clusters	Sampling fraction	Weight	Frame elements	Sample elements	Elements per cluster
2023	F	6	1	Jan 2 – May 28	21	1624.5	7	16	16	1,792	5	2	12	120	6.7%	14.93	19,494	1,289	10.7