## Status of the Species Snake River Basin Steelhead February 2023

The Snake River Basin (SRB) steelhead was listed as a threatened evolutionarily significant unit (ESU) on August 18, 1997 (62 FR 43937), with a revised listing as a distinct population segment (DPS) on January 5, 2006 (71 FR 834). On August 18, 2022, in the agency's 5-year review for SRB steelhead, NMFS concluded that the species should remain listed as threatened (NMFS 2022).

This species includes all naturally-spawning steelhead populations below natural and manmade impassable barriers in streams in the Snake River basin of southeast Washington, northeast Oregon, and Idaho. The DPS also includes the progeny of the following six artificial propagation programs: Dworshak National Fish Hatchery, Salmon River B-run, South Fork Clearwater B-run, East Fork Salmon River Natural, Tucannon River, and the Little Sheep Creek/Imnaha River (85 FR 81822). The SRB steelhead listing does not include resident forms of *O. mykiss* (rainbow trout) co-occurring with steelhead.

Reasons for the decline of this species include substantial modification of the seaward migration corridor by hydroelectric power development on the mainstem Snake and Columbia Rivers, loss of habitat above the Hells Canyon Dam complex on the mainstem Snake River, and widespread habitat degradation and reduced streamflows throughout the Snake River basin (Good et al. 2005). Another major concern for the species is the threat to genetic integrity from past and present hatchery practices, and the high proportion of hatchery fish in the aggregate run of SRB steelhead over Lower Granite Dam (Good et al. 2005; Ford 2011). Despite implementation of restoration projects, widespread areas of degraded habitat persist, and further habitat degradation continues across the basin, with a lack of habitat complexity, simplified stream channels, disconnected floodplains, impaired instream flow, and a lack of cold water refugia continue to threaten the persistence of this DPS (NMFS 2022). Other new or continuing threats include climate change, harvest and hatchery management, predation, and hydropower.

Life History. Adult SRB steelhead enter the Columbia River from late June to October to begin their migration inland. After holding over the winter in larger rivers in the Snake River basin, steelhead disperse into smaller tributaries to spawn from March through May. Earlier dispersal occurs at lower elevations and later dispersal occurs at higher elevations. Juveniles emerge from the gravels in 4 to 8 weeks, and move into shallow, low-velocity areas in side channels and along channel margins to escape high velocities and predators (Everest and Chapman 1972). Juvenile steelhead then progressively move toward deeper water as they grow in size (Bjornn and Rieser 1991). Juveniles typically reside in fresh water for 1 to 3 years, although this species displays a wide diversity of life histories. Smolts migrate downstream during spring runoff, which occurs from March to mid-June depending on elevation, and typically spend 1 to 2 years in the ocean.

*Spatial Structure and Diversity.* The Interior Columbia Technical Recovery Team (ICTRT) identified 24 extant populations within this DPS, organized into five major population groups (MPGs) (ICTRT 2003). The ICTRT also identified a number of potential historical populations associated with watersheds above the Hells Canyon Dam complex on the mainstem Snake River,

a barrier to anadromous migration. The five MPGs with extant populations are the Clearwater River, Salmon River, Grande Ronde River, Imnaha River, and Lower Snake River. In the Clearwater River, the historic North Fork population was blocked from accessing spawning and rearing habitat by Dworshak Dam. Current steelhead distribution extends throughout the DPS, such that spatial structure risk is generally low. For each population in the DPS, Table 1 shows the current risk ratings for the four parameters (spatial structure, diversity, abundance, and productivity) of a viable salmonid population (VSP).

Snake River Basin steelhead exhibit a diversity of life-history strategies, including variations in freshwater and ocean residence times. Most Snake River populations support a mixture of the two run types, with the highest percentage of B-run fish in the upper Clearwater River and the South Fork Salmon River; moderate percentages of B-run fish in the Middle Fork Salmon River; and very low percentages of B-run fish in the Upper Salmon River, Grande Ronde River, and Lower Snake River (NWFSC 2015). Maintaining life history diversity is important for the recovery of the species.

The spatial structure risk is considered to be low or very low for the vast majority of populations in this DPS. This is because juvenile steelhead (age-1 parr) were detected in 97 of the 112 spawning areas (major and minor) that are accessible by spawning adults. Diversity risk for populations in the DPS is either moderate or low. Large numbers of hatchery steelhead are released in the Snake River, and while new information about the relative abundance of natural-origin spawners is available, the relative proportion of hatchery adults in natural spawning areas near major hatchery release sites remains uncertain (Ford 2022). Reductions in hatchery-related diversity risks would increase the likelihood of these populations reaching viable status.

Table 1. Summary of viable salmonid population (VSP) parameter risks and overall current status and proposed recovery goals for each population in the Snake River Basin steelhead distinct population segment (DPS) to achieve DPS recovery (Ford 2022; NMFS 2017).

Major		VSP Risk Rating <sup>1</sup>		Viability Rating	
Populati on Group	Population <sup>2</sup>	Abundanc e/Producti vity	Spatial Structure/ Diversity	2022 Assessme nt	Proposed Recovery Goal <sup>3</sup>
Lower Snake River <sup>4</sup>	Tucannon River	High	Moderate	High Risk	Highly Viable or Viable
	Asotin Creek	Low	Moderate	Viable	Highly Viable or Viable
Grande Ronde River	Lower Grande Ronde	High	Moderate	High Risk	Viable or Maintained
	Joseph Creek	Low	Low	Viable	Highly Viable, Viable, or Maintained
	Wallowa River	High	Low	High Risk	Viable or Maintained

Major		VSP Risk Rating <sup>1</sup>		Viability Rating	
Populati on Group	Population <sup>2</sup>	Abundanc e/Producti vity	Spatial Structure/ Diversity	2022 Assessme nt	Proposed Recovery Goal <sup>3</sup>
	Upper Grande Ronde	Very Low	Moderate	Viable	Highly Viable or Viable
Imnaha River	Imnaha River	Very Low	Moderate	Viable	Highly Viable
Clearwat	Lower Mainstem Clearwater River	Very Low	Low	Highly Viable	Viable
	South Fork Clearwater River	Very Low	Moderate	Viable	Maintained
er River	Lolo Creek	High	Moderate	High Risk	Maintained
(Idaho)	Selway River	Moderate	Low	Maintaine d	Viable
	Lochsa River	Moderate	Low	Maintaine d	Highly Viable
	North Fork Clearwater River			Extirpated	N/A
Salmon River (Idaho)	Little Salmon River	Very Low	Moderate	Viable	Maintained
	South Fork Salmon River	Moderate	Low	Maintaine d	Viable
	Secesh River	Moderate	Low	Maintaine d	Maintained
	Chamberlai n Creek	Moderate	Low	Maintaine d	Viable
	Lower Middle Fork Salmon River	Moderate	Low	Maintaine d	Highly Viable
	Upper Middle Fork Salmon River	Moderate	Low	Maintaine d	Viable
	Panther Creek	Moderate	High	High Risk	Viable

Major		VSP Risk Rating <sup>1</sup>		Viability Rating	
Populati on Group	Population <sup>2</sup>	Abundanc e/Producti vity	Spatial Structure/ Diversity	2022 Assessme nt	Proposed Recovery Goal <sup>3</sup>
	North Fork Salmon River	Moderate	Moderate	Maintaine d	Maintained
	Lemhi River	Moderate	Moderate	Maintaine d	Viable
	Pahsimeroi River	Moderate	Moderate	Maintaine d	Maintained
	East Fork Salmon River	Moderate	Moderate	Maintaine d	Maintained
Salmon River (Idaho)	Upper Mainstem Salmon River	Moderate	Moderate	Maintaine d	Maintained
Hells Canyon	Hells Canyon Tributaries		:1: 100 H:1	Extirpated	14. 25 M. I

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Risk ratings are defined based on the risk of extinction within 100 years: High = greater than or equal to 25 percent; Moderate = less than 25 percent; Low = less than 5 percent; and Very Low = less than 1 percent.

Abundance and Productivity. Historical estimates of steelhead production for the entire Snake River basin are not available, but the basin is believed to have supported more than half the total steelhead production from the Columbia River basin (Mallet 1974, as cited in Good et al. 2005). The Clearwater River drainage alone may have historically produced 40,000 to 60,000 adults, and historical harvest data suggests that steelhead production in the Salmon River was likely higher than in the Clearwater (Hauck 1953). In contrast, at the time of listing in 1997, the 5-year geometric mean abundance for natural-origin steelhead passing Lower Granite Dam, which includes all but one population in the DPS, was 11,462 adults (Ford 2011). Abundance began to increase in the early 2000s, with the single year count and the 5-year geometric mean both peaking in 2015 at 45,789 and 34,179, respectively (Ford 2022). Since 2015, the 5-year geometric means have declined steadily with only 11,557 natural-origin adult returns for the most recent (2017-2021) 5-year geometric mean (Ford 2022).

Based on 20-year geometric means, productivity for all populations remains above replacement. But cyclical spawner-to-spawner ratios, which reflect the combined impacts of habitat, climate change, and density dependence, have been strongly below replacement since 2010. Productivity is also expected to decline in the coming years due to recent declines in abundance (NMFS 2022).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Populations shaded in gray are those that occupy the action area.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>There are several scenarios that could meet the requirements for ESU recovery (as reflected in the proposed goals for populations in Oregon and Washington). What is reflected here for populations in Idaho are the proposed status goals selected by NMFS and the State of Idaho.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>At least one of the populations must achieve a very low viability risk rating.

**Recovery.** NMFS completed a recovery plan for SRB steelhead in 2017 (NMFS 2017). The proposed recovery targets for each population are summarized in Table 1. The greatest opportunities for advancing recovery include: (1) prioritizing actions that improve habitat resilience to climate change; (2) reconnecting stream channels with floodplains; (3) developing local- to basin-scale frameworks that prioritize restoration actions and integrate a landscape perspective; (4) implementing restoration actions at watershed scales; and (5) connect tributaries to mainstem migration corridors (NMFS 2022).

For SRB steelhead, the life stage that appears to be the most vulnerable to climate change is juvenile rearing (Crozier et al. 2019). Summer habitats may have reduced flow, or loss of tributary access, from irrigation withdrawals. High summer water temperatures are also prevalent. Climate change has and will cause earlier snowmelt timing, reduced summer flows, and higher air temperatures; all of which will exacerbate the low flows and high-water temperatures for juvenile SRB steelhead. This DPS is also considered to have only moderate capacity to adapt to climate change impacts. Given the extrinsic factors currently increasing the vulnerability of many populations to climate change impacts, it is unclear whether their adaptability would be sufficient to mitigate the risk climate change poses to the persistence of this DPS.

Summary. Based on information available for the 2022 viability assessment (Ford 2022), none of the five MPGs are meeting their recovery plan objectives and the viability of many populations remains uncertain. The recent, sharp declines in abundance are of concern and are expected to negatively affect productivity in the coming years. Overall, available information suggests that SRB steelhead continue to be at a moderate risk of extinction within the next 100 years. This DPS continues to face threats from tributary and mainstem habitat loss, degradation, or modification; predation; harvest; hatcheries; and climate change (NMFS 2022).

## References

- Bjornn, T. C., and D. W. Reiser. 1991. Habitat requirements of salmonids in streams. Pages 83–138 *in* W. R. Meehan, editor. Influences of forest and rangeland management on salmonid fishes and their habitats. American Fisheries Society, Special Publication 19. Bethesda, Maryland.
- Crozier, L. G., M. M. McClure, T. Beechie, S. J. Bograd, D. A. Boughton, M. Carr, T. D. Cooney, J. B. Dunham, C. M. Greene, M. A. Haltuch, E. L. Hazen, D. M. Holzer, D. D. Huff, R. C. Johnson, C. E. Jordan, I. C. Kaplan, S. T. Lindley, N. J. Mantua, P. B. Moyle, J. M. Myers, M. W. Nelson, B. C. Spence, L. A. Weitkamp, T. H. Williams, and E. Willis-Norton. 2019. Climate vulnerability assessment for Pacific salmon and steelhead in the California Current Large Marine Ecosystem. PLoS ONE 14(7): e0217711. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0217711.

- Everest, F. H. and D. W. Chapman. 1972. Habitat selection and spatial interaction by juvenile Chinook salmon and steelhead trout in two Idaho streams. Journal of the Fisheries Research Board of Canada 29(1):91-100.
- Ford, M. J., editor. 2011. Status review update for Pacific salmon and steelhead listed under the Endangered Species Act: Pacific Northwest. U.S. Dept. Commer., NOAA Tech. Memo. NMFS-NWFSC-113, 281 p. <a href="https://www.westcoast.fisheries.noaa.gov/publications/status\_reviews/salmon\_steelhead/multiple\_species/5-yr-sr.pdf">https://www.westcoast.fisheries.noaa.gov/publications/status\_reviews/salmon\_steelhead/multiple\_species/5-yr-sr.pdf</a>
- Ford, M. J., editor. 2022. Biological viability assessment update for Pacific salmon and steelhead listed under the Endangered Species Act: Pacific Northwest. U.S. Department of Commerce, NOAA Technical Memorandum NMFS-NWFSC-171.
- Good, T. P., R. S. Waples, and P. Adams, editors. 2005. Updated status of federally listed ESUs of West Coast salmon and steelhead. U.S. Dept. Commerce, NOAA Tech. Memo. NMFS-NWFSC-66, 598 p.
- Hauck, F. R. 1953. The Size and Timing of Runs of Anadromous Species of Fish in the Idaho Tributaries of the Columbia River. Prepared for the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers by the Idaho Fish and Game Department, April 1953. 16 pp.
- ICTRT (Interior Columbia Technical Recovery Team). 2003. Working draft. Independent populations of Chinook, steelhead, and sockeye for listed evolutionarily significant units within the Interior Columbia River domain. NOAA Fisheries. July.
- NMFS (National Marine Fisheries Service). 2017. ESA Recovery Plan for Snake River Spring/Summer Chinook & Steelhead. NMFS.

  <a href="https://www.westcoast.fisheries.noaa.gov/publications/recovery\_planning/salmon\_steelhead/domains/interior\_columbia/snake/Final%20Snake%20Recovery%20Plan%20Docs/final\_snake\_river\_spring-summer\_chinook\_salmon\_and\_snake\_river\_basin\_steelhead\_recovery\_plan.pdf">https://www.westcoast.fisheries.noaa.gov/publications/recovery\_planning/salmon\_steelhead\_recovery\_planning/salmon\_steelhead\_recovery\_planning/salmon\_steelhead\_recovery\_planning/salmon\_steelhead\_recovery\_planning/salmon\_steelhead\_recovery\_planning/salmon\_steelhead\_recovery\_planning/salmon\_steelhead\_recovery\_planning/salmon\_steelhead\_recovery\_planning/salmon\_steelhead\_recovery\_planning/salmon\_steelhead\_recovery\_planning/salmon\_steelhead\_recovery\_planning/salmon\_steelhead\_recovery\_planning/salmon\_steelhead\_recovery\_planning/salmon\_steelhead\_recovery\_planning/salmon\_steelhead\_recovery\_salmon\_steelhead\_recovery\_planning/salmon\_steelhead\_recovery\_salmon\_steelhead\_recovery\_salmon\_steelhead\_recovery\_salmon\_steelhead\_recovery\_salmon\_steelhead\_recovery\_salmon\_steelhead\_recovery\_salmon\_s
- NMFS. 2022. 2022 5-Year Review: Summary & Evaluation of Snake River Basin Steelhead. August 16, 2022. NMFS. West Coast Region. 95 pp.
- NWFSC (Northwest Fisheries Science Center). 2015. Status review update for Pacific salmon and steelhead listed under the Endangered Species Act: Pacific Northwest. 356 p.