

HMS Advisory Panel Wrap-Up (Non-Comprehensive Summary of Some Comments That Were Heard)

Fall 2020 HMS AP Meeting September 9-10, 2020

Glossary of Acronyms and Abbreviations

- AP advisory panel
- CHB charter/headboat
- FMP fishery management plan
- GRA gear restricted area
- HMS highly migratory species
- IBQ individual bluefin quota
- ICCAT International Commission for the Conservation of Atlantic Tunas

- IUU illegal, unreported, and unregulated fishing
- PLL pelagic longline
- PRiSM PRedictive modeling and Spatial Management
- RFDs restricted fishing days
- SAFE Stock Assessment and Fishery Evaluation Report
- SHARE SHArk fishery REview



Overview of AP Meeting

- Contrasting perspectives on the GRA/weak hook rule
 - Unnecessary action; fear it will be counterproductive to conservation efforts
 - The lack of recent fishing in these areas demonstrates the PLL fleet's selfownership of conservation responsibilities
- Comments made about potential updates to electronic monitoring and reporting
- Concern about timing of Amendment 13, not resetting IBQ allocations soon
- Disappointment about a lack of fishermen engagement in deciding how to place observers back on vessels during the pandemic



Amendment 12 to the 2006 HMS FMP

- Review and revision of HMS FMP objectives
 - Comments received on several objectives including 4, 5, 8, 9, 14, and 15
 - Request for new objectives addressing climate change and reporting technologies
 - · Desire to increase engagement of public and small-scale fishermen in the management process
- Desire for standardization of bycatch reporting among HMS and non-HMS fisheries
- SAFE Report Suggestions regarding *content* can be submitted anytime via email, letter, or phone call



2020 Fisheries Economic Situation Report

- Reduced imports/shorter trips increased swordfish prices, but increased imports from Canada have depressed prices
- The fishery's shift to swordfish from tuna driven by decreased demand from high-end sushi restaurants
- Concern about outdoor seating options and restaurant viability through the winter
- Charter fleets still being impacted by
 - Quarantine restrictions
 - Hotel and restaurant closures and capacity restrictions
 - · Shorter trips shifting focus to inshore fishing



Law Enforcement Update

- Discussion about genetic barcoding to identify species
 - Further discussion about when these techniques would be beneficial
 - Landing form regulations can facilitate identification
- Clarification requested about disproportionate vessel boardings occurring in Shinnecock Inlet (New York)
- Questions about foreign vessels near US waters and international efforts to investigate IUU fishing





Bluefin Tuna Update

- Comments suggest that many new dealers are vessel owners
 - May drop off once normal conditions are reestablished
 - USDA Seafood Trade Relief Program link shared by AP member: www.farmers.gov/seafood
- Restricted-fishing days
 - Support for NMFS working with major dealers
 - Support for allowing HMS CHB vessels to fish recreationally on RFDs
 - Some opposition to RFDs, preference for dealer restrictions



SHARE: SHArk fishery REview 1/2

- Decline in commercial fishing likely because of regulations, including fin bans, and lack of a market
- Increase in shark depredation is a major issue
- Desire to revisit the stock assessments, quota, linkages, and other regulations
- Desire to see recreational shore-based fishing data by state and region
- Questions about unidentified sharks, shark endorsement, and retention of silky sharks



SHARE: SHArk fishery REview 2/2

Poll Results:

What are your greatest concerns with the commercial fishery?	Advisory Panel Responses
Permit Usage	3 (10%)
Landings Declines	4 (13%)
Retention Limits	3 (10%)
Overall State	17 (55%)
Other	4 (13%)

What are your greatest concerns with the recreational fishery?	Advisory Panel Responses
Unidentified Shark Reporting	7 (21%)
Size/Retention Limits	4 (12%)
Post-Release Mortality	6 (18%)
Regulations Outreach	10 (29%)
Other	7 (21%)

What are your greatest concerns with the <u>market</u> ?	Advisory Panel Responses
International Seafood Impacts	7 (26%)
Market Volatility	9 (33%)
Shark Demand Decreasing	9 (33%)
Other	2 (7%)



Shark Depredation

- Comments suggest shark depredation is a widespread problem
 - · Issues of fish mutilation, human safety, and habituation raised
- Suggestion that consistent management policy could benefit a market driven solution to increase demand for shark
- Interest in defining successful shark management
- Comments received about data collection
 - In general more data is needed to properly manage sharks
 - More refined analyses needed with respect to species, fishery, location, and time
 - Investigation of shark depredation mitigation measures requested
- Suggestion for additional model variables to include



NOAA Fisheries Leadership Update

- Concern about restaurant viability and HMS market during winter months
- Concern about U.S. seafood products competing with imports
 - Use U.S. conservation efforts as a marketing tool, increase public outreach and education
 - Identify ways to maintain consistent product supplies to markets
 - Identify other mechanisms to level the playing field for U.S. fishermen
- Desire for more frequent review of management policies
- Issues raised about recruitment of fishermen into the industry
- Request to consider retention limit liberalization for 2020-2021 where applicable
- Request for a retention ban on North Atlantic shortfin make sharks



Spatial Management/HMS PRiSM Project

- Mixed support for using PRiSM to evaluate the effectiveness of closed areas
- Suggestions to validate model results with on-thewater studies; skepticism of model-only approach
- Some skepticism of preliminary maps because of mismatches with fishermen's knowledge
- Desire for PRiSM results to be trusted by the public and fishermen if they are used for management



Public Comments

- Recollection of the Large Pelagics Research Center and the importance of considering the past in decision making
- Clarification that ICCAT bluefin tuna assessment results are preliminary
- The opening of the Gulf of Mexico and Northeast gear restricted areas have resulted in no bluefin interactions and are not affecting spawning bluefin in the Gulf of Mexico
- Several comments received on the topic of shark depredation
 - Charter and recreational fishermen commented on challenges
 - Sandbar, bull, dusky, and other shark species mentioned
 - Consideration of spawning aggregation effects suggested
 - Recognition that increased depredation is necessarily associated with rebuilding healthy shark populations



Looking Ahead

- Public Comments:
 - 2021 Shark Specifications Proposed Rule due October 29
 - Amendment 12 (National Policies, etc.) due October 26
 - Amendment 14 (Shark Allowable Biological Catch Control Rule, etc.) due December 31
- Coming soon:
 - Draft Amendment 13 (Bluefin tuna)
- Next AP meeting:
 - Next in-person meeting likely to be held in May
 - Small AP meeting for Amendment 14, billfish management, and the HMS Electronic Technologies Plan on December 7th

